

Providence College

DigitalCommons@Providence

Journals of the 1964-1969 Constitutional
Convention of Rhode Island

Special Collections

October 1966

Journal of the Constitutional Convention, 1966 Oct 10

August P. LaFrance

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.providence.edu/constitution_64-69

LaFrance, August P., "Journal of the Constitutional Convention, 1966 Oct 10" (1966). *Journals of the 1964-1969 Constitutional Convention of Rhode Island*. 58.

https://digitalcommons.providence.edu/constitution_64-69/58

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Special Collections at DigitalCommons@Providence. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journals of the 1964-1969 Constitutional Convention of Rhode Island by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@Providence. For more information, please contact dps@providence.edu.

State of Rhode Island and Providence Plantations

**JOURNAL OF THE
CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION**

Providence, Rhode Island

October 10, 1966

The Convention was called to order by Mr. Dennis J. Roberts, Chairman, at 2:02 P.M.

INVOCATION

The Chairman presented Reverend Albert Q. Perry, minister of the Church of the Mediator, Universalist-Unitarian, for the purpose of giving the Invocation. The Invocation was given.

The roll of delegates was called; there were 83 present and 17 absent.

Absentees were Messrs. Belhumeur, Dodge, Fanning of Cumberland, Gallagher, Giguere, Gorham of Scituate, Jordan, Lallo, LaSalle, Martin, Moon, Mulligan, Mrs. Pell, Messrs. Pucci, Stapleton, Steere and Viall.

The names of the absentees were called.

On motion of Mr. Wexler, seconded by Messrs. Coleman and Dolbashian, the reading of the Journal of the previous day was dispensed, on a voice vote.

INTRODUCTION AND FIRST READING OF PROPOSALS

The Chairman announced receipt of the following proposal:

Proposal No. 10027, offered by a qualified elector, Richard J. Casey, of Providence. The proposal was referred to the Committee on Rules and Credentials.

On motion of Mr. Cochran, the delegates extended a rising vote of appreciation to Reverend Perry for his kindness in giving the Invocation.

REFERENCE OF PROPOSALS AFTER SECOND READING

The Chairman announced that the following proposals were referred to the Committee on Style and Drafting after second reading and vote on passage by the Convention at its session on September 26, 1966:

<u>Proposal No. 29, Substitute B</u>	"Of the Legislative Power"
<u>Proposal No. 30, Substitute B</u>	"Of the House of Representatives"
<u>Proposal No. 31, Substitute B</u>	"Of the Senate"
<u>Proposal No. 35, Substitute C</u>	"Of the Judicial Power"

RECONSIDERATION

Mr. Doris, seconded by Messrs. Cote and Bizier, moved that the Convention reconsider the following proposal which failed of passage on second reading at the previous session of the Convention:

Proposal No. 20, Substitute C "Term and Election of General Officers"

On a division vote the motion to reconsider prevailed, 60 delegates voting in the affirmative and 0 delegates voting in the negative.

On reconsideration, on a division vote, on motion of Mr. Doris, seconded by Messrs. Bizier and Cochran, the proposal was laid on the table, 52 delegates voting in the affirmative and 17 delegates voting in the negative.

GENERAL ORDERS

Mr. Doris, seconded by Messrs. Cote and Wexler, moved that the Convention resolve into a Committee of the Whole.

The motion prevailed on a voice vote.

(For Journal of the Committee of the Whole, see appendix, this Journal.)

Upon the rising of the Committee of the Whole, the Chairman again called the Convention to order.

MOTION

Mr. Doris, seconded by Messrs. Foster, Bizier, and McGrath, moved that the following proposal be taken from the table:

Proposal No. 20, Substitute C "Term and Election of General Officers"

On a division vote the motion to take from the table prevailed, 55 delegates voting in the affirmative and 0 delegates voting in the negative.

After reconsideration, the proposal had been placed in order for Second Reading and vote on passage.

Mr. Doris, seconded by Messrs. Cote and Bizier, moved that Proposal No. 20, Substitute C, be adopted.

On a roll call vote the motion to adopt prevailed, 54 delegates voting in the affirmative, 26 delegates voting in the negative and 20 delegates absent or not voting as follows:

AYES - 54

Felix A. Appolonia-West Warwick
Vincent J. Baccari-Providence
Roger A. Beauchemin-Pawtucket
Joseph A. Bevilacqua-Providence

Norman E. Bizier-Central Falls
Adrien Bissonnette-Woonsocket
Domenic C. Canna-Bristol
Jeremiah H. Cannon-Providence

AYES - Cont.

Mrs. Claire Capuano-Woonsocket	Samuel J. Kanakry-Pawtucket
Mrs. Aurora Castiglia-Cranston	Mrs. Jeannette M. Lacroix-Lincoln
Orist D. Chaharyn-Woonsocket	August P. LaFrance-Pawtucket
William J. Champion, Jr.-Newport	Mrs. Demetra Lambros-Providence
Eugene F. Cochran-Providence	John W. Laporte-Burrillville
Miss Elaine C. Colaneri-Providence	Frederick A. Lawrence-Providence
Kevin K. Coleman-Woonsocket	Edward P. Manning-Cumberland
John P. Cooney, Jr.-Providence	Francis H. McCabe-Pawtucket
Osias Cote-Pawtucket	Thomas F. McGrath-Providence
Matthew C. Cunningham-Pawtucket	Arthur Merolla-Providence
Robert J. Delehanty-Newport	James F. Murphy-Coventry
Michael DeCiantis-West Warwick	Paul F. Murray-Newport
Thomas R. DiLuglio-Johnston	Robert S. Ortoleva-Providence
Harry J. Dyl-Central Falls	John A. Parrillo-Providence
Edward M. Dolbashian-Portsmouth	Antonio Prince-Woonsocket
John F. Doris-Woonsocket	Anthony F. Principe-Bristol
Stephen A. Fanning, Jr.-Providence	Mrs. Theresa F. Pulner-Cranston
William A. Feeney-East Providence	Hugo L. Ricci-Providence
Paul A. Fontaine-Woonsocket	Dennis J. Roberts-Providence
Ernest A. Foster-Pawtucket	Augusto W. SaoBento-East Providence
Fulda E. Geoffroy-West Warwick	Anthony Vacca-Smithfield
Anthony Giangiacomo-Providence	David D. Warren-North Providence
Samuel C. Kagan-Providence	John J. Wrenn-Providence

NOES - 26

Mrs. Jane H. Barber-Westerly	Bradford H. Kenyon-West Greenwich
Thomas H. Bride-Warwick	Epifanio F. Macari-Cranston
David J. Colbert, Jr.-Cranston	William I. Matzner-Providence
Edward B. Corcoran-Middletown	Vincent P. McKinnon-Pawtucket
Lloyd R. Crandall-Hopkinton	Donald E. McKiernan-Providence
Domenic A. DiSandro, Jr.-Narra.	James E. Murphy-Warren
Millicent S. Foster-No. Kingstown	William F. Murphy-Tiverton
Michael A. Gammino, Jr.-Providence	Charles Nathanson-Warwick
Robert B. Gates-South Kingstown	Francis P. O'Donnell-Providence
William J. Gmelin-Charlestown	Howard R. Smart, Jr.-Lincoln
Bradford Gorham-Foster	John J. Toolin-Richmond
George D. Greenhalgh-Glocester	Edmund Wexler-Providence
Mrs. A. Marion Hager-Cranston	Grafton H. Willey, III-Barrington

ABSENT OR NOT VOTING-20

Arthur A. Belhumeur-Central Falls	Frank A. Martin, Jr.-Pawtucket
John C. Dodge-New Shoreham	Edwin J. Moon-Exeter
Stephen A. Fanning-Cumberland	Edward J. Mulligan-Central Falls
James A. Gallagher-Jamestown	Mrs. Nuala O'D. Pell-Newport
Edward P. Gallogly-Providence	Robert F. Pickard-East Greenwich
Noel A. Giguere-Woonsocket	Thomas D. Pucci-Providence
John Gorham-Scituate	Owen V. Sherry-North Smithfield
Raymond E. Jordan-Pawtucket	Alfred B. Stapleton-Little Compton
John F. Lallo-Westerly	Bradley L. Steere-East Providence
A. Norman LaSalle-Warwick	Charles C. Viall-East Providence

GENERAL ORDERS

Mr. Doris, seconded by Mr. Foster, moved that the Convention resolve into a Committee of the Whole.

The motion prevailed on a voice vote.

(For Journal of the Committee of the Whole, see appendix, this Journal.)

Upon the rising of the Committee of the Whole, the Chairman again called the Convention to order.

By unanimous consent, on suggestion of Mr. Coleman, the Convention referred back to Reports of Standing Committees.

REPORTS OF STANDING COMMITTEES

Mr. Coleman, for the Committee on Local Government, reported back that, by a majority vote, the Committee did not recommend the following proposal:

Proposal No. 38 "Local Government"

The proposal and report were accepted, referred to the Committee of the Whole and placed on the General Orders.

Mr. Coleman, for the Committee on Local Government, reported back that, by a majority vote, the Committee did not recommend the following proposal:

Proposal No. 84 "Of Local Government"

The proposal and report were accepted, referred to the Committee of the Whole and placed on the General Orders.

Mr. Coleman, for the Committee on Local Government, reported back that, by a majority vote, the Committee did not recommend the following proposal:

Proposal No. 189, Section K "Of Local Government"

The proposal and report were accepted, referred to the Committee of the Whole and placed on the General Orders.

ADJOURNMENT

At 4:15 P.M., on motion of Mr. Doris, seconded by Messrs. Cote, Sherry, and Toolin, the Convention adjourned to meet on Monday, October 24, 1966, at 1:30 P.M. in the Chamber of the House of Representatives at the State House, on a voice vote.

August P. LaFrance, Secretary
Constitutional Convention

A P P E N D I X

Journal of the Committee of the Whole

October 10, 1966

Upon the resolving of the Convention into a Committee of the Whole, the Chairman called the Committee of the Whole to order.

GENERAL ORDERS

The Committee of the Whole proceeded to consider and act upon the General Orders of the Day.

Proposal No. 155, Substitute C "Of Local Government"

(The Committee on Local Government recommends the adoption of the Substitute Proposal)

By unanimous consent, the Committee of the Whole dispensed with reading the proposal in its entirety.

Section 1 of the proposal was read.

Mr. Coleman, seconded by Messrs. Cannon, Lawrence, Kenyon, Gorham of Foster, and Prince, moved that the Committee of the Whole recommend adoption of the section.

The motion was debated.

Mr. Doris, seconded by Mr. Cochran, moved that the Committee of the Whole rise.

On a division vote the motion prevailed, 53 delegates voting in the affirmative and 0 delegates voting in the negative.

(For proceedings in Convention and resolving into a Committee of the Whole again, see Journal.)

Upon the resolving of the Convention into a Committee of the Whole, the Chairman called the Committee of the Whole to order.

GENERAL ORDERS

The Committee of the Whole continued consideration of Proposal No. 155, Substitute C.

Debate on the motion to recommend adoption of section 1 continued.

At 2:57 P.M. the Chairman yielded the rostrum to Mr. David D. Warren, First Vice Chairman.

On a division vote the motion prevailed, 57 delegates voting in the affirmative and 4 delegates voting in the negative.

Section 2 of the proposal was read.

Mr. Coleman, seconded by Mr. Cannon, moved that the Committee of the Whole recommend adoption of the section.

On a division vote the motion prevailed, 47 delegates voting in the affirmative and 2 delegates voting in the negative with more than 2 delegates present and not voting.

Section 3 of the proposal was read.

Mr. Coleman, seconded by Mr. Cannon, moved that the Committee of the Whole recommend adoption of the section.

On a division vote the motion prevailed, 49 delegates voting in the affirmative and 1 delegate voting in the negative with more than 1 delegate present and not voting.

Section 4 of the proposal was read.

Mr. Coleman, seconded by Mr. Cannon and Lawrence, moved that the Committee of the Whole recommend adoption of the section.

On a division vote the motion prevailed, 47 delegates voting in the affirmative and 0 delegates voting in the negative with more than 4 delegates present and not voting.

Section 5 of the proposal was read.

Mr. Coleman, seconded by Messrs. Cannon, Lawrence and Bizier, moved that the Committee of the Whole recommend adoption of the section.

On a division vote the motion prevailed, 47 delegates voting in the affirmative and 0 delegates voting in the negative with more than 4 delegates present and not voting.

On suggestion of Mrs. Foster, by unanimous consent, the Committee of the Whole dispensed with reading of Section 6.

Mr. Coleman, seconded by Messrs. Cannon and Lawrence, moved that the Committee of the Whole recommend adoption of the section.

The motion was debated.

On a division vote the motion prevailed, 42 delegates voting in the affirmative and 4 delegates voting in the negative with more than 5 delegates present and not voting.

Section 7 of the proposal was read.

Mr. Coleman, seconded by Messrs. Cannon and Lawrence, moved that the Committee of the Whole recommend adoption of the section.

On a division vote the motion prevailed, 43 delegates voting in the affirmative and 2 delegates voting in the negative with more than 6 delegates present and not voting.

Mr. Coleman, seconded by Messrs. Cannon, Lawrence, Roberts and Gorham of Foster, moved that the Committee of the Whole recommend adoption of Proposal No. 155, Substitute C.

On a division vote the motion prevailed, 58 delegates voting in the affirmative and 1 delegate voting in the negative.

At 3:47 P.M. The Chairman again took the rostrum.

Proposal No. 117 "JURY TRIALS"
(The Committee on the Judiciary does not recommend adoption.)

The proposal was read.

Mr. Cooney, seconded by Messrs. Vacca, Matzner and Murray, moved that the Committee of the Whole recommend that the proposal be rejected.

On a division vote the motion prevailed, 63 delegates voting in the affirmative and 0 delegates voting in the negative.

Proposal No. 121 "ATTORNEY GENERAL"
(The Committee on the Judiciary does not recommend adoption.)

The proposal was read.

Mr. Cooney, seconded by Messrs. Wexler, Vacca and Lawrence, moved that the Committee of the Whole recommend that the proposal be rejected.

On a division vote the motion prevailed, 57 delegates voting in the affirmative and 0 delegates voting in the negative.

Proposal No. 123 "JUDICIAL POWER"
(The Committee on the Judiciary does not recommend adoption.)

The proposal was read.

Mr. Cooney, seconded by Messrs. Dolbashian, and Vacca, moved that the Committee of the Whole recommend that the proposal be rejected.

On a division vote the motion prevailed, 56 delegates voting in the affirmative and 0 delegates voting in the negative.

Proposal No. 198 "PROPERTY TAKEN FOR PUBLIC USE"

(The Committee on the Legislative Department does not recommend adoption.)

The proposal was read.

Mr. Manning, seconded by Messrs. Doris, Parrillo and Murphy of Coventry, moved that the Committee of the Whole recommend that the proposal be recommitted to the Committee on the Legislative Department.

The motion prevailed on a voice vote.

Proposal No. 208 "LEGISLATIVE VOTING"

(The Committee on the Legislative Department does not recommend adoption.)

The proposal was read.

Mrs. Pulner, seconded by Mrs. Foster and Mr. McKiernan, moved that the Committee of the Whole recommend that the proposal be adopted.

On a division vote the motion prevailed, 28 delegates voting in the affirmative and 26 delegates voting in the negative.

Proposal No. 209 "REAPPORTIONMENT"

(The Committee on the Legislative Department does not recommend adoption.)

The proposal was read.

Mr. Manning, seconded by Mr. Cochran, moved that the Committee of the Whole recommend that the proposal be rejected.

On a division vote the motion prevailed, 48 delegates voting in the affirmative and 2 delegates voting in the negative with more than 1 delegate present and not voting.

Mr. Warren, seconded by Messrs. Cooney and Coleman, moved that the Committee of the Whole rise.

The motion prevailed on a voice vote.

August P. LaFrance, Secretary
Constitutional Convention