Providence College

DigitalCommons@Providence

Biology Student Scholarship

Biology

Spring 4-22-2020

39 and Me: The Evolution of Dogs through the Study of Genomics

Lauren Flynn Providence College

Kathleen Kuhl Providence College

Jordan Pagliuca Providence College

Megan Russel Providence College

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.providence.edu/bio_students

Part of the Biology Commons

Flynn, Lauren; Kuhl, Kathleen; Pagliuca, Jordan; and Russel, Megan, "39 and Me: The Evolution of Dogs through the Study of Genomics" (2020). *Biology Student Scholarship*. 6. https://digitalcommons.providence.edu/bio_students/6

This Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the Biology at DigitalCommons@Providence. It has been accepted for inclusion in Biology Student Scholarship by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@Providence. For more information, please contact dps@providence.edu.



39 and Me: Evolution of Dogs through the Study of Genomics

Introduction

- Dog breeds have been created by humans through artificial selection, developing their unique genetic history.
- Contrasting genetics within a breed allows us to reconstruct a large amount of phylogenetic data (Parker et al. 2017).
- Modern molecular tools allow us to recreate the genetic history of different breeds, their traits, and diseases.
- Our lab focuses on the hovawart breed (Fig. 1) to better understand the evolution of breed-specific traits and potential mutations leading to genetic predisposition to diseases.
- We will achieve our goals by using highly variable genetic markers called single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs).



Figure 1. Hovawart dogs, a German breed, the focus of this study.

Objectives

- Screen a large dataset (~350 dogs) of hovawarts by obtaining their genotypes using SNPs distributed across their genome.
- Correlate genotypes and phenotypes within the dogs. Specifically, the SOD1 gene, which is linked to degenerative myelopathy. Hovawarts show a unique gene insertion within this gene (Turba 2017).
- Determine the genetic origin of this breed based on their genetic variability contrasted to other canids (coyotes and foxes).

Materials And Methods

- DNA samples were extracted from buccal swabs or blood using the Qiagen DNeasy kit.
- The EP1 Fluidigm SNP system was used to genotype samples.
- Statistical analyses completed with the R statistical program using the LEA package (Frichot and François 2015) and Structure software V2.0 (Pritchard et al. 2003).
- RFLP analysis was used to genotype samples for the SOD1 gene mutation (Chang et al. 2013).



Lauren Flynn, Jordan Pagliuca, Kathleen Kuhl, Megan Russell, Elisabeth Arévalo

Department of Biology, Providence College, 1 Cunningham Square, Providence, RI 02918

Results

- 329 hovawart samples and other canids were genotyped across 192 loci.
- Four loci were removed from analyses as they were monomorphic. Dogs of similar ancestries grouped together into clusters. Five
- clusters were obtained across hovawarts (Figs. 2 and 3). Distribution of ancestral clusters was mapped across American and
- **European populations (Fig. 4).**
- Evidence of an insertion near the SOD1 gene was visible in gel images of PCRs (Figs 5 and 6).



Figure 2: Bar plot from Structure indicating that hovawarts branch into 5 ancestral genetic clusters, distinguishing American and European samples.



Figure 4. Geographical maps of the ancestral groupings from R statistics.

Conclusions

- Clusters mostly reflect geographical origins. To improve genetic variability, it is important to breed dogs that are from different countries.
- Our multigenerational samples also show the passing of traits down familial lines. This also includes genetic insertion observed in the SOD1 gene associated with degenerative myelopathy.
- By assessing local genetic variability among hovawarts from different localities we will be able to advise breeders on best mating practices avoiding introgression.



Figure 3: Ancestral tree from Structure shows the phylogenetic relationships between the groups of samples.







lengths of a poly T repeat.

- genetic variability.
- traits with genes.

References

- Science, 12-0451.

Acknowledgements

Study supported by the Providence College Walsh Fellowship. We would like to thank hovawart clubs from the International Hovawart Federation (IHF) for sending dog samples. Additional funding was provided by the Rassezuchtverein für Hovawart-Hunde eV (RZV), the Hovawart Club from Germany.



| Figure 5. Gel electrophoresis image of a polymerase chain reaction for the SOD1 gene. Bands of different sizes/intensities reflect the variability among the samples. |
|---|
| |

| G <mark>a</mark> ttc | CACGI | CCATC | AGTTTGG | AGATAAT | ACACAA | GTGGGT | GTTTTT | TTTTTT | ITTTTT | TTTTTT | TTTTTT | TTTTTTTT | <mark>CA</mark> | C <mark>aagggga</mark> | (GGGTTG) | GTTGGT | CTAGGGA | CTCTT | T <mark>a</mark> tttgt | TTCAT(| TAGTAA. | ATAGGA | CTGAGT <i>i</i> | AAAGCT <i>i</i> | CTCCTAR | ACATTG. | AAATCC: | CAACAT(| CCAAAAA | AAAAAAAA | GGTC | A A T A G (| JATTAC T | IAAGGTCA(| CCTTAGE | 3GAAATGATC |
|----------------------|----------------------|-------|---------|---------|--------|------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------|----------------------|----------------------------------|--|---------|------------------------|--------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|---------|----------|----------|---------|-----------|----------|-------------|----------|-----------|---------|--------------------------|
| G <mark>att</mark> c | CAC <mark>G</mark> I | CCATC | AGTTTGG | AGATAAT | ACACAA | GTGGGT | GTTTTT | TTTTTT | ITTTT | TTTTTT | TTTTTT | TTTTTTTA | <mark>(</mark>) | C <mark>aa</mark> ggggg | GTGTTG | IGTTGGT | C <mark>tagtga</mark> | CTCTT(| T <mark>a</mark> tttgt | TTC <mark>a</mark> t(| TAGTAA | ATAGGA | .CTGAGTA | AAAGCT/ | CTCCTAR | ACATTG. | AAAATCC: | ICAACAT(| CCAAAAA | AAAAAAAAA | A-GGTC | A A T A G (| ATTACT | AAGGTCA | CCTTAGE | SGAAATGATC |
| G <mark>a</mark> ttc | CAC <mark>G</mark> T | CCATC | AGTTTGG | AGATAAT | ACACAA | G <mark>t</mark> gggg | GTTTTT | TTTTTT | ITTTT | TTTTTT | TTTTTT | TTTTTTTT | ITT- <mark>Ca</mark> | C <mark>aa</mark> gg t gg | GTGTTG | IGTTGGT | CTAGTGA | CTCTT(| T <mark>a</mark> tttgt | TTC <mark>a</mark> t(| TAGTAA | GATAGGA | CTGAGTA | AGAGCT <i>i</i> | CTCCTAR | ACATTG. | AAAATCC: | ICAACAT6 | CCAAAAA | AAAAAAAAA | A-GGTC | A A T A G (| ATTACT | AAGGTCAG | CCTTAGG | <mark>JGAAATGAT</mark> C |
| G <mark>a</mark> ttc | CAC <mark>G</mark> T | CCATC | AGTTTGG | AGATAAT | ACACAA | 3GTGGG- | | | | | | | | | -T <mark>g</mark> ttg: | IGTTGGT | CTAGTGA | CTCTT(| T <mark>a</mark> tttgt | TTC <mark>a</mark> t(| TAGTAA | GATAGGA | CTGAGTA | AGAGCT <i>i</i> | CTCCTAR | ACATTG. | AAAATCC: | ICAACAT6 | CCAAAAA | AAAAAAAAA | A-GGTC | A A T A G (| ATTACT | AAGGTCAG | CCTTAGG | <mark>JGAAATGAT</mark> C |
| G <mark>att</mark> c | CAC <mark>g</mark> i | CCATC | AGTTTGG | AGATAAT | ACACAA | GTGGGT | Ģ | | | | | | | | ····TT <mark>G</mark> | IGTTGGT | TT <mark>a</mark> gtga | CTCTT(| T <mark>a</mark> tttgt | TTCAT(| TAGTAA | HATAGGA | CTGAGTA | AGAGCT <i>i</i> | CTCCTAR | ACGTTG. | AAAATCC: | ICAACAT(| CCAAAAA | AAAAAAAAA | AAAGGTC | A A T A G (| ATTACT | AAGGTCA | CCTTAGE | SGAAATGATC |
| G <mark>att</mark> c | CAC <mark>G</mark> I | CCATC | AGTTTGG | AGATAAT | ACACAA | GGTGGGT | Ģ | | | | | | | | ·TT <mark>G</mark> ! | IGTTGGT | C <mark>tagtga</mark> | CTCTT(| T <mark>a</mark> tttgt | TTCAT(| TAGTAA | ATAGGA | .CTGAGTA | AGAGCT <i>i</i> | CTCCTAA | ACATTG. | AAATCC: | CAACATO | CCAAAAA | AAAAAAAA | AAAGGTC. | AATAG(| ATTACT | AAGGTCA(| CCTTAGE | SGAAATGATC |
| G <mark>att</mark> c | CAC <mark>G</mark> I | CCATC | AGTTTGG | AGATAAT | ACACAA | GG <mark>T</mark> GGG- | | | | | | | | | ·-T <mark>G</mark> TT <mark>G</mark> ! | IGTTGGT | C <mark>tagtga</mark> | CTCTT(| T <mark>a</mark> tttgt | TTCGT(| TAGTAA | ATAGGA | .CTGAGTA | AGAGCT <i>i</i> | CTCCTAA | ACACTG. | AAATCC: | CAACATO | CCAAAAA | AAAAAAAAA | GGTC | A A T A G (| ATTACT | AAGGTCA(| CCTTAGE | SGAAATGATC |
| G <mark>att</mark> c | CAC <mark>g</mark> i | CCATC | AGTTTGG | AGATAAT | ACACAA | GTGGGT | Ģ | | | | | | | | ·TT <mark>G</mark> | IGTTGGT | C <mark>tagtga</mark> | CTCTT(| T <mark>a</mark> tttgt | TTCAT | TAGTAA | ATAGGA | CTGAGTA | AGAGCT <i>i</i> | CTCCTAR | ACATTG. | AAAATCC: | ICAACAT6 | CCAAAAA | AAAAAAAAA | AAAGGTC | A A TA G (| ATTACT | AAGGTCAG | CCTTAGG | JGAAATGAT C |

Figure 6. Insertion in the SOD1 gene is displayed here, consisting of variable

Future Goals

Use publicly available datasets to expand to dozens of other breeds and create a more thorough assessment of

Conduct an GWAS with the extended dataset to associate

Further explore the effects of the insertion near the SOD1 gene with regards to degenerative myelopathy.

Chang, H. S., Kamishina, H., Mizukami, K., Momoi, Y., Katayama, M., Rahman, M. M., ... & Yamato, O. (2013). Genotyping assays for the canine degenerative myelopathy-associated c. 118G> A (p. E40K) mutation of the SOD1 gene using conventional and real-time PCR methods: a high prevalence in the Pembroke Welsh Corgi breed in Japan. Journal of Veterinary Medical

Frichot, E., & François, O. (2015). LEA: an R package for landscape and ecological association studies. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 6(8), 925-929.

Parker, H. G., Dreger, D. L., Rimbault, M., Davis, B. W., Mullen, A. B., Carpintero-Ramirez, G., & Ostrander, E. A. (2017). Genomic analyses reveal the influence of geographic origin, migration, and hybridization on modern dog breed development. Cell reports, 19(4), 697-708.

Pritchard, J. K., Wen, W., & Falush, D. (2003). Documentation for STRUCTURE software: Version 2.

Turba, M. E., Loechel, R., Rombolà, E., Gandini, G., & Gentilini, F. (2017). Evidence of a genomic insertion in intron 2 of SOD1 causing allelic drop-out during routine diagnostic testing for canine degenerative myelopathy. Animal genetics, 48(3), 365-368.

