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INTRODUCTION

There are numerous reasons given about why the use of part-time faculty presents a problem in the academic world. When these are arranged in rough categories most of the discussion is centered on four topics: the status of part-timers, exploitation or the lack of justice for part-time faculty, their morale or job satisfaction, and the educational problems that are created by using part-time faculty. Other issues discussed are the inclusion of part-timers in the life of the school and academic freedom. There are few references to the issue of administrative control in the literature we have examined and little discussion of the potential conflicts between part-time and full-time faculty, including the subject of unionization of part-timers. More recent literature examines part-time and contingent faculty’s movement toward organization. Some of this is union-based and some not. An interesting trend in the managerial literature can be noted. At the beginning of our research, the question of the teaching abilities of part-time faculty was addressed. Most often it was assumed that part-time faculty’s teaching abilities were less than that of full-time faculty. Managers via a series of studies concluded that there really was no difference in the teaching quality of part-time and full-time faculty. This may have been motivated because as more and more part-timers were hired managers had to justify their own behavior as more than economically motivated. The conclusion that they could hire cheaply but provide the same quality served their interests.

The more recent literature (2003-2010) may be divided into that written by managers and faculty activists for social change. The idea of oppression of contingent faculty has begun to appear in the literature. While there has been recognition of the “unfairness” of working conditions for part-time faculty by both sides that pervades the literature from the beginning of our research there is now a slight emphasis on the moral implications of exploitation.

There have been starts at examining the system of relations that have produced the current exploitation of contingent faculty. Bousquet has to date produced the best analysis. Joe Berry explores unionization efforts. It seems that the activists have concluded that unionization is the only counter to the continuing oppression of contingents.

Unionization, however, produces its own set of problems. Most existing campus unions have been set up by and are still controlled by tenure stream faculty. The issue that emerges is a potential and sometimes real conflict of interest between part-time and full-time faculty.

Only Bousquet had discussed in depth the increasing use of contingent faculty in terms of the corporatization of higher education. This is a serious omission in the literature. More recently the impact of higher educational corporatization has begun to make an impact with scholars.

Tuckman, Caldwell and Vogler (1978) identified several categories of part-time faculty:
**Semi-Retired**—those reporting their primary reason for becoming part-time is that they are semi-retired. **Students**—persons employing other departments than the one in which they are registered to receive a degree and who are called part-timers rather than graduate students by the institutions that hire them. To be a student, the person must report the he or she is currently registered for a degree. **Hopeful Full-Timers**—persons who report that their primary reason for becoming part-time is that they couldn’t find a full time position. **Full-Mooners**—persons who in addition to their part-time job held a full-time job of 35 hours a week or more for 18 weeks or more. **Homeworkers**—persons who report that their primary reason for becoming part-time is to take care of a relative or child. **Part-Mooners**—persons holding two or more part-time jobs of less than 35 hours a week for more than one week. **Part-Unknowners**—persons whose motives for becoming part-time do not fall into any of the other categories. (p. 189).

We believe this classification is unnecessarily complex and obscures the issues. For some of these categories, part-time faculty may not see their situation as a difficulty, for example, the person who has a full-time job and teaches either regularly or occasionally to augment income. For others, academic part-time work is a welcome and necessary supplement to their work in the home. Complex local and individual situations are only recognized by managers who use them to justify the benefits to the individuals. (For example, in Rhode Island retired public school teachers cannot work in state colleges and still collect their full pensions but they can teach part-time at private schools without consequence).

The group that sees part-time academic work as most problematical has been labeled “freeway flyers,” those who juggle several part-time jobs at more than one institution in order to make a living.

Compared to other part-time workers, part-time faculty are better educated, experience job instability due to changing conditions in academic labor markets rather than in the larger economy, and have marginal status among their colleagues although they command full status from students. The position carries some prestige and recognition compared to other industries where the part-timer is a marginal worker.

Part-timers who want full-time academic careers derive less satisfaction from college recognition. They are more focused on the job assignment (teaching) and are detached from the academic community. They have less formal contact with other faculty. On the other hand, part-timers experience less role conflict and job stress than do full-timers.

The traditional view of the university as a community of scholars becomes less accurate with the increasing use of part-time faculty. Schuller (1990) argues that for English universities the change in material status including the increasing use of part-timers makes the idea of community less likely. People do not exist on more or less equal terms. There is a core and periphery of academic workers just as there is in other industries.

The idea of an academic community rests not only on the majority of academics enjoying the same terms of employment; it rests on their sharing the same reward system. . . . The greater the variation in pay scales, and in the discretionary elements in salary and remuneration packages generally, the less support is given by individual
material bonds to the notion of community. Academic solidarity may not reach its highest form in the sharing of terms and conditions, but the absence of communality in such matters may pervade other, more intellectual, aspects of life together.  (p. 6).

Part-time faculty seem to think of themselves in terms of the model of the “ideal professor.” He or she spend their work time teaching a two to three class load (sometimes four or one if there is a research grant) and doing scholarly research. Part-timers derive dissatisfaction with their comparison to this model. Managers will often agree with part-timers and “wring their hands” about their lack of ability to provide this for the part-timers. The most usual reaction is to provide cost free faculty development or limited participation in some campus activity. But there are more important priorities from their point of view, hence the market justification of using part-timers. The other model used by managers is the faculty member who is employed full-time outside of academia and teaches for prestige or perhaps just for extra money. The use of these models allows ignoring the issues of justice for contingent faculty.

There is little or no employment security for part-time faculty. “. . . part-timers have long known that the primary feature of their status in higher education is their expendability.” (Gappa, 1984a, p. 6). In larger urban settings, where there is a large pool of qualified candidates, part-timers find the competition for part-time positions adds to the insecurity.

Most law holds that part-time faculty have no claim to their jobs and may be replaced at will. Administrative policies in higher education reinforce this doctrine. Legal action to force equal pay for equal work for part-timers has been unsuccessful because part-timers are seen as doing different types of work from full-timers.

Biles and Tuckman (1986) argue that there is a need to balance the institutional need for flexibility with standards of fairness and equity for part-timers.

It is usually not required or desired that part-time faculty take part in the governance of the institution. Some observers take issue with this because of the belief that schools of higher education should be reasonably democratic institutions.

There is little academic kinship between part-time and full-time faculty because part-timers do not participate in departmental or institutional life. The concerns of part-timers are more directed toward adequate pay, guarantees of continuing work, preference for full-time work, and more respect.

Part-timers are generally satisfied with many aspects of their jobs, for example teaching, but dislike being outside of the framework of decision-making. They are dissatisfied with the low salary and little or no benefits.

The image of part-timers varies according to the circumstances that lead to their hiring. They may be viewed as a valued resource or as an accommodation to fiscal necessity. Full-timers see the benefits incurred when part-timers “are regarded as pivotal in meeting pedagogical objectives.” When cost is a major reason for the use of part-timers, the situation is seen negatively.  (Warme and Lundy, 1988, p. 207-8).
Many part-timers hope that teaching part-time will lead to full-time work through experience or contact at the institution(s) where they are employed. Five reasons, however, are given about why part-time work rarely leads to full-time work: (a) no career ladder, (b) over 85 percent of part-time positions do not carry tenure, (c) no normal salary progression, (d) opportunities for promotion are limited or non-existent, (e) part-time faculty are not full-fledged members of their employing departments. (Tuckman and Pickerill, 1988, p. 109).

Working conditions for part-time faculty are regarded as abysmal. Part-timers frequently hold meetings with students in campus coffee shops, student lounges, or their own homes because they lack office space. When there is office space, it is often shared with other part-timers. Off-hour, off-campus summer, and lower level introductory courses are mostly staffed by part-timers. Some part-timers teach at three or four colleges to get enough work to produce a full-time salary. They have to spend additional time traveling between jobs, which make it difficult to organize a coherent work life.

Cline (1993) raises the question of whether part-timers want to teach for the sake of learning or just for the money. One observer points out that low pay and many courses cause teachers to cut corners. Although the salary is negligible, a teacher may assume there is a greater reward such as scholarship or teaching. The hiring party may assume that colleagueship and experience are rewarding. These attitudes avoid looking at the reality of hiring cheap labor. (Kantrowitz, 1981).

Faculty lose control of the curriculum when they lose control of the hiring process. Department chairs typically hire part-time faculty, without much consultation from fellow faculty members, and then with the approval of higher administration.

The more part-timers are hired, the more full-time faculty are obligated to handle non-instructional duties such as advising, curriculum development, and program coordination. There is reduced faculty participation in governance, instructors have less time to meet with students, and they may feel less of a commitment to the institution. With the added bureaucratic burden comes an absence of infusion of new ideas. (Moodie, 1980). In addition, teaching loads and class size may increase for full-time faculty when budgetary concerns suddenly force administrators to reduce the part-time workforce.

Part-timers are disconnected from the “mission and spirit of the institution.” (Gappa and Leslie, 1993). Some effects are the “...eroding [of] the tenure system and [the] threatening [of] the quality of undergraduate instruction.” The university has no commitment to part-timers and part-timers are “less apt to have a long-term commitment to the university.” (Wilson, 1996, p. 13.)

Hiring non-tenure-track and part-time faculty creates a transient labor force, which “institutionalizes inexperience and discontinuity.” (Berver et al., 1992, p. 27). Students suffer most from these policies. The most frequent student complaint about part-time faculty is that faculty are not available or not willing to discuss student concerns.

There is a controversy about whether part-time faculty should have their own unions or belong to the same union as full-time faculty. It is argued that unions generally try to protect their full-time members.
Analogous to the traditional workplace, part-timers may be seen as lowering pay rates and threatening the jobs of full-timers. In union parlance, they are “wetbacks and scabs” and become pariahs (Bonham, 1982, p. 10). Part-timers are seen as competitors for salary and threats to status and job security.

Material chosen for the bibliography began publication in 1977, which was the year when Tuckman and his associates began publishing their findings. The 1970s was the period when the use of part-time faculty expanded more rapidly than it had before or since.

We have organized the bibliography by year, from 1977 to 2010.

More recently, the numbers of part-time faculty have begun to expand further, especially in community colleges. Accompanying this development has been the increasing use of adjunct non-tenure track faculty in full-time positions. At this writing (Fall 2011), two-thirds of the faculty in higher education are contingent part-time or full-time. Only one-third of the faculty is tenured or on the tenure-track. It is the purpose of this bibliography to facilitate understanding of the meaning and implications of this major change in the structure of higher education.

The annotations in the bibliography were written from the perspective of a part-time faculty member, unlike most of the literature, which is written from a management perspective.

“The sheer number of articles, books and professional documents available on employing, training, evaluating, retaining and integrating contingent faculty may overwhelm the academic reader.” (Schell, 1998: 39) We hope to make this task a bit easier with our work.

The reader will note that there are some items in the bibliography that have not yet been annotated. Additions are a continuing process. We will be adding more items from time to time as well as continuing the annotation process.

We have compiled this bibliography over the past twenty years. We published an earlier version in 2008. If readers have additions or items that we have missed, please feel free to send a reference with or without annotation to bpankin@providence.edu.

The interest in this topic among academics (not seemingly in the general public) continues. We compiled a list of dissertations theses, which has been added as an appendix to the bibliography. These are not annotated. From 1974 to 2010 there were 307 Doctoral theses (PhDs and EdDs) written on the topic. There were also 32 Master’s theses in this time period. Table 1 shows the complete breakdown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Major Research Universities</th>
<th>Minor Research Universities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PhDs</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EdDs</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The earlier degrees at both types of schools were evenly divided between PhDs and EdDs. More recently minor research universities produced more of them. Thirty-six percent of the degrees came from major research universities. That percentage has declined from 60 percent in 2007. This seems to indicate a decreasing interest in this topic at major research universities. Some of the titles may be of interest to researchers in this area. The subjects are scattered across a broad spectrum. Most of the work seems concentrated on community colleges.

The bibliography was last updated in 2011. These entries are available. The current literature that is now annotated in this version of the bibliography says many of the same things we found in the earlier material. That is particularly true of the articles written by academic managers. The more serious scholars have turned their attention to how the use of part-time and other contingent faculty fit into the trend toward globalization (neo-liberalism) and developments in labor organization.

The increasing use of part-time and contingent faculty from approximately 20 percent in the early 1970s to 70 percent today (2011) represents the surface evidence of a major change in higher education. The MLA report, abstracted in this bibliography, predicts in 1998 that the continuing use of temporary faculty will lead to serious consequences for higher education. The serious consequences seem to be an accepted corporatization of higher education.

This is a report of a survey research study of part-time faculty at Santa Monica Community College. It was designed to test the stereotype of part-time faculty held by managers. Abel found that the stereotype is wrong on "virtually all counts." This early study which debunks the myths makes one wonder about why the misconceptions about part-time faculty linger on.


This chapter identifies potential threats to knowledge production under current academic market conditions and proposes reliance on part-time academic positions as a possible solution to some elements of the problem. Abstract comes from volume.


This article appeared along with the Tuckman et al. report and Van Arsdale article as part of "Exchange on 'Part-Time' Employment." Deutsch criticizes both papers for failing to treat structural issues. He suggests sexist practices in employment of females as part-timers. Beyond that he only suggests market factors for the increasing use of part-time faculty and even cites managers' excuses based on market trends. He neglects to discuss corporatization of higher education.


Typical of most large multi-campus community colleges, Northern Virginia Community College employs many part-time faculty; its president and one campus director point out the strengths and challenges of such a policy. Abstract comes from volume.
Ewer, P. (1978). Comment "Exchange on 'Part-Time' Employment.". American Sociologist, 13(4), 203-204. This article appeared along with the Tuckman et. al. report as part of "Exchange on 'Part-Time' Employment."

Ewer concentrates her remarks on academic freedom for part-timers and program quality. She points out that there are few limits on what academic managers do in appointing part-time faculty.

Goldman, P. (1978). Comment "Exchange on 'Part-Time' Employment.". American Sociologist, 13(4), 204-206. This article appeared along with the Tuckman et. al. report and Van Arsdale article as part of "Exchange on 'Part-Time' Employment." Goldman's main point is to criticize the Tuckman et. al. categories. He also points out that for the most part part-time faculty status is a career dead end.

Head, R. B., & Kelley, E. P. (1978). Part-time Faculty and the Law. In D. W. Leslie (Ed.), Employing Part-time Faculty (pp. 41-57). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Inc. The authors identify and discuss three important legal questions in part-time faculty employment relations; status, compensation, and bargaining unit determination are covered and policy implications are reviewed. Abstract comes from volume.

Karmen, A. (1978). Comment "Exchange on 'Part-Time' Employment.". American Sociologist, 13(4), 206-207. This article appeared along with the Tuckman et. al. report and Van Arsdale article as part of "Exchange on 'Part-Time' Employment." Karmen uses the concepts developer by social critics in his analysis. Part-time faculty are exploited similarly to other exploited workers in other settings. Managers create structural barriers which produce alienation from work, colleagues and students. Scientific management practices contribute and these same managers use "victim blaming" ideology to justify their behavior.

Kellams, S. E., & Kyre, K. K. (1978). Part-time Faculty in Four-year Colleges and Universities. In D. W. Leslie (Ed.), Employing Part-time Faculty (pp. 17-40). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass. The chapter outlines characteristics, work patterns and attitudes of faculty. There are almost twice as many women as men; part-timers held the Ph. D half the time that full-timers did. The teaching goals of full-timers and part-timers are essentially the same. Part-timers experience less role conflict than full-time faculty

This article appeared along with the Tuckman et. al. report and Van Arsdale article as part of "Exchange on 'Part-Time' Employment." Kraft indicates that part-time faculty increases are a result of "a retrenchment of persons, not positions." He doesn't believe that the labor market is the cause. He compares the part-time situation with the de-skilling found in the computer industry. He sees academics immersed in the culture and ideology of professionalism as victims of their employers.


The part-time faculty labor pool is described, trends in part-time academic work are outlined and variations among fields, types of institutions and demographic groups are identified. Abstract comes from volume.


This article appeared along with the Tuckman et. al. report and Van Arsdale article as part of "Exchange on 'Part-Time' Employment." Macke uses her comment to make excuses for the increasing use of part-time faculty.


Siena Heights College has experimented with a formal development program for its part-time faculty, and two administrators draw on the experience here to recommend patterns and principles for increasing part-timers' commitment and effectiveness. Abstract comes from volume.


Two planners at Miami-Dade Community College present the major elements of their system for employing part-time faculty on "planned" and "contingency" bases, the central rationale being that part-timers are used both to supply expertise and to achieve budgetary flexibility. Abstract comes from volume.

This article appeared along with the Tuckman et. al. report and Van Arsdale article as part of "Exchange on 'Part-Time' Employment." Miller uses a labor market analysis to examine the issues. He suggests that women organize and present the increasing use of part-time faculty as a feminist issue. He also points to the divisions between part-time and full time faculty and doesn't expect that full-time faculty will champion part-time causes.


This article appeared along with the Tuckman et. al. report and Van Arsdale article as part of "Exchange on 'Part-Time' Employment." Storer refers to market forces to explain the increasing use of part-time faculty. Oversupply is the main cause. He also blames the victim.


Tuckman begins by contrasting academic part-timers with others who work part-time. The advantages for some academic part-timers and the disadvantages (for all--status) are examined. This leads Tuckman to his well-known taxonomy of part-time faculty. He then applies that to personal characteristics and work histories. An overview of the study sample is provided in an appendix.


Using complex mathematical techniques, the authors try to determine what accounts for differences in the salaries of part-time faculty. Only at four-year colleges who give rank to part-time faculty was any difference found. The authors worry that increments in skill and experience in the part-time group are not being rewarded. With no incentive the quality of part-time faculty may decline.


The authors attempt to find out if there is a career in academe for part-time faculty. The use their well-known taxonomy to see which group wants full-time work. They find that the odds are against part-time faculty finding full-time positions.

This article is among the first ground-breaking reports on part-time faculty done by Tuckman and his colleagues. The trends toward using more part-time teachers were recognized. Data from a national survey of part-time faculty are presented. The authors conclude that if part-time faculty were given academic rank according to their qualifications they are underpaid by that standard. The well-known taxonomy of part-timers (Semi-retired, Students, Hopeful Full-Timers, Full-Mooners, Homeworkers, Part-Mooners and Part-Unknowners) is presented.


This article appeared along with the Tuckman et. al. report and Van Arsdale article as part of "Exchange on 'Part-Time' Employment." The rejoinder to the comments on the article concentrates on local job markets. It avoids issues of corporatization.


There are six articles in this volume which are the core of the Ford Foundation, AAUP study of the mid to late 70s. Some of the chapters have been published elsewhere (they may be found in this bibliography) and those which haven't are also noted elsewhere in this bibliography. This study carries historical interest and the evidence gathered since indicates that nothing much has changed in regard to part-time faculty.


The authors examine fringe benefits for part-time faculty. They find that most part-time faculty do not get any benefits beyond social security contributions. Comparisons are made based on hours taught and along the Tuckman classification.


The authors base this labor market analysis on a 1977 study sponsored by the AAUP. They point out that the market for part-time faculty is based on a limited geographic area. That is, the academic workers have limited mobility choices. Advantages and disadvantages to both part-time faculty and managers are reviewed. Data are presented that indicate that part-timers are compensated less that full-timers based on work performed.

This article appeared along with the Tuckman et. al. report as part of "Exchange on 'Part-Time' Employment." From his own experience, Van Arsdale points out that part-time faculty are being de-professionalized. He points to the differences in the treatment of full and part-time faculty to make his points. Van Arsdale's strongest statement on the issues points to higher education managers. "Employers who would exercise every skill to deny status to an entire class of employees upon whose services they are unavoidably and increasingly dependent, and who would hide behind the protection of laws designed to prevent such practices, and who would then further deny the same class of employees even the figment of a fair and equitable wage, are indistinguishable from thieves and criminals."


This article appeared along with the Tuckman et. al. report and Van Arsdale article as part of "Exchange on 'Part-Time' Employment." Van Arsdale points to de-professionalization again. He also points out that the oversupply of academic labor covers up the fact that administration is an increasing high cost item.


This article appeared along with the Tuckman et. al. report and Van Arsdale article as part of "Exchange on 'Part-Time' Employment." Wilkerson, who worked for the American Sociological Association (ASA) at this time, spends her article promoting the ASA line that sociologists should look for non-academic employment. Ironically, the article that follows this Exchange is titled "The Myth of Non-Academic Employment."

1979


The temporary closing of the City University of New York in 1976 prompted the author to look at conditions in the academic labor market for new Ph.Ds. He examines market conditions and internal conditions including the continuing overproduction of Ph.Ds. and the decline in enrollment projections. He points out that social scientists have a notoriously poor record in prediction and simply expect colleges and universities to keep growing. In addition tenured professors profess no interest in the connection between education and jobs. He discusses the
demoralization that occurs with competition for jobs, rejection letters and the insecurity of part-time or temporary jobs. Those considered for positions are held to higher standards than those who already hold tenured positions.


An early popular media recognition of the increase in the numbers of part-time faculty. Discusses part-timers who "love teaching" but may leave academia, the loss of talent and the tightening academic job market. Gives a managerial rationale that enrollments are declining.


This report recognizes the sudden growth of part-time faculty during the 1970s. Friedlander says that the reasons are cost savings and flexibility for managers in curriculum and in cancelling classes. Part-time instructors can be let go at the whim of the manager. Friedlander questions the instructional capabilities of part-time faculty compared to full-time faculty. Based on national surveys he finds part-time faculty have less experience, have spent less time at the current institution, more of them have less say about instructional materials, use fewer out of class activities and use support services less often than full-time faculty. In addition, part-time faculty are more likely to grade based on in-class activities and be less involved in "professional-growth" activities. Most of this can be explained by the fact that part-time faculty teach under less favorable conditions than full-time faculty. Friedlander recommends increasing faculty knowledge of the institution, encouraging participation in staff development programs, extending staff support services to part-time faculty and fostering professionalization of the faculty. This is one of the few articles that include a recommendation for increased compensation for the expected professional activities (office hours, class preparation) of part-time faculty. [Annotator’s Note: Reports like this fostered a movement among college administrators to demonstrate that part-time faculty are just as competent as full-time faculty in the classroom. If that is true the cost savings are justified.]


The article reviews the early literature on part-time faculty and documents the increasing use of part-timers during the 1970s. The author points out that using part-time faculty protects full-time faculty jobs, and that part-timers can be let go in times of low enrollment.

The authors deal with the issue of whether part-time faculty and full-time faculty should be in the same bargaining unit. They point out that there are different kinds of part-time faculty so the problem is "not whether all part-time teachers should be included within a bargaining unit, but which part-time teachers should be included." (361) A brief review of legal rulings in industry is provided. The principle established is "community of interest." The New York University case (NLRB) set a precedent excluding part-time faculty from full-time faculty bargaining units but generally cases are handled on an individual basis. In some cases it is pointed out that there is a conflict of interest between the two groups. Cases are reviewed on a state by state basis. There is no consistency. One of the fears of management and full-time faculty is that by sheer numbers part-timers in some situations could turn bargaining units into strong advocates for parity for themselves.


The author notes the spreading use of part-time faculty and argues that this is caused by college management and their imperatives. Managers have three major functions: economic, program and personnel. Academic managers have to carry out the wishes of the elite on the board of governors. To do this they take over control of production by hiring part-timers.


Discusses the situation of different types of part-timers. Those who moonlight from other full-time jobs are generally happy with the extra work and prestige. Those who are trying to maintain a teaching career are unhappy. The unhappiness comes first, from low wages and lack of fringe benefits. Secondly, treatment from full-time faculty is often condescending. Senior adjunct faculty are not able to have the benefits of grants and travel.


This is a report of a study of part-time faculty salaries in 1975-76. An institutional sample was drawn. The market model dominates the analysis. Local markets hold down the compensation of part-time faculty as supply is more than demand. Part-time salaries are layered in terms of university (highest), four-year colleges and community colleges (lowest). Many variables are suggested but little if any difference is found to account for salary differential. There is considerable speculative discussion in the article.

Defines a "hidden professoriate" as those who have been released from their departments for various reasons or have not obtained tenure. In addition there are those who have recently come into the labor market. Supply of potential faculty has outstripped demand. This group is generally not visible or organized and is not recognized. Institutional relationships are described along with major trends in higher education in the 1970s.

1980


The article is about legal issues and administrative concerns in the use of part-time faculty. The authors spell out some legal concerns including a California Court of Appeals decision that granted tenure, proportional salary and benefits to part-time faculty who worked 60 percent of a full-time load. From the management point of view contract provisions must be very specific (especially about termination and no right to continue) and part-timers should not be hired for more than 49 percent of a full-time load. Administratively, part-time faculty must be acquainted with the policies and resources of the institution so these can be used to promote student retention.


The writers (a full-time faculty member and a manager), want to promote the hiring of women and minority part-time faculty to meet affirmative action standards. [Abstractors note: this of course allows the hiring of white men for full time positions without worrying about diversity.] They review the history of affirmative action including Supreme Court decisions. They want the federal government to establish a "rational policy" toward affirmative action in regard to part-time faculty. The authors claim this will lead to diversity in the full-time faculty because universities will promote the part-timers. [Abstractors note: the opposite has proven to be true since this article was published.]


This article is written from a management point of view. Using positivistic methods, the authors compare teaching effectiveness of full-time and part-time faculty. The assumptions of
cost benefit analysis are incorporated into the study. Using three different measuring instruments, they found no difference between the effectiveness of part-time and full-time faculty. They conclude that the cost of part-time faculty is considerably less than full-time faculty on a productivity basis.


The author describes a situation where business and technical specialists teach in community high schools under the direction of the community college administration.


Eliason suggests that part-time faculty need to be hired for financial reasons. Standards for them should be set by management but conditions need to be improved. She suggests that a major "social benefit" is to enable management to meet affirmative action standards by using part-timers. This article is part of a special issue of *New Directions for Community Colleges*. "Prior to publication, the manuscript was submitted to the Maryland Council of Community College Academic Deans."


Greenwood, an assistant dean, recognizes some of the problems of part-time faculty. These include haphazard hiring, lack of office space, no opportunity to meet with peers, and no role in institutional planning. [Abstractor's note: there is no mention of salary, benefits or status issues.] The recommended solution is an advisory committee composed of adjunct faculty, which must be taken seriously. More office space is recommended.


This article deals with the management problems encountered when dealing with part-time faculty. It seems that the primary problem occurs in terms of numbers. The more part-time faculty the harder it is to manage them.


This article is written from an administrator's point of view. The author surveyed "Directors of Extended Day Programs" in southwest community colleges. In a brief review of the literature,
he lays out the advantages and disadvantages of using part-time instructors. Questions were asked about: recruitment, selection, orientation, supervision and evaluation. Differences were found between ideal and actual practices. There were also differences between smaller, rural based schools and the larger schools.


Katz discusses a lost generation of academics and potential academics. The article contains some interesting insights gained from this generation and some of their teachers. Katz roots the situation in history and the marketplace. The gypsies in the title refer to those who wander the country in full-time temporary positions. In a hierarchy of positions part-time openings are at the bottom. Katz contends that people leave academic life in order to avoid descending to that level.


Schools have imposed a moratorium on hiring regular, full-time faculty members and are relying more on part-time instructors. Since community colleges are unique they use more part-time instructors to teach specialized courses related to their specific communities. The traditional use of part-time faculty was in a ratio of one part-timer to three full-timers. Colleges have adopted a policy that sometimes yields a majority of part-time faculty for economic reasons. This comes at the expense of its academic mission and has deleterious results for part-time faculty.


This report is of historical interest. With a wealth of statistical detail it shows how the job market was changing for Humanities Ph.Ds. in the 1970s.


Parsons, a dean, who has two articles in this volume, likes to think in steps. Parity in this case means parity for the consumer. The goal is to attract "consumers to the community college by assuring them that the part-time faculty is as good as the full-time faculty." Most of the steps deal with management procedures but Parsons does admit that compensation needs to come closer to parity.

The article begins by concluding, "Obviously, part-time teachers are not as well versed as full-time ones in the process of instruction." (48) A six part model is presented in this light. One of the five parts deals with instruction. The rest deal with administrative procedures including faculty evaluation. Evaluation is conducted by students and "supervisors."


The article describes "in-service training institutes for adjunct faculty." The institutes mostly provide information about the management policies of the college.


The article is divided into sections. It is a source for 1970s management information about part-time faculty.


Smith assumes that the teaching of part-time faculty members needs to be improved. He outlines programs designed to do this.


Does sex discrimination exist among part-time faculty at two-year schools? This research based on a 1977 survey finds differences in wages and salaries between males and females. "This is especially true for those who would prefer to be employed in a full-time academic position." Women are less likely to find full-time positions. Women are more likely to be hired to meet enrollment overloads and are less free to move. The authors conclude that teaching part-time in two-year schools while seeking full-time academic employment presents a serious problem for women.


Describes the administrative problem of using part-time faculty. The author indicates that there are many opportunities for administrators to be involved in instruction. The areas include hiring, renewal, student evaluation, and reward, defined as continuing the faculty member.
The basic assumption of the article is that part-time faculty are less qualified for their jobs and that administrators have to control them.


This is an early comparison of the teaching effectiveness of part-time and full-time faculty. The author uses statistical methods to assess three variables to measure teaching effectiveness: student evaluations, class retention rates and performance in subsequent advanced classes. The study was based on a sample of instructors and courses matched between full-time and part-time faculty. No differences were found in the teaching effectiveness of the two groups.

1981


"Guidelines are needed to assist colleges and universities in setting appropriate standards for the employment of part-time faculty members. The treatment of part-time faculty members, in terms of salary, fringe benefits, and of security of employment, also deserves examination" (29-30). The concerns of the committee were that "part-time faculty not be exploited" (30). Part-time faculty should not be hired to replace full-timers which "would undermine the protection of academic freedom." (30) This is viewed in the context of the 1940 AAUP statement. Several categories of part-time faculty are recognized along with the fact that women are over represented among part-time faculty. The policy recommendations are: the opportunity to obtain tenure, not appointing at the last minute, compensation for preparation if a class is cancelled, appointment using standards which apply to full-time faculty, inclusion in faculty governance procedures, prorated compensation, and provision of fringe benefits. The article contains justification, analysis and discussion of all of these recommendations.


A narrative of the dispute two women had with a university for unfairly keeping them from promotions and salary improvement. Two very highly qualified women, who were faculty wives were hired as part-timers with low pay and no status. There is a discussion of the confusion at the university brought about by inconsistent standards for both men and women during hiring and promotion processes. The legal system did not provide justice and affirmative action programs added confusion rather than redress at the time this article was published.

This is a report based on data collected in the 1977 AAUP survey. The authors use the original categorization from the AAUP study to compare men and women and report the differences. They conclude that part-time status is a special women's problem especially for those who would rather work full-time. Some policy recommendations are made.


The article notes the increasing numbers of part-timers found in higher education. It asserts that this "affects the quality of education at our nation's institutions." The evidence for this is that part-timers do not usually hold the Ph.D. "At issue is how much knowledge of a field is necessary to be a good teacher." Tuckman recommends "effective hiring procedures in the part-time market." There are also recommendations for more inclusion of part-timers in the intellectual life of the school. He also recommends a degree of compensation fairness.


The primary value of this study is historical. It reports survey research on five Florida community colleges. The historical interest is that at the end of the greatest period of part-time faculty expansion, these authors were finding much the same data and making the same recommendations we find in current literature. The study is oriented toward administrative concerns. The rate of return of questionnaires from part-time faculty was only 34 percent. Administrative returns were 63 percent.


This is a report of a detailed study of part-time faculty in Ohio higher education. Questionnaire data were collected from part-time faculty based on lists provided by school managers. Case studies were completed on five of the schools through in-depth interviews with 13 administrators. The questions asked were those whose answers have the most interest to managers. They sought to answer charges of exploitation of highly qualified professionals, discrimination against women, and abuse of part-time faculty by community colleges. They answer these charges by pointing out that historically part-time faculty have always been used. The data indicate that there only 25 percent of PhDs are teaching part-time. Women are exploited more than men but these are only a small percentage. Community colleges may use a majority of part-time faculty but this is necessary to run their programs and may be due more to urban location than the type of school. Most part-timers have other full-time work and say
that they teach for pleasure (more men than women) and this seems to justify their hiring. Questions are raised about educational quality but remain largely unanswered. Although this study is developed with the careful neutrality of survey methodology from a management perspective, the data provide considerable room for critical analysis. Seventeen years (at this writing) after this report was published it seems very modern in its description of the use of part-time faculty.

1982


This editorial points out more potential advantages than disadvantages in the use of part-time faculty. In addition to the economic benefits to the institution, part-timers may include people with a firmer and fresher perspective in their field. Part-timers may be getting together and creating interesting intellectual circles outside of academe. Part-time work provides the opportunity for scholars to continue their contact with academe while pursuing other careers. Disadvantages include less output than that of full-time faculty. Discrimination by full-time teaching staff lowers morale among part-timers.


The authors assert that part-time faculty at New Mexico State University branch community colleges are moonlighters loyal to their full time jobs. They are not aware of the campus' culture or services (to faculty or students). Part-timers feel that they are outsiders. The authors conclude that the public relations benefits obtained from these faculty are negated. The reputation of the college may be damaged and the faculty deeply split. The authors, an administrator and a faculty member in educational management, propose ten provisions to increase the overall effectiveness of part-time faculty. All of these are directed toward the part-time faculty rather than initiated by them.


This paper by an academic manager assumes the necessity of increasing part-time faculty. Given this assumption, the recommendation from an "enlightened" manager is that management must control the process involved in the use of part-time faculty. She seems to think it is particularly important for management to insure good teaching by clearly defining the job. At one point she suggests that another solution to using part-time faculty may be found in updating technology; part-time faculty members are just another type of technology.
Throughout the paper Brown distinguishes between "professionals" (managers) and others (faculty).


This article contains good anecdotal evidence about the life of part-time faculty. The author reports on the feeling of degradation she and her colleagues have. She points out that administrators are willing to exploit part-time faculty and that full-time faculty allow that to happen. Administrative attitudes are that part-timers should be glad they have any appointment.


Chell became optimistic after publishing her article. She relates conversations with friends and colleagues that led her there. She ended up with a full time position.


The article details many of the problems associated with part-time teaching. A set of categories of part-timers is developed. The biggest problem is status differential. Goldberg proposes democratic inclusion as a solution.


This response is a defense of those who teach composition because they think it is important and resent their lowered status in English Departments.


After defining part-time as an appointment requiring less than a full range of duties, the authors examine the implications of the growing use of these positions in higher education. Contrasting interests and perspectives are explored. Data are presented from other studies as well as from an original survey dealing with institutional policy. Three case studies are presented. The book investigates trends, characteristics of part-time faculty, the legal aspects of employment, personnel policy, and the relations of part-time faculty in departmental settings.
After a brief comparison between the original use of part-time faculty and the contemporary scene, the article blames the increasing use on inflation and reduction of budgets. The authors also note that the ability to get rid of part-time faculty in case of enrollment decline is a cause. The problems of part-time faculty are noted: salary and benefits, limited participation, absentee faculty (lack of offices), limited commitment to the institution and questionable teaching ability. Recommendations for improvement are made from a management perspective.


The author details the conditions of academic "serfdom" that he faces.


This article concentrates on tenure and tenure-track faculty as opposed to temporary and non-tenure track faculty. A convincing argument for a dual labor-market in academia is made. Not only does this produce a bi-furcated salary structure, it also generates less desirable working conditions, e.g. all lower level courses, few perquisites. The existence of a secondary market provides a means of discrimination that labels those confined to it as inferior academics.


The writer, a director of a composition program, is worried about the quality of instruction in composition programs which use large numbers of part-time faculty. He is worried because of the physical exhaustion and demoralization of the part-timers.


Staley contends via this anecdotal article that the solution to poor conditions (sweat shops) for adjuncts is for people to refuse to take the jobs.


The author points out that the number of part-time faculty is overwhelming. Often part-time positions are the only openings in higher education. These faculty are often excluded from the
collective bargaining process. The article reports data on the benefits or lack of them to part-time faculty when they are and are not represented in collective bargaining. At the time this article was written the author concluded, "The situation for part-time faculty at unionized campuses is better now that it was in the late 1970's."


Wallace in responding to Cara Chell's article points out that there is a category of fully qualified professionals who are ignored: those who want to work part-time primarily because of family considerations (mostly women). These faculty members should be paid proportionally to full-timers. There would be little incentive then for administrators to divide up full-time positions into part-time but that option should remain available.

1983


The argument is presented that the reason for evaluating part-time faculty is to ensure parity between part-time faculty and full-time faculty. If both groups are similar in their teaching ability it will make no difference if part-time or full-time faculty are used. Specific objectives of part-time faculty evaluation are presented. The experience of Hagerstown Junior College is used to discuss these objectives. The benefits of evaluation to the faculty member and the institution are discussed. Methodological warnings are included.


Dykstra recognizes the limited nature of the data he collected. His conclusions seem to have limited utility in the light of other information which has become available since this article was published.


This is a collection of articles written primarily by college administrators which advises part-timers about college teaching. Only one author has ever taught part-time and that was voluntarily after "retiring" from a high school administrator's position to raise a family. The book covers basic information as well as administratively acceptable theories about students, teaching strategies and part-time behavior in the classroom.

This article, written by a full-time faculty member, is a good example of perceptions of part-time faculty as an underclass. It begins with a quote from a manager to the effect that "the judicious use of adjunct faculty" can enhance education in "a cost-effective manner." The author assumes that the quality of education may be affected by "instructors who may not fully share the goals of the institution." There is no discussion about what those goals are or the meaning of instructional effectiveness. The basic argument of the article is that if part-time faculty can be made to feel at home they will teach well and cause no trouble. If the morale of part-time faculty is raised, they will do better in the classroom.


This is a report of a survey of part-time faculty at a small, rural community college. The focus was on four areas "a) perceived role image, b) perceived institutional image, c) educational/occupational training, and d) motivation for wanting to teach." The most interesting finding was that the rate of return was only 36.2 percent of a survey sent out by school managers. They make a weak attempt to justify the relevance of the survey by showing that the age of the faculty who returned the survey was very roughly the same as in other studies. The findings indicate, as expected, that part-time faculty want more inclusion and need to become familiar with educational policy. This is in spite of their finding that the primary motivation for teaching was income.

**1984**


This is the most comprehensive study of part-time and temporary faculty that exists in the current literature. Abel has used a triangulated methodology including in depth interviews, questionnaires, a case study of union organizing and concise theoretical analysis to explore the conditions of work in contemporary academia. She surveyed seven campuses in California and interviewed displaced academics in depth. She explores the conditions of part-time work looking at the market processes from a human perspective. There are two very strong contributions on "The Academic Proletariat" and union organization. Abel is succinct about the differing interests of part-time and full-time faculty.

Agee, the coordinator of English Composition at Anne Arundel Community College, describes some improvements made for part-time faculty. None of these include compensation.


The author argues that community colleges are being "forced" to hire more part-time faculty. The causes are financial uncertainty and changing patterns of enrollment. Community college managers seek maximum flexibility under these conditions. Boggs implies that part-timers can provide non-traditional class schedules and increased effort at less expense. The literature is reviewed noting the national increase in usage of part-time faculty, objections from the AAUP and the AFT, economic advantages and the need for flexibility. The literature reviewed shows no difference in the quality of instruction provided by part-time faculty and full-time faculty. Boggs' major concern here is with standardization. He points out that course materials are chosen by the instructor so content is not standardized. "...standardization of the teaching process for part-time faculty has not been successful." Teaching skills, similarly, are not standardized.


Borenstein, an ex-department head of foreign languages, describes the use of part-time faculty in the face of declining enrollments. He says there is nothing that can be done about equitable compensation.


This article describes measures taken during a financial crisis at the University of Salford in the United Kingdom. One such measure was the use of part-time faculty. Funds were made available to fill positions that were necessary for students to complete degrees. Part-time faculty were used to reduce the burden of full-time faculty (whose loads were increased) and to provide flexibility for the management.

Colwell, the chair of the English Department at St. Clair Community College, describes his experience with part-time faculty. He argues that the success of his department is largely attributable to the work and cooperation of full-time faculty.


This is a report of a study conducted in 1981, a time period when managers were recognizing the increased numbers of part-time faculty at community colleges. There was "increasing demand for more productivity with less money." One of the major concerns was with the competencies of part-time faculty. As the title of the paper suggests it was up to managers to discover factors to motivate part-time faculty to become competent. Fox conducted a study (Nominal Group Technique) using quasi-experimental techniques. While he found that compensation was a primary issue for the part-time faculty he downplayed it. Instead he emphasized that part-time teachers loved to teach and recommended several co-optation methods for managers including the leader-centered Nominal Group Technique.


This is a summary of the author's book that was published at around the same time. As their numbers increase, part-time faculty play an important role in "first rate educational services." Part-time faculty are often treated as outsiders and are exploited as a contingent labor pool. Six areas of employment practices are discussed and it is shown how these can be detrimental to part-time faculty performance: appointment, support services, communication with peers, participation in governance, compensation, and job security. The author concludes, "The challenge is not to achieve parity with full-time faculty. Rather it is for institutions to have clearly articulated well-understood, humane and regulated policies based on good knowledge of the differences among part-timers."


This book reports on, summarizes and examines the issues surrounding part-time faculty. It considers effects on the quality of academic programs, the influences which induce institutions to hire part-timers, the limits on hiring (legal and collective bargaining) and makes recommendations. The data gathered are from many sources but original data is not included.

The chair of the English Department at Pennsylvania State argues that he can only try to make the situation better for part-timers but is not very successful.


The author describes the special problems that part-time faculty have in doing research. He contends that lack of publication keeps many of them from securing full-time positions,

Katz, D. A., & Tuckman, H. P. (1984). Displacement of Full-timers by Part-timers — A Model for Projection. Economics of Education Review, 4(1), 85-90. The article uses econometric modeling to show that part-time positions are increasing and full-time positions are decreasing. This was occurring at all levels of institutions.


Miller begins by pointing out that in her situation part-time faculty must compete for their jobs each year. She discusses the divide between full and part-time faculty. Full-time faculty refer to part-timers as "they." Women who move to follow their husbands are often, even when just as qualified (publications, PhD, etc.) are often left with only part-time positions.


Mulrooney was a union official who became a manager. She gives a brief history of California community colleges. A reason for the hostility between part- and full-time faculty is that in some places the two groups supported different unions. Community college difficulties because of Proposition 13 (property tax reduction) are described.


Potter reviews the legalities of what constitutes an employee and a contractor. Some schools have tried to treat part-time faculty as contractors in order to achieve cost savings. For the most part, part-time faculty do not meet the definition of contractors.

The history of the use of part-time faculty is described. The authors describe improvement and discuss what is behind it.


The author extols the virtues of a part-time position for a woman with children. She thinks that if all part-time positions were eliminated it would take away the opportunity for women to participate professionally. She resents, however, the low pay she receives.


This article documents the increasing use of part-time faculty in business schools during the 1970s. The ratio of full-time faculty to part-time faculty declined from 3.6 to 1 in 1970 to 2.1 to 1 in 1981. The authors used data from a national survey of business deans to investigate: employment criteria for part-time faculty: who sets the hiring criteria, differential hiring by field, comparisons with existing faculty, the success of part-time faculty, and a comparison of full-time and part-time salaries. They conclude that the market for part-time business faculty is expanding.


Forty percent of this book consists of essays about part-time faculty by the editor and the rest is a series of articles by 25 other writers. Wallace uses the first chapters to review some literature and introduce the other articles. Of the 26 authors, five are part-timers (including the editor). In the first chapter, Wallace tells horror stories and examines the issue of how part-time and full-time faculty relate. Her anti-union, anti-tenure bias (particularly against AAUP standards) shows through. One could conclude from this chapter that part-time faculty face a no-win situation no matter what direction they go. Wallace relies heavily on the Yang and Zak study in Ohio (see reference in this bibliography), which asks questions of interest to managers. In examining the situation for women, Wallace recognizes the overrepresentation of women among part-timers but her discussion is shallow and simply points out geographical limitations when women follow their husbands to job locations. The split between composition and literature is explored. Wallace contends that lower status composition courses are assigned to
women part-timers so that full-timers can teach literature courses. In her fourth and final chapter Wallace reports on a study she conducted of innovative part-time policies at several institutions. She reports her findings in tabular and narrative form. The rest of the book consists of articles by the other 25 authors. These are divided into sections of "case studies" on community colleges, state colleges and universities, private colleges, private universities and a chapter on case law. Brief abstracts of some of the chapters may be found in this bibliography under the individual author’s name.


After glancing at some of the major studies, Wallace provides some anecdotes from her own experience. Most part-timers would not suffer the horror stories except that they love teaching. She points out those variations in situations individually and for different schools compound the problem. Some of the most prestigious schools, for example, have given part-timers pro-rated salary and benefits, tenure and faculty participation; e.g., Harvard. One major point she makes is that the presence of part-timers support the activities of full-timers.


The paper insists that additional compensation be sought from non-traditional sources for part-time faculty. Special recognition should be given for part-time faculty teaching and part-time faculty should be more included in school and departmental affairs.

1985


This is a study of part-time faculty at York University in Canada. The financial decision to increase the number of part-time faculty has unintentionally produced young academics who are more committed to their own careers than to the university as an institution. This insight indicates that underlying managerial objectives of campus control are being realized. The research examines the characteristics of part-time faculty demographically, in terms of academic status (highest degree completed), and job satisfaction. Baker examines professional commitment via publication, attendance at professional meetings, and papers presented at professional meetings. She divides her sample into willing and reluctant part-timers. Considerable attention is paid to new Ph Ds who are unable to find full-time work. Women are overrepresented among the part-timers.

This paper is part of a larger volume which provides valuable background for the study of part-time faculty. This analysis is placed in the context of economic and political circumstances and the struggle of universities to find a role within them. One of the circumstances which may lead to academic unionism is the increasing use of part-time faculty. The authors look at this as part of the academic work process. Unionization is part of a struggle over control of the academic workplace. The use of part-time faculty is seen primarily as an attack by management on tenure.


These are comments on Wallace's article in the same journal. Buckley emphasizes that Wallace misses the point that part-timers are exploited. Managers use the market to accomplish this. No amount of cooptation will alleviate the "wage slave" position on part-time faculty. "Thinking which is anchored in exploitive economics will always be characterized by the mystification of problems." (538, italics in original) Healy points out that many of the things that part-timers seem to want, such as participation in institutional decision-making, would not cost much. Ziv is a former academic part-timer who has left for the business world. She has a good situation but would not, as Wallace has, advise part-timers to leave academia. Wallace responded.


While this volume does not address part-time faculty it is a good source for comparisons. It is particularly insightful about women and older workers.


This is a more detailed report of a study which appears in a collection by these authors (Warme and Lundy 1986) under the same title as this paper. There are more quotations from respondents illustrating their points. Significant differences were found between "willing" part-timers and "reluctant" part-timers. An interesting insight was that part-time faculty status has become a women's issue. They speculate that the issue has drawn attention because more men are now affected. There is a short discussion of union problems.

This is a report of a survey of part-time faculty at Ferris State College in 1985. A demographic profile of this group shows the majority to be women and a majority of whom would rather be working full-time. The major complaint they have is lack of fringe benefits. The improvements recommended are granting fringe benefits to part-timers.


Tancred-Sheriff in this article adds to organizational theory about universities. The essay explores the nature of the labor process and the way it is controlled. Contrasting control systems are explored based on the type of labor performed. Research is seen as a modified craft activity performed by entrepreneurial workers. The workers control their product individually or through recognition by colleagues. This is modified by outside sources of funding which bring bureaucratic control into the process. Teaching is also a craft but is controlled hierarchically by the small department collegial group. That group in its turn is contained in a larger bureaucratic unit. It is the latter that is most relevant for the use of part-time faculty. Teachers are seen as sub-contracted labor which establishes the control system. The tension for part-time faculty is found in the craft-like activity of teaching. There is an excellent chart appendix which summarizes the control systems.


This is a report of a study of student evaluations of technical faculty. Part-timers and full-timers are compared. The authors find that there is little or no difference between evaluations of part-time and full-time faculty. Managers use these data to justify the use of part-time faculty.


This is a report of a small study comparing "Terminated Faculty" with the "Underemployed." The latter category includes part-timers. There is a review of some literature in the article. The study of 18 terminated faculty and 11 underemployed concentrated on social psychological dimensions. Most of the part-timers were women who were bound to the area by family ties.

The book examines some of the conditions of part-time faculty employment. Each chapter contains policy recommendations covering the issues of academic employment for part-timers. The work is an attempt to reconcile the competing interests of part-time faculty with the interests of administrators. While the authors point out the costs of equity to part-timers they suggest no way to pay the bill. It is unlikely that many college administrations have adopted the recommended policies except in cosmetic ways.


The authors attempt to answer two broad questions in this volume. Will colleges and universities be able to maintain a qualified work force on the professorial level? If the answer to the first question is negative, what can be done in the future to rectify this situation? Data to provide background for the answers are gathered from several sources and studies. It is within this context that the issue of part-time faculty is addressed. Increasing numbers of part-timers from the 1970s on is noted. Several causes are pointed out and the authors assert that the range of ability among them is larger than that of full-time faculty (they argue that there are more on the lower end). An extensive study of faculty on 38 campuses was undertaken with interviews of faculty and administrators. In this context they report on part-time faculty as part of fragmentation. The information, however, comes from full-time faculty and managers. Although this book nods in the direction of the part-time faculty problem there is little in the way of analysis beyond economic managerial thinking. Perhaps this is a result of the authors thinking that part-time faculty are under-qualified and thus are a detriment to the profession. The book is important for background on academic workers.


The study uses two outcomes to compare student achievement when taught by part-time and full-time faculty. Subsequent grades in a second English course and scores on standardized tests were used. No differences were found among the students. The authors discuss some of the severe difficulties in generalizing from this study.
Elizabeth Flynn writes from the perspective of a former part-timer who is now tenured. Her argument is that part-timers should be completely included in academic activities, paid well and get fringe benefits. In this way part-time faculty can become productive researchers. Nancy Grimm discusses the problems of a well-treated (comparatively) part-timer who holds an M.A. She has no chance for a full-time position even though her classroom work is excellent and she publishes a bit. John Flynn is a part-timer who teaches outside of his PhD field and enjoys it. He complains of status issues and bureaucratic problems that affect his work. Ted Lockhart is a full-time faculty member who takes a broad look at "a pervasive attitude toward part-time faculty and their proper role and status in higher education." (17) The four authors are members of the Humanities Department at Michigan Technological University. Although some of the problems remain the same as elsewhere, the location of the school creates unique problems and at the time of this writing worked to the advantage of part-timers.

The authors are both academic managers. They ask "What is the long term impact of employing substantial numbers of part-time faculty?" (15) They ground their perspective by recognizing that "the essence of a college education...is in the challenge to students' cultural, intellectual, and emotional growth." (15) From this point of view, using large numbers of part-time faculty is more than a problem, it is a severe disadvantage. This is put forth and discussed in the chapter. Any economic advantage is outweighed by the administrative and educational disadvantages. The article is unusual because it is written by academic managers.

The 1970s saw a transition from part-time contingent faculty as specialists to their general use to save money. As specialists part-timers were seen as a valuable resource for students and full-time faculty. The article reviews the advantages and disadvantages of using part-time faculty. It is written, primarily from a manager's point of view.

This is a report of two separate surveys conducted in 1982 and 1985 of part-time faculty teaching continuing education non-credit courses. Generalization to all part-time faculty is implied but cannot be accepted. The part-time faculty surveyed may make up a majority who become the model for academic managers but these people usually have other full-time jobs.


The article lists advantages to managers of using part-time faculty. The first and primary advantage listed is financial. Munsey mathematically demonstrates the cost effectiveness of using part-time faculty even without considering fringe benefits. Other advantages listed are: ability to offer more courses or courses at times and places where full-time faculty don't want to teach; flexibility to adjust to enrollment fluctuations; part-time faculty provide a pool for hiring full-time faculty; satisfied part-time faculty provide good public relations for community colleges. If morale of part-time faculty is high, the school’s reputation in the community will be good.


This is a brief pamphlet stating the National Education Association (NEA) position on part-time and temporary faculty. The position is that such faculty should be treated in the same way as full-time faculty. The only difference is that part-time faculty should receive prorated pay based on their teaching load. The NEA deplores the widespread exploitation of part-time faculty.


This paper discusses the use of practicing professionals in the program at Pratt Institute. The part-time faculty are used systematically to enhance the education of the technical students at Pratt. There is a program of promotions and raises for part-time faculty. Liberal arts faculty are part of the full-time core. The author concludes "that if the part-time faculty are selected, hired, and academically placed for maximum institutional and professional enhancement, everyone will benefit. However, if part-time faculty are hired merely (or mainly) as cheap substitutes for full-time faculty, then all will suffer accordingly."
This article points out that the numbers of part-time faculty are increasing and are likely to continue to do so for the next five years. The faculty who teach part-time full time are called “gypsies” because they travel from school to school to do their work. They lack time to counsel and tutor students and to keep current in scholarly fields. They are seen as an underclass of professionals, underpaid and overworked.


Pollack is concerned with the practice of replacing retiring full-time faculty with temporary and part-time faculty. This is a continuing trend in the California State University System. She asks four questions and explores some answers from an AAUP perspective: 1) Should administrative desire for flexibility determine the composition of college faculties? 2) "What kind of faculty results from hiring part-time and temporary individuals?" 3) What happens to academic freedom when a large proportion of faculty are dependent on the good will of administrators? 4) What is the effect on accreditation?


Vaughan, a community college president, outlines the problem in managerial terms. The advantages of part-time faculty are their cheapness and flexibility for management. The disadvantages are found in areas of governance (faculty power). Part-timers are divided into those who have other jobs and have no desire to teach full-time and those who have such desires. The point of Vaughan's argument is that attention has to be paid to the latter group to avoid rebellion and protest.


This is a report of a study of part-time members of a unit of the Canadian Union of Education Workers (CUEW). Part-time faculty are interesting because they are a highly skilled and trained "reserve army of labor." The researchers divided the group who received questionnaires into willing (those who wanted to work part-time) and reluctant part-timers. Willing part-timers often have full-time jobs in industry or in households. Reluctant part-timers want full-time academic careers. A majority of the sample (60 percent) fell into the willing group. The reluctant part-timers were more likely to have terminal degrees and more were women than
men. After receiving the questionnaires, in-depth interviews were conducted with some of the respondents. There is also an examination of the interrelationship between part-time faculty and full-time faculty and between part-time faculty and deans. The dynamics of "marginality" for the reluctant are described: part-time status leads to low pay and limited support services, a heavy teaching load, little research and publication; therefore, reduced likelihood for full-time appointment. The authors caution that their findings may not be generalizable.

1987


This article describes the educational advantages gained by using voluntary part-time faculty. Disadvantages are noted for involuntary part-timers. Voluntary part-timers teach courses in their expertise and such material would be unavailable and unaffordable for most four- and two-year colleges.


This article is by a full-time faculty member who started as a part-timer. Starting with the assertion that "part-timers are usually full-timers somewhere else," he goes on to say that part-timers are less committed, less qualified and poorer teachers than full-time faculty. Batell adheres to the administrative line that flexibility is all important in the use of part-timers.


Describes in anecdotal terms the lives of some part-time faculty.


In what was supposed to be one of the definitive books on colleges in the U. S., Boyer devotes just over a page to part-time faculty at a time of rapid increase of the group. He points out that part-timers are an underpaid underclass whose greatest problem is that they don't fit in with the community. Boyer recommends a 20 per cent limit on the number of part-time faculty.


In a book of 360 pages Clark devotes six of them to part-time faculty. Nothing new is added to what others have said. Ernest Boyer in the foreword points out that use of part-timers represents an "insufficient regard for teaching." Both Clark and Boyer advocate scholarship
(staying on the cutting edge of the field through research--not necessarily publishing) as part of good teaching. Clark, however, does not discuss the problems of part-timers in achieving this goal.


There is a question about whether union contracts are beneficial to women faculty members. Based on this research there may be as many obstacles as help. The author points out that unions are run mostly by men. Full-time faculty who are mostly male may be reluctant to support equal rights for part-time faculty who are largely female. A question is raised about whether the cause is gender or part-time status.


This article delineates the working conditions of part-time faculty that produce stress. Those who experience the most stress are those who desire full-time careers and are most qualified. The stress producing conditions are: second class status, absence of decision making, inadequate compensation, inadequate performance evaluation, and last preference in work load and assignment. Gappa points out methods for reducing stress indicating that her "suggestions can be accomplished with little, if any, increase in expenditures for part-time faculty members."


The author points out that "too many" part-time faculty members are being hired. He indicates that this may even not be economically sound. He recommends decreasing class sizes, increasing enrollments, raising tuition and creating more full-time jobs using the increased funds.


Reports on formation of part-time faculty unions at the University of Maine and the University of California system. Discusses the controversy about separate unions for part-time temporary faculty and full-time faculty. Results from either system have been mixed as of the date of this article.

The article is a brief autobiography of the working life of a part-time faculty member. Maitland describes the transition and burn out that affected her before she left teaching for a union organizing career.


This article is a valuable resource for historical information and statistical data on all three California systems of Higher Education. In particular, material on the collective bargaining history of California Higher Education is reviewed. The major question asked by Maitland is does unionization benefit part-time faculty? She raises three questions in this regard: 1) "has collective bargaining altered the status of temporary faculty or has it continued the dual-labor market?" (254) 2)"is there conflict between the two faculty groups?" (tenure/tenure-track and temporary faculty) (254) "Would the temporary faculty fare better with representation in a separate unit?" (254) In answer to the first question, Maitland says that there have been some gains for temporary faculty but that there still remain inequities. There is conflict between the two groups because there are different interests. Maitland thinks separate bargaining units would not benefit temporary faculty.


This report provides a lot of valuable data about part-time faculty in California Community Colleges. These data include why part-time faculty were hired, reemployment rights, type of courses taught (credit and non-credit), age and gender, other employment, out of class activity connected with the position and quality of instruction. A section on policy recommendations is included. A legislative history is also included.


The article provides the history behind the Wyoming Conference Resolution, the text of which is included. The authors conclude "...the current shabby conditions for teachers of writing are not the product of economic conditions. They are the result of shortsighted policies formulated in response to anticipated economic trends."

This is a study that examines women's experiences in the academic world. The authors compare tenured women with "deflected women." The second category is those who have been deflected from normal academic careers such as part-time teachers. Twenty-five tenured and 37 deflected women were included in the study. In-depth unstructured interviews were used and detailed analysis of the result produced categories. The result is a study derived from the experience of the women interviewed. Aisenberg and Harrington were somewhat surprised by the commonality found between the two groups. "...the common patterns consist of the play in all women's lives of social norms that are constructed to cast women in subordinate, supportive roles in both their private and their public lives. With these ancient norms still powerful, women's experience must differ from that of men, must be marked by strong commonalities along with the more obvious wide variety." (xii) The book revolves around the "marriage plot" vs. the "quest plot." They argue that women at all stages have to overcome their own and others expectations involved in the marriage plot in order to successfully navigate a professional career. Part-time faculty are mentioned from time to time but the overrepresentation of women as part-timers is not directly addressed. Using the perspective of this work however, many interesting research questions could be raised about women contingent faculty members. One interesting project might be to compare men and women's career paths for those who become permanent part-time faculty. Are the men more like women in their attitudes and approaches to careers or more like other men? This is one of the best reports and execution of qualitative research existing in the literature.


The article describes many programs for training part-time faculty as "novice-professional model(s)." They are designed to meet the needs of the schools rather than the faculty. The recommendation is that part-time faculty participate in the design of training programs. The assumption is that part-time faculty (as opposed to full-time faculty) need such training.


This reads like a piece of ironic writing. If it is serious it leaves one scratching one's head. Crain is a highly qualified academic who due to life circumstances teaches part-time. The article plays on victimization, claiming that she experiences none while documenting it.

This paper reports research based on a "natural" field experimental condition. The independent variable is part- or full-time work. The dependent variables are organizational commitment and job satisfaction. The latter is emphasized. The method used was a scaled survey. The major finding was that part-time employees working fewer hours, doing the same work as full-time employees, were more satisfied than part-time employees who did lower end work.


The temporary hedge of using part-time faculty in the 1970s has become permanent. Introductory courses are now seen as fit for "itinerant laborers." Writing instructors are found at the bottom of a two-tiered labor force. The authors argue that the increasing use of part-time faculty began in response to projected enrollment declines in the 1970s. The projected enrollment decline did not occur but the "haphazardly gathered faculty" has become permanent. A possible solution to a problem has become the problem itself.


This chapter reinforces Garson's thesis that managerial decisions to use computers de-skills workers and gives control of the workplace to management. The use of computers can predict the need for labor and makes it possible to hire people on a part-time basis as needed. One example she uses is adjunct professors who, she points out, routinely teach basic courses.


The authors are concerned with the social-psychological effects of part-time work on faculty members working in two-year colleges. They review data which indicates that there are increasing numbers of part-time faculty being used. They point out that job shortages in the academic labor market give administrators greater control over all faculty members. The authors surveyed part-time faculty in Northern Illinois and Southern Wisconsin and used research testing "identity theory." Their conclusion is that conditions in the full-time academic market influence the work of part-time faculty members. The effects of the academic marketplace, however, are mediated by commitment and satisfaction. "Identity theory" was generally supported by the study.

After a brief review of some of the major literature, this article reports the distribution of part-time faculty in political science from 1972-73 to 1985-86. The data indicate that in four-year schools and universities the percentage of part-timers has been growing slowly. The authors believe that the American Political Science Association survey underestimates the number of part-timers. They also report the gender distribution of part-timers.


The 20-page booklet has two paragraphs about part-time faculty. These mention job security and inclusion but nothing about benefits or compensation.


This is written for people "considering part-time employment as a career option." The authors distinguish between voluntary and involuntary part-time employment. Part-time employment in the U.S. economy is compared to part-time employment in colleges and universities. Male female differences are explored. Why people seek part-time work is investigated. Does it lead to a full-time academic career? Social implications are analyzed.


This is the record of a congressional committee hearing about part-time and temporary labor. The testimony is about the problem in general and there are no references to part-time faculty.


The growing use of part time faculty depicts a general trend in employment markets. Part-time workers are the fastest growing segment of the market. This survey research of a large Canadian university explores some of the consequences of part-time status for the people who are in such positions and for the university. Part-timers provide curricular flexibility and allow fiscal restraint. Such positions meet the needs of some individuals. Academic marginality has severe costs for part-time faculty members who desire full-time positions. The ideal of the collegium is compromised and is perceived to depress the institution's scholarly productivity.

The authors indicate that the most significant problem is poor pay and job insecurity. Lack of recognition from administrators and full-time faculty creates a body of outsiders. They cite the NEA position indicating that some part-timers are starting to join unions to increase their status.


Cassebaum traces the problems of part-time faculty (English Composition) back to low pay as opposed to flexibility. She makes recommendations for actions at both a national and local level.


This study examines the impact of the relative increase in numbers of women doctorates on the academic labor market. The production of doctorates has remained about the same but more women are earning the degree. Women have a lower participation rate in the market than do men. New women doctorates make up a majority of the part-time employees in all fields. Women part-timers are less likely than men part-timers to seek full-time positions. The authors conclude that women are more likely to be voluntary part-timers. They note, however, that their data do not measure motivation just actual behavior. More women may not seek full-time jobs because "they believe that it is unrealistic to do so."


This study is based on a national sample of community and technical colleges. After random selection of the schools a sample of faculty at each school was randomly selected. Each sample list at a school was divided into part-timers and full-timers. Data were collected about demographics, professional activity and social psychological characteristics, e.g. job satisfaction and personal goals. No tables are included. Some of the more interesting findings were: 59 percent of faculty at these schools were part-time, a majority of part-timers wanted to teach full time, full-time faculty had more experience than part-time faculty. Comparative data are reported on technical faculty and transfer faculty. Not many differences were found.

The authors raise the question of whether students perceive a difference between part-time and full-time faculty. The study reported here is part of an ongoing series on part-time faculty by these authors. They used questionnaires with 356 students and in-depth interviews with 24 students. "Our study of students' perceptions of part-time faculty and their experiences with this group has yielded no evidence of major costs to the student clientele." (83)


A brief statistical history is provided which shows the dramatic increase in numbers of part-time faculty. The underclass status of such faculty is outlined. The handbook describes an ideal appointment process, questions a new hire should ask, teaching responsibilities and evaluation. The benefits of collective bargaining are discussed. The NEA's position, that part-time faculty should be treated the same as full-time faculty, is established.


The author argues that one fundamental difference between part-time and full-time faculty is holding office hours. He contends that the potential contact with students is important in quality of their educational experiences. Paying adjuncts to hold office hours would be cost effective in educational improvement and student retention. The author also advocates using the "permanent" adjunct faculty rather than an unknown to take last minute sections. The article contains a chart comparing full-time and part-time faculty in New York State in 1972-3 and 1987-8 by institutional type.


This is a report of a questionnaire study to determine the adequacy of support services for part-time faculty. The support services have mostly to do with faculty orientation, evaluation and integration. The author makes recommendations from a management perspective.

1990

At the time of this article Crain had been a full-time faculty member for three years after a ten-year career as a part-timer. She compares the two experiences. The major differences are salary and benefits. Crain also notes decision making, respect and having her own office as important.


This short piece by an academic manager takes for granted the necessity for using part-time faculty. DeBard worries about the quality of education produced by part-time faculty and concludes that there must be motivation for them to provide quality education. That should include fairer remuneration and inclusionary techniques. He provides a list to be used as a standard.


This paper is written from a management point of view. It expresses concern with the quality of instruction delivered by part-time faculty. It offers the opinion that most administrators would prefer to hire full-time faculty with the exception of part-timers with a high degree of technical expertise. The article discusses recruiting, the hiring process, evaluation, and devotes half of its length to legal issues. The justification for differential treatment of part-time faculty is found in this section. Part-timers are thought to have little legal or moral commitment to the institution so they must be treated differently than full-time professionals.


The paper presents a series of hypotheses based on life circumstances (age, family status) and different part-time arrangements. The assumptions of management are adopted without question. "...these hypotheses were chosen for their relevance to key dependent variables, (e.g., job satisfaction and commitment, productivity, and withdrawal behavior) ... "The 13 hypotheses suggest which groups employers should hire from. Although not specifically cited, reference group theory provides a basis for hypotheses about worker satisfaction. This material could be useful to hiring college managers who wish to maximize the productivity of part-time faculty.

This is a secondary analysis of data taken from two sources and a review of the literature that tests the utility of segmented labor market theorizing. The academic labor market is the focus of attention. There is an "...internal market of tenure and tenure-track appointments and an external market, consisting of all teaching outside this internal system." The argument is developed that it is better to view the provision of academic services from an organizational perspective than from an individual provider-client perspective.


Harrington reports on interaction with an adjunct faculty member at his school. It is largely an anecdotal account about her feelings.


Levite contends that schools cannot continue to underpay part-time instructors but supplies little evidence for the harm this does. She attributes the problem to the American disregard for the value of education. Kindle argues that market forces make it easy to pay less for people in the social sciences and the humanities but scarcity in technical fields may cause pay for those part-time faculty to go up. She says, however, that the negative educational effects outweigh the economic benefits.


This is a comprehensive examination of the impact of increasing numbers of women coming into academia. Lomperis looks at the supply and demand sides of the equation. The supply of women has increased just as the demand for full-time faculty has decreased. The opportunity created for women by increasing their numbers in PhD programs is offset. Women are found in increasing numbers in fields that men have abandoned and in off track positions. New jobs being filled by women have not been the good jobs. Women are overrepresented in part-time positions. The total numbers of faculty increased in the period 1975 to 1985 but 75 percent of that increase was expansion of the part-time faculty. Women have become an increasing proportion of the part-timers.

This is a report of a study comparing part-time and full-time faculty in course planning procedures. It also notes some other differences between the groups. The research found no difference between part-time and full-time faculty in any of the course planning variables; content was the most important of these. One major difference was that part-time faculty had more of a practical applied orientation than did full-time faculty.


This is a report based on the continuing research of these authors at York University in Toronto. The paper explores gender differences among part-time faculty. The part-time track is both horizontal and terminal for both genders. It does not provide an entry for most into full-time positions. How do people get on the part-time track, how do they deal with the conditions they find and how do they deal with their marginal role in the university? The percentage of female part-time workers has risen dramatically in academia even more so than in the work force in general. Women are clustered in the lower ranks especially among part-timers. There is a comprehensive report of the major factors involved in work life and job satisfaction based on gender comparisons. Several insightful quotes from respondents are included. Those part-time faculty aspiring to full-time jobs were less satisfied with part-time status than voluntary part-timers. Women who were involuntary part-timers were less satisfied than men.


The authors review some of the management oriented literature on part-time faculty. They want to improve conditions but are worried about part-time faculty being "detrimental to the welfare of the college and those it serves." (31) They favorably look at Cohen's The Two Year College Instructor Today which promotes the use of part-time faculty (there is no citation of this book in the article's bibliography). When they had meetings with part-time faculty they heard about such subjects as communication and inclusion but not about pay and other working conditions. The management idea of inclusion for these authors seems to be more control using co-optation.

This paper recognizes the growth of part-time faculty in English universities. The author is concerned about the effect on the traditional university community. He concludes that the increasing use of part-timers is one factor which is changing the character of English universities.


Silvers is an institutional research manager. This is a report of a survey of part-time faculty and department chairs at his college. The findings are interesting but localized.


This report, written by a full-time member of the Los Angeles Valley College (Community College) English Department, focuses on the problems encountered by full-time faculty due to the presence of part-time faculty. The most valuable part of this essay is a review of the literature of the time period. It is unusual that a study of students at this college finds that students seem to learn more from full-time teachers than from part-timers. The causes of this are rooted in the working conditions of part-time faculty, their academic preparation and their continuing professional involvement. Solutions are suggested.


This article is based on the author's PhD dissertation. Policy change recommendations are the central focus. These include removal of the temporary classification, reviewing the number of part-timers hired, hiring and evaluation policies (it is noted that many part-timers lack standard credentials), differential pay rates between districts and between full- and part-timers, payment for office hours and inclusion in faculty meetings and membership in the Academic Senate. In passing it is noted that the poorer (financially) districts employ more part-timers.


The paper reports of a successful program of mentoring for part-time faculty at Sinclair Community College. Full-timers are trained then used as mentors for part-timers.

Cayton argues that part-time faculty do not have the chance to learn the discourse of their academic communities and become isolated. They never fit into the profession. She bases her argument on the learning experiences of students coming into a discipline.


A short article pointing out that considerable amount of teaching is provided in universities by part-time faculty.


"The purpose of this study was to provide community college administrators with a greater understanding of part-time faculty so that they might manage part-timers more effectively. The study was conducted at Fullerton Community College. The data collected indicate that full-time faculty are more academically qualified than part-time faculty although part-time faculty have more PhDs than full-time. This finding is an artifact of lumping all part-time faculty together. This is just an example of sloppy data analysis found in this study. Profiles are created of part-time faculty with statistical overkill.


This chapter is part of a book that is a critique of the market-oriented corporate management of higher education. One management tactic when trying to gain control of the curriculum is to retrench part-time faculty. Part-time faculty are most vulnerable and have little protection. The use of part-timers is an effort to de-skill the work force in order to promote control. This chapter is particularly relevant in providing a perspective on management tactics in general and as context for the analysis of the use of part-time faculty.


"In view of these major functions of college managers--economic, programmatic, and personnel control--the attractions of employing adjuncts, and especially part-timers, becomes clear." (199) Some cases of documented "savings" by using adjuncts (part-timers) are presented.
Adjuncts can be employed to test market different courses without commitment (flexibility). Full-time faculty are protected as part-timers teach the service (introductory) courses, leaving full-timers to pursue interests which get them promoted. This produces an alliance between full-timers and management. Part-timers are a reserve labor pool. The chapter is insightful in using an industrial analogy to analyze part-time faculty.


This article points out that many people are complaining about the exploitation of part-time faculty. The numbers are increasing at the community college level due to growth at those institutions. Part-time faculty are hired for the most part to save money and deal with enrollment fluctuations. The article provides case examples from Texas and points to attempted legislative corrections.


The authors discuss how unemployment insurance works and its impact on part-time faculty costs. The authors suggest ways this problem can be handled by college managers. These include establishing an independent contractor relationship, hiring instructors who have other full-time jobs, contesting claims, and appealing unfavorable decisions.


Wallace advocates regular part-time tenure track positions. She specifies some of the advantages of these types of positions for English composition programs.

1992


The article summarizes two statistical studies, one of the American Association of University Professors and the other of the National Center of Education Statistics. The committee reaches the following conclusions: the growth of an underclass of part-time faculty undercuts the tenure system and severs connections between faculty and control of the curriculum. The conditions which divide part-time and full-time faculty members are job security, benefits and the opportunity to advance. Guidelines are suggested for improvement.

A plan to regularize the status of non-tenure track faculty at New Mexico State University is reported. The plan provides a ceiling on the percentage of positions that may be filled by non-tenure-track faculty; a two tier faculty with clearly differentiated duties; extension of professional job rights to non-tenure-track faculty; full evaluation of non-tenure-track faculty, merit pay, and opportunity for promotion; and university commitment to stabilization of non-tenure-track faculty positions.


Adjunct and part-time faculty are given four pages in this 376 page book. The author points out that the problems of new faculty are magnified for adjunct faculty. Loneliness, lack of opportunities for collegiality and no prospects for improvement are common. Stress and questions about maintaining the pace are issues. He favors inclusion as a solution to the problems of adjunct faculty. He points out that adjuncts are unlikely to question administrative decisions.


Burns argues that the imbalance of women found in humanities programs is due to structural discrimination. She is particularly interested in writing composition programs. Citing data from the 1988 National Center for Educational Statistics (NCES) report she shows that part-time faculty in humanities in four-year institutions are more than two-thirds women. If market forces were really operative there would be more full-time positions available, and women part-timers would be compensated at the same level as men in business and engineering. The three trends that lead to structural discrimination are: "the influx of women into the profession; the burgeoning of writing programs; and the decline of the humanities and liberal arts at least in terms of budgetary clout." Burns likens the use of women in composition to a third world labor force.


This is a report of data collected in 1989 by the Higher Education Research Institute at the University of California, Los Angeles. It provides a profile of community college faculty emphasizing part-time faculty. Comparisons are made to full-time faculty and between two- and four-year institutions. The findings are that part-time faculty say they are satisfied with
their jobs (at the same levels as full-time faculty) but do not go into the meaning of satisfaction. Faculties are primarily white and male with women and minorities more represented in the part-time ranks. The survey methodology is described briefly in the references section. The report is an excellent source for comparison with more contemporary data.


duRivage, V. (Ed.). (1992). *New Policies for the Part-Time and Contingent Workforce*. Armonk, N.Y.: M. E. Sharpe. This book is a general analysis of the trend to use more part-time and contingent workers. Although there is nothing specific to part-time faculty, the insights and findings provide research leads. Anyone familiar with the situation of part-time faculty will recognize many similarities between faculty and other part-time workers. A major point made is that the increasing use of part-time workers is best explained by the deliberate practices of managers rather than other factors such as demographic change.

Farrell, T. J. (1992). *How to Kill Higher Education*. *Academe*, 78(6), 30-33. The harm being done to higher education comes through the overuse of temporary and part-time faculty and the national trend to employ more administrators. The solution is to cut back on administrative appointments. Farrell contends that for lower division courses to accomplish the goal of teaching people how to learn, teachers need stable continuing appointments. Budget decisions should reflect education values and should not be made from the point of view of a cost accountant.


Heady, S. (1992). *I Am a Good Buy*. *OAYTC Journal*, 18(1), 44. Heady points out that her other work as a researcher provides valuable input to her classroom. She also notes that, because she is part-time and doesn't know if she will be asked to teach the
same course again, she does not prepare as fully as she might if she were full-time. This is a reaction to Candice Johnson's article.


Johnson argues that there is nothing wrong with using part-time faculty as long as the class system among the faculty is abolished. Part-time faculty should not be treated as "second class" citizens. This is part of a forum in this journal to which others responded.


Tom Johnson wants to organize non-tenure-track faculty in the Chicago area into one or several unions. The article contains a brief discussion of the two-tier labor market used in academia and other industry. Along the way to explaining a program for organization Johnson provides a very comprehensive list of the bad working conditions and disadvantages of working as a part-time faculty member.


This article has very little to do with part-time faculty. There is a strong implication, however, that part-time faculty are less than equal in ability to full-time faculty.


This article is written from the point of view of an academic administrator. It illustrates control tactics that administrators want to use for part-time faculty. Kimmelman contends that teaching effectiveness must be "enforced" by administrators. The assumption is that part-time faculty need help with classroom management and discipline in the classroom. A program for accomplishing these goals is described.


This is a report of a survey of English composition administrators at Kentucky colleges. The information sought was about compliance with the Wyoming Resolution. Considerable agreement was found about the broad principles but practices were different. When knowledge of the results was made available, improvements were made in some places
including at Murray State where compensation was increased for part-time English composition instructors by $750 per course.


Lombardi begins by pointing out that the problem of part-time faculty was created by community college managers. Part-timers are divided into the willing and not willing. Conflicts of interests between these groups and full-time faculty are noted. The article provides a comprehensive discussion of part-time faculty at the community college level. Many items in the bibliography seem dated but the article, never the less, seems contemporary. This may mean that the situation for part-time faculty has not changed much if at all since the 1960s.


This is a chapter in a book about part-time work in general. It documents the structural discrimination against women in academia and their relegation to part-time work. Somewhat surprisingly a lot of cause is attributed to market forces and the moral implications are ignored. "A significantly higher percentage of women than men believe that gender has affected their career decisions and career opportunities." (273)


This is a study of part-time faculty at two schools, Brigham Young University (BYU) and Utah Valley Community College (UVCC). At BYU the part-timers are treated not quite as well as graduate students. It is significant to note that women outnumber men by four to one. At UVCC the part-timers are paid two and a half times less than they are paid at BYU. Other working conditions are similar and not much different from part-timers nationally, i.e., nothing. This is survey research but the methodology is not disclosed in this article.


The "paper argues that the reasons for their (part-time faculty) invisibility are located both in the economy of the university system and in the ideological structures of academic practices." (318) Part-timers have been turned into commodities and women are over
represented in the group. Part-timers are hidden by not collecting data about them and by the exclusion of women from power. Part of the process is deskilling part-time faculty by designating a teaching-only position. Many women are seen as visitors from the home. This study is an important theoretical contribution as well as a report of a Canadian national survey.


Richardson reports a qualitative analysis of a faculty development program at Canyon College. The program was based on two assumptions: 1) Many part-timers have other full time jobs, 2) Part-timers, in general lack teaching skills. Part-time faculty are required to complete the program which lasts for three semesters. It is composed of a series of workshops and a lot of small group discussion. Upon completion the part-timer becomes an associate faculty member and receives an increase in salary.


The article points out that the absolute numbers of faculty on a campus are often disproportionate to the numbers of students. This is because so many part-time faculty are used. In areas like business most of these faculty have full-time jobs elsewhere. Composition instructors usually have no other income. Many have to have several part-time jobs. The article is about the feelings of isolation part-timers have. The solution recommended (which costs little money) is for the schools to provide mechanisms for part-timers to meet together. Part-timers share a love of teaching but are critical of working conditions.


This article examines the role of gender in the treatment of part-time faculty. The author draws the analogy of women first entering the workforce in this country. They had to accept any work available in order to work at all. She argues that women in academia are trapped in a similar situation today. Administrations tend to blame the market but the devaluing of women makes it more possible to offer low pay and disrespect. Part-time employment has become a "fundraising engine" for administrators. Administrators blame the victims for lowering academic standards. The author points out that some part-timers like this status because it is in addition to a full-time job. Most of these people are men. Other part-timers depend on part-time work for a livelihood and most of these are women. She goes on to describe efforts to unionize part-time faculty at Rutgers University. She points out that one of the problems is full-time faculty who think part-timers are under-qualified and threaten tenure track positions.

Thompson compares the structure of part-time employment in higher education with part-time employment in the business world. She finds many similarities. For example, cost saving is common in the non-academic work force. Similar to the business world many part-time academics are involuntary workers, are less likely to join unions, and have little if any job security. The increasing use of part-time workers promotes job insecurity for the whole workforce. Hiring part-time faculty cedes more control to administrations. They are moving curriculums toward vocational education and providing students with the corporate model of long hours and overwork.

1993


This is a discussion of the growth of non-tenure track faculty which consists of part-time and full-time people. The largest relative growth occurred between 1972 and 1977, a time of economic difficulty. Since then times have improved but higher education management has chosen to control tenure using the rational of flexibility. Relative numbers of tenured and tenure-track faculty have declined. "The increase in non-tenure-track appointments affects the quality of education as a whole and the stability of the profession in particular. The growth of non-tenure-track faculty erodes the size and influence of the tenured faculty and undermines the stability of the tenure system. The large numbers of faculty who now work without tenure leaves academic freedom more vulnerable to manipulation and suppression. The professional status of faculty suffers when so many are subject to economic exploitation and demeaning working conditions inconsistent with professional standards. The quality of education is at risk when curriculum, advising, and instruction are not in the control of faculty to whom the institution has made the kinds of commitments that ensure scholarly development and recognition of performance." (39) Data obtained from the 1987 National Survey of Postsecondary Faculty from the National Center for Educational Statistics are reviewed. Recommendations for change are included.


This essay is primarily concerned with "industry professionals" who teach part-time in two-year colleges. It describes some of the working conditions part-timers face from an administrator's point of view. Cline makes recommendations for improvement. The major recommendation is to set up an advisory committee of part-time faculty to address problems.

This is a report of a newspaper-like interview with a part-time faculty member. It notes the easy availability of part-timers and that the key to doing this type of work is getting enough assignments.


This is a report of a survey of administrators of 353 community, technical and junior colleges in the North Central Accrediting Association. The authors report an 80 percent return. The administrators were asked which services were provided for part-time faculty. They asked other questions about faculty evaluation. Few services were provided to part-time faculty. Most administrators said they planned to provide more services in the next few years. Almost 60 percent of administrators were satisfied with the faculty evaluation systems they had in place. One area of concern was "having too many part-time faculty and not enough administrative help" to evaluate effectively.


This book reviews the literature on part-time faculty and then reports on an empirical study of several campuses. The Tuckman typology is considered and brought up to date. There is a discussion of external forces (accrediting agencies, unions and professional associations) which affect policy on the use of part-time faculty. State and local budgeting processes are examined for their effects. The authors find that part-time faculty are used as a "buffer against hard times and to handle expanding enrollments." They point out that "...many institutions are no longer willing or able to make the fiscal, moral, and intellectual commitments that tenure requires to all, or even most, faculty members." The conclusion is that part-time faculty serve as a method to support the existence of an elite tenured faculty. This is a strong argument from an administrative perspective.


Green, a manager, makes the case that part-time faculty will not be removed from "second class citizenship" until they are paid on a par with full-time faculty.
The article discusses the increasing use of part-time faculty and the cost advantages to administrations in hiring part-timers. It also suggests that part-time faculty are convenient for administrators to use. Associated problems include sex discrimination and the undervaluing of part-timers.


The author explains to college and university business officers what rights they have in terminating part-time faculty. The usual assumption is "that a part-time faculty member on a one-term contract has no right to continuing employment." Questions raised are: property rights, speech rights and liberty rights. Legal decisions are discussed where a part-time faculty member may be allowed to keep a position. These might cancel the right of the administrator to terminate under the correct circumstances.


Using Foucault’s theory of "disciplinary" and "productive institutions," these authors explore management practices in urban community colleges. Traditional forms of supervision and review (e.g. collegial peer review and self-policing) have become cumbersome and expensive because of the large increase in part-time faculty. Management needs to introduce certainty in curriculum control, course standardization and educational quality. In order to do this, remote surveillance techniques are introduced: mandated textbooks, standardized course policies (syllabus) and departmental finals, spot classroom visits and evaluations, computerized monitoring of drops, grades and performance on standardized finals, no employment security, sign-in check points, "use of 'card access' systems to monitor movement and equipment usage." These systems contribute to the de-professionalization of higher education. The authors argue that part-time faculty are ghettoized. They are socially excluded from productive institutions even while they are employed there.


This is a report of a study of part-time faculty in medicine. After developing a list of part-time faculty, questionnaires were used. The methodology was careful and is clearly documented. They found a great deal of career satisfaction among both men and women. For women part-time teaching allows both family and career options. Men said that "part-time work allowed
them to be involved in academic work and teaching." Both groups commented on lack of respect from colleagues and limited remuneration.


The author reports on a study of part-timers in California who teach at more than one school. McConnel used a snowball sample of composition instructors asking where people taught, how they got into part-time teaching, about student differences, their programs, their roles, autonomy at different places, advantages and disadvantages of "freeway flyers," preferences in teaching approaches and suggestions for improving the system. Respondents filled out a time study table. The study illustrates differences among students at various levels (university, state college, community college and private schools). Suggestions for improvement of the system include: reducing the number of part-time faculty hired and hiring full-timers, forming unions, including part-timers in non-teaching activities, informing potential Ph. Ds about the market and making the situation public.


The author advocates making best use of the talents and skills of part-time faculty. Integration into the mainstream of the institution is important. Part-time faculty are good teachers, a curriculum resource, they are committed, they are a link to the community, they are a link to the workplace, they are a talented pool for full-time recruits and they save money. "Part-time faculty are only a problem when they are viewed as a source of cheap labor. When employed responsibly, they become treasured resources for the institutional program." The way this is to be done is through development, using a mentor program, and integration.


The authors argue that management is placed in a different role when they have to bargain with a part-time faculty union. Management must mediate between full-time faculty and part-time faculty who have different interests. Full-time faculty want to maintain control of academic processes including selection of which faculty to teach particular courses. Part-time faculty want distinctions between them and full-time faculty to be eliminated or reduced. Management's meditative role is to find compromise between the two groups. The article provides a case study of negotiations that took place at York University. One interesting observation that is made, almost incidentally, is that some people attribute the problems of part-time faculty as pathological which can be corrected. In fact, the system may be operating
normally, with outcomes a product of its design. The article shows management concern with
the power of full-time faculty. Some of the provisions of the part-time contract at York are
listed and by contemporary standards these are progressive.

Steward, T. (1993, Spring). Reaction to Candice Johnon’s Article “Professional Sense of
Community." OATYC Journal, 36.

The author attempts to make the case that part-time faculty are important for teaching
because they bring fresh perspectives to the classroom and full-time faculty do not. The short
article seems like an attempt to protect part-time jobs.

1994

Faculty Issues (pp. 50-52). Washington, D.C.: American Federation of Teachers.

This is a statement of recommendations produced by the Task Force on Part-Time College and
University Faculty. They are proposals for the elimination of the abuses found. These are
detailed and should be carefully considered by managers.

Faculty. Paper presented at the International Conference of the National Institute for Staff and
Organizational Development on Teaching Excellence and Conference of Administrators,
Johnson County Community College, Austin, Texas, May 1994.

This is a report of a study conducted with faculty in the communications division at Johnson
County Community College. Using essays and discussion groups of part-time and full-time
faculty members (who had had five years of adjunct experience) the authors examined
attitudes, looked at motivating practices and developed recommendations to improve the
professional standing and relationships of part-time faculty. The report consists of two brief
individual essays by the co-presenters, the charts they used and a questionnaire.

Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Conference on College Composition and

The perception of part-time faculty who have children is that they are not professionals. This
stems from the idea that family is their first priority. The consequence is that they are not seen
as viable candidates for full-time positions. They often have to secure multiple jobs to make
ends meet which hampers their ability to participate in academic life. Experiential data indicate
that women with children are directly and indirectly discouraged from having academic careers.

61
If women wait until their children are grown, then ageism becomes a problem. Solutions recommended are shared contracts, more mentoring for women and tenure for part-timers.


This article reports a survey of administrators and full-time faculty in journalism departments. Part-time and adjunct faculty were not included. The results are familiar. Part-time faculty are hired haphazardly and are not integrated into departments very well. The quality of teaching is as good as the full-time faculty. The managers believe that salary is not important to part-timers. There are few incentives for improvement although managers say they ought to do better. Journalism seems to be not much different than the rest of academia in their use of part-time faculty.


Discusses current trends in the academic marketplace. There is an overproduction of new PhDs which results in many applications for any open job. Many new PhDs have to take jobs at lower prestige schools or take temporary and part-time positions. The expected openings because of retirement in the mid-1990s are not materializing because positions that should become open are being cut when people do retire. Anecdotal materials are included.


The article reviews many of the major disadvantages of academic life for part-time faculty. Kean examines the impact on full-time faculty and some of the reasons that full-timers fail to support better conditions for part-timers and the creation of more full-time positions. She concludes that tenure requires people who hold it to take a moral stance and publicly denounce the exploitation of part-timers.


Reports on a survey of two-year college teachers of English. The survey had a low percentage of return and part-time faculty members were underrepresented. Seventy-eight percent of the part-time faculty were women. Sixty-five percent had master’s degrees but less than a majority of those were in English. This compares well with the full-time faculty returning information but numbers of higher degrees among full-timers were not reported. The profile of part-time English faculty emerging from this sample is that of a fairly experienced female instructor with a master’s degree, working at a public, comprehensive community college, and teaching one or
two writing courses using a process approach. Beyond teaching, this person is typically engaged in another occupation and not necessarily able, willing, or desiring to move to a different state in order to gain a full-time teaching position. The author advocates political organizing both inside and out of the academy to improve the working conditions of part-time faculty. He points out the internal and external difficulties of doing so.


The authors write from the point of view of sympathetic academic administrators. “... [M]any of the best teachers on campus today are part-time faculty." They begin by noting the high numbers of part-time faculty; at community colleges the numbers grow to near 60 percent compared to 35 percent overall. They describe four categories of part-time faculty: "Specialists, professionals and experts" who typically have other full-time jobs and tend to continue teaching at the same institution; "career enders" in many occupations including academe; "free lancers" who need the flexibility part-time teaching offers; "aspiring academics" who are younger PhDs or ABDs. Women in childbearing years are disproportionate in this group. They adopt the point of view that part-timers are a permanent and valuable part of higher education. Colleges should take advantage of the situation and work to develop the teaching abilities of part-timers. Part-timers should be treated fairly and be integrated into the whole faculty.


The article examines the market for new PhDs Interviews with some academics leave the impression that they are protecting their special interests in the process. Most of the blame is put on budget cutbacks at public universities. There are indications in the article that this is a long term situation rather than a new trend.


The authors advocate reducing the number of students in PhD programs. They point out that over production produces situations where some new PhDs teach more than 30 different courses at two or three institutions and publish articles before they get tenure track positions, if they ever do get such positions.

Discusses the problems of part-time faculty members, including overload, salary and benefit inequities. The social conditions of work differentiate this class of people from other faculty.


Re-engineering in higher education will lead to "uniform standards, performance-based assessment, vocationally oriented programs, limitations on tenure and more part-time and temporary employment for faculty." The issue is who controls institutions of higher education. Pratt points to the administration as gaining the upper hand. Education is seen as a product and students as paying customers. The faculty becomes more like an ordinary workforce and less professional. This is shown by a faculty growth of six percent and an administrative growth of 60 percent in the last decade.


This chapter is devoted to evaluating part-time and temporary faculty. Schwalm seems to think that formal methods of evaluation are necessary for this group. To set a context Schwalm presents 14 questions for managers to ask about part-time faculty use. These account for circumstances of both the department and the faculty. As applied, it means for all practical purposes only teaching (not research or community service) should be considered. Several methods of evaluation are considered and advantages and disadvantages are discussed.


This volume contains the MLA statement on the use of part-time and full-time adjunct faculty. (pp. 58-9.) It may be summed up by saying that these faculty should be used as little as possible.


The author discusses the American Council on Education figures of 32 percent part-time faculty (1993) at four-year public institutions and indicates that these are "indispensable." She argues that such faculty need to be recognized through inclusion on academic decision making bodies.

A statement of position from a well-known union activist. The same position is stated here as in her more accessible chapter in the Nelson book.


Half the college courses taught have instructors working for more than 50 hours a week, for less than $15,000 per year with no benefits. This indicates that teachers are not valued and the best students will not be attracted to the field.

1995


This study examines workloads of full-time nursing faculty when part-timers are present. Questionnaires were sent to full-time faculty members selected by deans (as representative) at schools having high, medium and low ratios of part-time faculty. Full-time faculty workloads were highest at the medium ratio schools. There was no difference in collegiality reported. This study is limited to nursing and biased because information comes from full-time faculty selected by deans.


Compares part-time teaching in Latin America with the U. S. The advantages and disadvantages of using part-timers are discussed. Although the majority of the faculty members in Latin America are part-time, there are striking similarities to the U. S. Part-time faculty members do not participate in making key decisions and are not easily available to students, but in many cases bring practical experience to the classroom.


The article argues that since adjuncts seem to be a permanent fixture in higher education, "we have to do better than we are now doing with our adjuncts." At the present time the only supervision adjuncts receive is being told about minimum requirements and what textbook to use. Adjuncts need more attention paid to them. One way is to enhance their teaching skills. Improving part-time faculty performance would require minor institutional investment and
boosts adjunct morale. In some ways that are not explained this reduction of isolation of part-time faculty is better for students.


Brodie points out that there are more college teaching positions than we have ever had in the U. S. Using data from the National Center for Education Statistics, he points out that full-time faculty fell by 11 percent during the 1970s and nine percent at four-year colleges in the past 20 years. This indicates that many full-time positions have been replaced with part-time faculty.


The author examines changes in faculty recruitment over 30 years after the publication of *The Academic Marketplace*. Prestige of the degree granting institution is still the major factor in hiring. The best graduates of the major departments have no trouble getting jobs. There is evidence that administrations have gained more control of the hiring process.


Among the consequences of professionalization of composition faculty is the increased use of part-timers. As these faculty accept tenure track positions their teaching loads are lowered and the reduced hours they teach are made up by part-time faculty.


The author argues that adjunct faculty and administration attitudes are the cause of the problems for part-timers. The paper is a compilation of positive and negative attitude statements. No information is given about the methods used.


Contains interviews with college administrators. They make the point of how cheap part-time faculty are. They also point out that there is little enrichment beyond class time
coming from part-timers. Full-timers make it easier to achieve consistence in multiple section courses.


In this editorial the permanent presence of part-time faculty in nursing is accepted. Most of these faculty participate in the clinical component of the program. Recommendations are put forward in order to relieve some of the supervisory burden on full-time faculty. These include making part-time faculty permanent members of the department and promoting them, making part-time faculty active members of the faculty for curriculum development, and general inclusion.


The article reviews available technologies and their application to training part-time faculty. The purpose of the article is to help provide better support for part-time faculty in their teaching. Recommendations for increased uses of various technologies are provided.


A refutation of the Altbach article. Points out some significant exceptions.


This article is written from the point of view of a writing program director who is sympathetic to part-time faculty. Hansen examines some of the conditions that have led to the two-tiered faculty and the exploitation of part-timers. She indicates that gender discrimination is a major part of the problem. The Conference on College Composition and Communication urged the professionalization of part-time composition teachers including creating more full-time jobs. Hansen advocates the professionalization of the teaching process. She describes some measures she took along these lines as a writing program director.


Points out that several professional associations have policy statements about how to employ and treat part-time and temporary faculty. None of these associations impose sanctions for
mistreatment. She recommends NCAA type rules be established and sanctions be imposed for violation. Since many part-timers are members of professional associations, the article raises but does not answer the question, "Does an association have an ethical or legal obligation to stop 'member on member' exploitation, particularly when the group is on record about the issue?


Points out the errors and overgeneralization in the Altbach article. Ignores his points about administrative control and de-professionalization.


This article by two of the most well-known researchers on part-time faculty is written in support of using part-time faculty. The tone of the article is reformist as opposed to critical. They recommend "changes colleges and universities can make to strengthen part-time faculty performance." They point out that using part-time faculty is a reality that will be a permanent fixture in higher education. One problem they would like to see corrected is the two-tiered system that exists between full- and part-time faculty. The authors indicate that most part-time faculty either have other full-time jobs or prefer for a number of reasons to take a reduced load. In their study published as The Invisible Faculty, they found that the many skills found in urban areas enhanced the programs of metropolitan universities. Their primary emphasis is on the technical and artistic skills and talents that may be utilized. They also point out the negative characteristics of the working conditions of part-time faculty. One new insight they provide is that library facilities may become taxed because part-time faculty can be hired to teach more students than the library was designed to accommodate. They make their often repeated assertion that part-time faculty support full-time privileges. Their recommendations include planning for part-time faculty use, providing fair employment practices, investing in human resources by valuing people and encouraging good teaching, providing recognition for part-time faculty, and providing better supervision by senior faculty and department chairs.


In a short section of this chapter the authors point out that only 20 out of 200 contracts refer to the relationship between part-time and full-time faculty. Most give full-time faculty priority in course scheduling. Some permit full-time faculty with canceled classes to displace part-time faculty. Five contracts address the ratio of part-time and full-time faculty and only one of these strictly limits the proportion of part-timers.
A news report on the working conditions of part-time faculty. Universities leave full-time jobs vacant and hire part-timers because they get lower salaries and no fringe benefits. The Modern Language Association president is quoted as saying this is becoming "an urgent problem." Administrators blame the problem on state cutbacks in support. The overproduction of Ph. Ds. is also blamed.

The author describes some of the problems faced by part-time faculty including transportation, heavy course loads and lack of remuneration. Salary disparities are pointed out. Data on increasing use of part-time faculty is provided. The major purpose of the article is to describe the efforts to unionize part-time faculty in New Jersey. The AFT recommendations on part-time faculty are listed.

The author is the MBA director at Niagara University. Based on his experience, he argues that most business executives who teach part-time do so because they enjoy teaching. He documents the savings achieved by college managers from using part-time faculty. The advantages to the school include having an experienced executive in the classroom along with expertise that can be conveyed to students. Students achieve a realistic picture of the business world. Executive involvement means that the curriculum is more in line with the needs of the business world.

This book reports the results of a very extensive survey of community colleges use of part-time faculty. Managers were asked to respond to a questionnaire and follow-up interviews were conducted. The major conclusion stated is "Colleges must take serious steps toward improving the utilization and integration of part-time faculty." Several recommendations follow which add up to treating part-time faculty as if they were full-time without guaranteed continued employment or benefits. There is an extensive bibliography.

Thompson begins with the premise that adjunct faculty need to be developed (trained). The trainers are the managers of the institutions. Many programs are suggested. None include fair compensation or a significant curriculum decision role for part-time faculty. Most involve cooptation.


The author assesses the effects of institutional type on part-time teachers. The article reports data comparing the work of students in a prestigious institution catering to upper middle class students to a more working class type of school. The author tries to find out if she gives higher grades to students in the more prestigious school. Part-timers who are dependent on student evaluations may be reluctant to give failing grades (and even give better grades) in order to get better evaluations. The data reported are inconclusive.


The article is primarily concerned with instructional cost at schools granting the master's degree. One interesting finding is that costs go up at public institutions with increasing use of part-time faculty. The author hypothesizes that this may be due to the use of part-time faculty to relieve full-timers so they can do research. No relationship was found at private schools.

1996


This article reviews literature on part-time faculty in community colleges. It looks at advantages and disadvantages. It is noteworthy that the disadvantages are discussed from a management perspective which is a function of the material reviewed.


Byrns brings out some weak arguments to denigrate part-time faculty. The value of this article is the refutations it inspired.

Dasenbrock is concerned with teaching in English departments. Most courses are taught by either part-time faculty or graduate assistants. He recommends converting graduate assistantships to full-time lines. This would give the proper attention to basic courses and reduce the number of people in the pipeline who would compete for full-time jobs in the future. The article has very little to say about part-time or adjunct faculty.


Enos establishes from multiple sources that composition as a teaching field has been feminized. Most of the staffing is part-time temporary faculty of which the vast majority is women. She used a questionnaire to gather statistical data and stories from the teachers. The anecdotal material speaks to the abuse that composition faculty takes from management and from tenured faculty. The most important chapter goes beyond the usual description of discriminatory working conditions to describe the emotional toll on the teachers; this contributes toward the institutional sexism experienced by women.


This is a series of short abstracts of conference papers and local reports on part-time faculty in community colleges. Some of these are noted in this bibliography.


This is a report on compensation for part-time faculty at several schools in Ohio and Michigan. Comprehensive comparative data is reported. The growth of part-time faculty with a decrease in full-time faculty is reported at the University of Akron. This was accompanied by a 58% increase in administrators. Recommendations are included.


The article reviews literature on adjunct faculty and finds little that concerns social work. For an exploratory study the authors use a very complex methodological design. Although not a probability sample, they collect data using questionnaires from managers, adjunct faculty and students. The students recognize the value of the part-time faculty in bringing practice issues
to the classroom. They don't think the adjuncts are as good at teaching as the full-time faculty. The part-time faculty (most of them) have jobs as social work practitioners. The data from them are reported from a management perspective. The report on the managers (deans) is descriptive of the day to day life of the managers. It seems that there were no questions asked about working conditions (salary and benefits). One important finding was that part-time faculty had a strong desire to be associated with an academic program. The prestige of that relationship probably helps managers recruit people to work at low wages.


Professor Menand reproduces the market argument without documenting the extensive references to numbers. He argues that the solution to the problem is to eliminate the PhD as a research degree and to give many more of them for people to use in non-academic settings. He seems upset that it takes so long to get a PhD.


This is a refutation of an article published by Jim Byrns. The Bryns article argued that the employment of part-time faculty harmed the quality of education. Quan goes over the disadvantages of part-time status, discusses the amount of work she does and quotes research by Gappa and Leslie to counter Byrns claims.


Rhoades notes the increased use of part-time faculty over the past 25 years. He concludes that this is part of a management effort "to minimize costs and maximize managerial control in providing educational services." The major factor leading to this situation is managerial flexibility. Rhoades makes his case by examining unionized institutions, those where expected managerial control in terms of faculty would be most limited. The approach here is different from most of the predominant literature. It examines the "politics of professional work" rather than trying to make recommendations for improvement in the use of part-timers or place blame for their continued overuse. Rhoades comprehensively examined the union contracts included in the National Education Association's (NEA) Higher Education Contract Analysis System (HECAS). Comparisons are provided between four-year and two-year institutions. Rhoades makes the observation that "managerial discretion, then, in regard to part-time faculty professional rights is virtually unconstrained in the contracts." The other major area of interest is the examination of professional stratification in terms of part-time faculty's conditions of employment. The telling conclusion is that "nearly two-thirds of the 211 contracts in the HEACS data base do not accord part-time faculty any of the rights/perquisites accorded to full-time
The conclusions reached on the basis of the study are that there is extensive managerial discretion built into union contracts covering part-time faculty and conditions of employment for part-time faculty are relatively undefined. Part-time faculty are only expected to teach their classes and not to engage in the rest of the professional work which defines a professional. As more part-time faculty are utilized by managers this is leading to a deskilling of the faculty as a whole.


The authors collect data which shows that there is some chance for contingent faculty staying at the same school to achieve tenure-track status. Twenty per cent can do this. More women enter as adjuncts than men but more men are likely to make it to the tenure track.


There is not much in this volume about part-time faculty. Kletzer and Schuster tend toward optimism. Kletzer because more people will be wanted for jobs that require college degrees. Schuster because of increasing faculty retirements. Schuster notes that management wants flexibility and really doesn't expect to see the percentage of part-time and contingent faculty decrease. The MLA statement on the "Use of Part-Time and Full-Time Adjunct Faculty" is in an appendix.


The article describes some of the conditions and developments affecting part-time and temporary faculty. These seem not to have changed much over the years. Offices are crowded, part-timers spend significant time traveling from one job to another, they are underpaid and have few if any benefits. The longer a person remains in part-time temporary positions the worse their chances are of getting a full-time tenure track position. The numbers and percentage of part-time and temporary faculty members continue to increase. Explanations are based on market conditions and the lack of resource allocation from government. The worry is that the quality of undergraduate education is being threatened and the tenure system is being eroded.
In the section on quality this publication discusses the increasing numbers of part-time faculty particularly in community colleges. Poor working conditions are pointed out. Legislation has been passed that limits the number of part-time teachers. The section includes material on "Improving Conditions for Part-time Faculty."


Lafer describes the issues and their importance in the Yale Graduate Student Strike of 1996. The management of the school used threats and engaged tenure-track faculty to act as their agents to intimidate the strikers. It showed that the management was willing to oppress the weakest members of the organization—the graduate students and contingent faculty. This is a good article which describes the corporatization of higher education.


University of California Hayward faculty are concerned about the quality of education delivered by part-time faculty. They contend that part-time faculty have no commitment to the school. This reduces departmental continuity. Part-time faculty are treated as a different class.


This article reviews the role of accrediting agencies as their rules relate to part-timers. Keith Hoeller filed a complaint with the U. S. Department of Education asking them to investigate the Northwest Association of Schools and Colleges about their standards for the use of part-time faculty. Leatherman's investigation of accrediting agencies indicates that while there are different standards among them the standards are seldom enforced. There seems to be no consensus about whether large numbers of part-time faculty hurt the quality of education at an institution.


The article points to the increasing use of "temporary" faculty. Integrated Postsecondary Education Data Statistics from the National Center for Education Statistics for 1995-96 indicate
that 20 percent of full-time faculty are not on the tenure track. Temporary faculty is a
misnomer as many continue year after year. Managers use temporary faculty because of cost
factors and because of the same kind of flexibility that is found in hiring part-time faculty.
Administrative budgets and personnel continue to grow. These conditions undermine the
teaching profession and erode the quality of education.

Use of Non-Tenure-Track Faculty in MSW Programs. *Journal of Social Work Education, 33*(2),
293-306.


Provides a statistical breakdown on all part-time employees working in higher education,
including part-time faculty. 1993 data is gathered from the National Center for Educational
The data includes teaching and research assistants and are broken down in terms of
institutional types. They indicate that previous estimates of the use of part-time faculty were
too low. Community colleges are running the risk of being dominated by part-time teachers. In
general, "It's hard to think of any other industry that uses so many part-time professionals as a
regular part of their staff."

Academic Workplace. In C. Nelson (Ed.), *Will Teach for Food* (pp. 3-31). Minneapolis: University
of Minnesota Press.

In this introduction to his edited volume, Nelson points out that higher education in the United
States is increasingly dependent on low paid labor, graduate students, full-time adjunct faculty
and part-time faculty. These teachers are expendable. This pattern on campus mirrors that in
the business world: downsizing, subcontracting and outsourcing. College and university
management continually attempts to slash labor costs. This situation provides an opportunity
for labor organizing on campus. This chapter reviews events at Yale University and hints at the
increasing weakness of even tenured faculty in controlling campus life.


Nelson discusses the effects of "superstars" on the two-tier wage systems of academia. He
points out that it is not the money paid to superstars in the humanities that makes any
significant difference. It is the hidden high salaries paid to MBAs, physicians and attorneys
which run up the cost of academic labor at the high end of the scale. Administrators often get
undeserved superstar salaries. One way these salaries are afforded is by hiring low paid adjuncts and part-timers.


The first half of this edited volume contains articles about the situation at Yale University and the strikes of graduate students and clerical employees. The second half of the book examines academic unionism with special attention given to part-time faculty. In the introduction Nelson outlines the position of the authors (pro-union) and reviews the book's contents. Several of the chapter abstracts are found elsewhere in this bibliography.


This chapter engages in an explanation of the exploitation of part-time faculty. Why don't things change when everybody knows what the problems are? Pratt provides the data on increasing use of part-time faculty as well as noting the advantages to managers. She recognizes that using part-time faculty is part of a long term strategy for eliminating tenure and gaining administrative control. One of her most interesting contributions is a profile of the working conditions of a part-time teacher. Pratt concludes that the advantages to managers in terms of cost and control are so significant that the practice of replacing full-timers with part-timers will continue. She thinks that the best hope for the profession is unionization of part-time faculty to make them just as expensive as full-time faculty. In this way the declining quality of higher education may be arrested.


Reports on a conference of the American Historical Association held in Washington, D. C. September 26-28, 1997 to discuss the use of part-time faculty. There is an increasing use of non-regular appointments which may be leading to the erosion of tenure. Part-time faculty are one segment of non-regular appointments. Use of part-time faculty is often justified by budgetary constraints. The use of non-regular faculty "...ultimately erodes faculty governance and departmental stability and weakens the ability of departments and institutions to provide
sound educational experiences for their students." This is not due to the qualifications of the non-regular faculty but rather is due to their working conditions. The solution to the problem is to provide decent working conditions and limit the use of nonregular faculty.


Styne is the English Department chair of a Chicago area two-year college. After the almost obligatory bow to their underpaid status ("Part-time teachers seem to become the 'slave laborers of the '90's.") she writes about managing part-time faculty. This includes a casting call for 40 people (23 were chosen) to the micro-management of daily activities of the part-timers. She points out that part-timers have "unrealistic" expectations for full-time employment.


Thompson associates the increasing use of part-timers in higher education with the increasing feminization of the academy. She likens the situation to the sweatshops of the early part of the 20th century. While temporary employment is increasing in the workforce, the situation in higher education is worse; almost half of the faculty are working part-time. Management argues that hard times and the market produce this situation. Thompson amply refutes this argument. Management blames the victims (part-timers) for lowering the quality of education while continuing to seek out ways to use more part-timers. In order to placate some part-time faculty, faculty development and committee opportunities are offered. There is a realization that part-timers serve as a reserve labor force and that management fiercely resists any move to show respect to this group or to provide employment security. The solution is complete parity with full-time faculty (salary, benefits and employment security) and organizing with a union. Only this will remove the negative educational consequences associated with the use of part-time faculty.

1998


This report expresses the American Federation of Teachers (AFT) concern about the increasing use of part-time faculty in higher education. There is good documentation of the facts about the growth of this segment of the faculty. This includes national statistics and a few particular cases. The AFT position on "What Can Be Done to Prevent the Gradual Disappearance of the
Professoriate?" is stated. Other sections of the report deas briefly with the tensions between full- and part-time faculty and the union position on organizing both groups. AFT accomplishments in organizing and improving working conditions for part-time faculty are discussed.


Aronowitz points out the contrasting developments in blue collar and white collar union membership. The former has declined since the early 70s while the latter has expanded. Part of the expansion has been among college faculty. The impact has been felt mostly among full-time faculty. He implies that one way the full-time faculty (and their unionization efforts) are undermined is by managers increasing the numbers of part-timers. Aronowitz argues that one of the impetuses which drive the attempt to unionize graduate students is their fear that they will end up as part-time adjunct faculty. The organizers believe that union experience is the way to prepare for their careers. The presence of part-timers holds down their own as well as full-timers salaries. Aronowitz recommends organizing across institutions (many part-timers work at more than one campus) or using a union hiring hall approach.


Although this book contains little or nothing about part-time faculty, it is a basic reference for some of those who compare part-time faculty with a broader population.


This article describes a day in the life of a part-time English teacher and is designed to represent the problem of part-time faculty. The faculty member has to have "a strong stomach for indignities." There is a contrast with people who do not work part-time full-time.


This is primarily an anecdotal article by a part-time English teacher in the Chicago area. It is similar to other articles that have appeared in the print media on this subject. The value of the story lies in the point of view of the author. She writes from the perspective of the part-time faculty member rather than that of a reporter. The topics covered are income levels, treatment
of part-time faculty, impact on students, lack of communication among part-timers, part-timers love of teaching and their desire to be more a part of the decision making processes on campus.


This partially anecdotal article describes the long days, miles traveled, low pay, no benefits and working conditions of two part-time faculty members. The reporter raises concerns about whether "students are shortchanged." She comments on the continuing numerical and proportional growth of part-timers.


This statement on the use of part-time and adjunct faculty was crafted by representatives of ten academic organizations. Its major value is as a concise summary of the changing conditions in the academic world which lead to the overuse of part-time faculty, the consequences for those teachers, and the harmful educational effects that are produced. This statement is a departure in its recognition of these effects: "...the terms and conditions of these appointments, in many cases, weaken our capacity to provide essential educational experiences and resources." The statement sums up what we know, points to the managerial benefits (the educational benefits are limited to using otherwise unavailable specialists), and the costs of "excessive reliance on part-time and adjunct faculty." In determining some policies and guidelines for good practices, the statement reveals some loopholes. The major one is the explicit recognition that "the use of different kinds of faculty is appropriate in different settings." The statement accepts institutional definitions of the need to use part-time faculty. The statement concludes with an action agenda which seemingly includes the regular use of part-time faculty.


It is argued that part-time faculty provide poor role models for graduate students when the part-timers teach in the graduate department. The lack of respect they are shown is depressing for the graduate students who may wonder what the future holds for them. Women graduate students get discouraged by the presence of part-time women in the graduate departments. The energy drain placed on part-time faculty feeds the negative impressions of their roles.


This chapter is excellent for the definition of the managerial university. The long tradition of collegial decision making has been turned against faculties as managers make or veto decisions. The faculty is given discretion on how to implement what management wants but cannot make a decision which goes against the wishes of those who run the schools.


This is one of the few writings to date that explicitly explores the educational detriment in using part-time and temporary faculty. After pointing out that using nonpermanent teachers is a strategy to shift authority from faculty to managers, the authors raise two important questions: "What is the effect of using large numbers of temporary professors on the quality of education a college or university can offer?; What are the consequences for the long range health of institutions?" They conclude that the effect "is deleterious" on both counts. Running an institution of higher education like a business is the reason many schools hire part-time faculty. A major problem is the basic insecurity of many temporary faculty lives. Comments from three part-time faculty members are included.


The authors point out at the beginning that professors are part of the service sector of the economy. They go on to explore the implications of this fact. Adjuncts make up part of the labor pool of academics. They become the surplus labor necessary for management to control organizations. More women and people of color are found in the surplus labor pool including
the academic surplus labor pool. The members of the surplus labor pool are a threat to the full-time faculty. Management has been able, therefore, to extract more labor from this group in the form of higher class loads, larger classes and more publications.


This report provides data in a series of charts about adjunct use in Kansas City, Kansas. It documents the enormous growth rate of part-time faculty between 1985 and 1991 and the increasing reliance on part-timers.


The article relates part-time teaching to workforce flexibility. Flexibility is an industrially derived concept being used increasingly in higher education. Husbands derives eight categories of part-timers: postgraduate students, others who teach as hourly labor on a contract basis, teaching assistants, contract researchers who also do some teaching, occasional part-time teachers (usually specialists), tutorial fellows and teaching fellows, tutors in departments of continuing education, tutors on Open University courses. These describe the part-time labor force in the UK. The problem is enumerating the part-time labor force. UK and European Union part-time employees have more employment rights based on national law then those in the U. S. Husbands argues that better record keeping is necessary to meet the legal requirements. In spite of management attempts to get around the law (independent contractors), European part-timers seem to be in a better situation than their U. S. counterparts.


The article attempts to "explore the issues of conflict and commonality between lectures and tenure-track faculty." In this process the authors show how temporary faculty provide benefits from a management point of view. For the most part, they argue that conflicts between part-
and full-time faculty are largely a matter of the erroneous perception of full-time faculty. There is nothing about college corporate structure in what they discuss.


This is an attempt to justify the use of part-time people in research universities. The management author sees no problem of any kind and makes this clear through his definitions of various categories.


This article is interesting on several levels. Anecdotally it describes some of the hardships of coping with non-tenure track positions, full and part-time. It reviews some of the problem-describing literature. It goes beyond the corporatizing of the academy to look at the circumstances of the graduate students (who are now part-timers) of the 90s and who they are. Ludlow notes the developments in ethnic studies, women's studies and cultural studies that influenced these students. She believes that organization of adjuncts around the ideology of the 90s is necessary but unionization, while helpful, is not sufficient to solve the problem of increasing use of part-timers. Organization is made difficult by the continuing marginal status ("liminality") of non-tenure track faculty. Finally, in a footnote at the end of the article Ludlow reports that Otterbein College eliminated all types of positions except tenure and tenure track. They convinced a businessmen's board that this was the best policy.


This edited volume provides excellent background for understanding the issue of part-time and adjunct faculty. In particular, the exploration of the 'managed university'' is insightful. In his introduction Martin makes two very salient points. First the economic disparities between part-time and full-time faculty are pointed out. Time work is not the major difference. Second, as more women have earned PhDs and sought college teaching jobs, the number of part-time positions has increased.


The article is a summary of the AFT report *The Vanishing Professor*. The statistics on the increase of part-time and off the tenure track increases are reported. Examples from different schools are provided.


The article sums up union activity (AFT) regarding part-time faculty. There are brief descriptions of rallies and conferences. Lobbying activity is described. Note is taken of California legislation mandating payment for office hours held by part-timers


This is an extremely perceptive analysis of the increasing use of part-time faculty and the lack of tenure stream positions. It can be read as a forecast of the worsening situation (at this writing in 2011). The committee which produced the report was diverse and included part-time faculty, graduate students, and tenure stream faculty. They provided their perceptions of the problem from their perspectives as academic insiders. The primary feature of the analysis is the reliance on market forces and their changes including reduction of government funding and the increase in the number of PhDs awarded. For scholars, however there is very little critical questioning of the market economic perspective. The justice and morality issues are passed by.


The article written by a history graduate student points out that the job market for new PhDs in history is either remaining static or getting worse. This is attributed to two factors: (1) there are more recent PhDs who have not secured positions and who compete with new graduates for the positions which become available; (2) when tenure track positions open they are often converted to full-time or part-time adjunct positions. A retiring full professor's position can be converted to two full-time adjunct slots. Solutions suggested are an end to the latter policy and more money to create tenure eligible positions.


The research question asked by these authors concerns the commitment of part-time faculty to their school and to teaching. They attempt to measure this by examining part-time faculty and "developmental advising." The theoretical justification here is weak. Measurement involves many independent and dependent variables many of which have only arbitrary justification. Not surprisingly this leads to statistical overkill. Most part-time faculty do not do formal advising so the relevance of this study is questionable.


This article looks at the effects of using excessive numbers of part-time faculty in research universities. Hiring part-timers tends to reduce the research productivity of the university because part-timers don't have much time for research.


The authors argue that academic capitalism, colleges and universities structured in terms of profit making and response to market forces, has increasingly dominated higher education since 1973. They use four in-depth case studies to explore the issues. They point out that schools with the highest number of undergraduates have the highest number of part-time faculty. In order to maintain the "flexibility" necessary for profitability, schools have employed more and more part-time faculty. The article is informative about the contemporary structures of colleges.


The book is about "the gendered politics of writing instruction" (4) and how part-time faculty (women) fit into the global corporatization of higher education. Schell presents evidence that 80 percent of contingent teachers of English composition are women. The book is written from the point of view of a former part-time composition teacher (now a full-time assistant professor). Schell's perspective is socialist feminism. She describes the historical circumstances for women becoming teachers, then part-time faculty. That is connected with motherhood. The 19th century social construction of gender channeled more and more women into English composition allowing men of higher ranks to teach the more prestigious literature courses. The myths about women contingent faculty are debunked with a review of literature and available data. Schell concludes that women part-timers are a diverse group many of whom are able to be exploited by colleges because of cultural and economic structural conditions. Schell conducted in-depth interviews from a feminist perspective with "a select population of non-tenure line women faculty about their work experiences." (52) She supplemented these 12 narratives with others published elsewhere. Chapter Three, which analyzes these data, is very insightful and rounds out the usual academic studies and management rhetoric. The last two chapters of the book seek to find solutions and reforms. Schell would like to apply the feminist agenda.

This is a parody of the academic hiring process as it affects part-time faculty. It is loosely based on Alice in Wonderland. It works as parody and makes its point about part-time faculty trying to get full-time jobs.


The article examines the implications of the growing proportion of part-time and temporary faculty in higher education. Part-time faculty has grown from 22 percent in 1970-71 to 42 percent in 1992. By 1997, the proportion may reach 46 percent. There are an increasing number of appointments made off the tenure track. The actual number of tenured faculty has declined and fewer appointments are made to full-time tenure eligible positions. A large proportion of the professoriate is being transformed into a contingent workforce. Strategies adapted by managers have led to a three-tiered academic workforce: core faculty tenured or on the tenure track, off track full-timers, and part-timers. Tenure is a risk, loyalty is eroded and academic careers have lost attractiveness. These factors will produce long run harm to higher education. Managers may continue these effects by emphasizing costs and flexibility. The new commercial schools using distance learning will make more use of part-time personnel. They may avoid the problem of accreditation by forming their own accrediting agencies. Hopes for a turnaround include a correction in the academic labor market, growing concerns about the quality of undergraduate education, and managers may take the initiative to make corrections.


This is a study of part-time faculty at a state university. Except for financial issues the faculty studied have diverse experiences depending on individual departments. Departmental practices vary except that chairs agree that the reasons for using part-timers are cost and flexibility. The findings are interesting but the methodology is suspect.


This article is typical of corporate/market approaches to higher education. The most interesting argument is that part-time faculty are being used to get around tenure. It is an admission that the practice of hiring part-time faculty is part of the attack on the tenure system. The article contains arguments for and against a contract system in place of tenure for hiring faculty.


This article, by an organizer of part-time faculty, places the growth of that group into a larger context. Stevenson points out that this group is largely invisible with few available statistics and those which do exist are old. He calls this deliberate ignorance. The restructuring of higher education is as fundamental as that which occurred during the last part of the 19th century. It seems that if this restructuring runs its course most faculty (full- or part-time) will be in that same kind of denigrated position as current part-time faculty. The article provides a valuable summary of Slaughter and Leslie's Academic Capitalism: Politics, Policies and the Entrepreneurial University. The general cause of what is happening in higher education is "academic capitalism." To combat this trend academics must organize and Stevenson predicts that it is the most disaffected group, part-time faculty, who will lead this movement if it occurs.


This article is about the necessity for organizing part-time and adjunct faculty. It discusses the problems of doing it.


This article points out that many college teachers are being denied tenure. Wiener addresses the trend toward eliminating tenure systems completely. The end of tenure would result in self-censorship. The greatest threat, however, comes from the increasing use of part-timers and adjunct faculty. Wiener concludes that tenure is being undermined by administrators who have adopted the logic of the market.


This is the type of article used by higher education managers to justify the increasing use of part-time faculty. It asserts at the beginning that there are a large number of part-timers "who don't covet a full-time, tenured post." The data supplied to support this statement from the Center for Educational Statistics lump all part-timers together. Further analysis shows that the dissatisfaction among part-timers is high among liberal arts teachers and those who do not have other full time jobs. Satisfaction comes with income supplements to another family member, retirement or a full time job. This is one of the few articles that quote a part-timer saying that one of the benefits is lack of political involvement.


There is a trend toward hiring full-time non-tenure track faculty. These people are slowing the growth of part-time faculty. Higher education managers justify these hirings via flexibility (the same rationale that is used for hiring part-time faculty). This has been occurring slowly and largely without notice. The article documents the trend, showing that the proportion of full-time faculty working on contracts has been rising while those on tenure track has been falling. Tenured faculty benefit because those on contract teach many large introductory sections freeing full-timers to do more research. Rather than an attack on tenure this may be seen as part of the corporatization of higher education. Tenure track means that the full-time faculty may select their permanent colleagues while contracts mean that managers make that selection by creating a tier of second class positions. It is a classical divide and conquer strategy.


Zaidi describes the emergence of a new advocacy faculty group for non-tenured faculty at a conference in April 1998. The speakers, who are described, advocated militant unionism based on broad mobilization rather than making a pact with management.

1999


This report provides ample evidence of the corporatization of American higher education. The authors take for granted that significant numbers of part-time faculty will remain involved at all levels. There are 49 policy recommendations and 22 percent of them relate to part-time faculty. Only one mentions faculty collaboration. Most refer to institutions instituting policies (a short hand for management direction). At one point in the report data is cited showing that 41 per cent of faculty are now part-time. Two paragraphs later there is recognition of the role of part-time faculty in providing flexibility for institutions. This is justified in programmatic terms rather than in managerial language. The report recommends salary (and perhaps benefit) equalization for part-time faculty. The major emphasis of the report, however, is faculty evaluation. The report notes with approval that teaching has become more important in evaluation of faculty and research less important. Administrators typically judge teaching while faculty judge research. The report is important because it makes clear the agenda of higher education management.


In a section of the chapter titled "The Shift to Part-time Instructors," (pp.321-345) Grubb summarizes many of the problems faced by part-time faculty. He does this in the context of the book which is about reforming community college teaching. He notes the extra work that must be taken on by full-time faculty because part-timers do not usually participate in more than teaching. His most interesting observation is that part-timers are no better or worse than full-timers. He thinks the cause of this is that managers do not understand teaching and let the teaching process fall to individuals. The very worst teachers (who abuse students) were all full-timers in his observation.


This article points to the diminishing role of faculty in higher education. The increasing use of part-time faculty is part of the "struggle between top-down and bottom-up governance." Part-time faculty have little or no role in institutional governance. Nelson argues that the only defense for faculty of their governance role is to unionize. However, the Association of Governing Boards has recommended that any faculty member involved with a union should be excluded from any other governance role. It is in this way that faculties are losing control of the curriculum.


This article concerns corporate sponsored research. The SUNY system is moving more and more in this direction from the late 90s on. The use of part-time faculty helps facilitate this process.

2000


. Although this book is not specifically about part-time faculty, the analysis shows how part-time faculty fit in with globalization trends. Beck sees the West becoming "Brazilianized." Fewer people have secure work and "The social structure ... of the West is thus coming to resemble the patchwork quilt of the South, characterized by diversity, unclarity and insecurity in people's work and life." (1) Individuals are forced to accept more risk and insecurity while large organizations continually seek flexibility. Beck juxtaposes low-cost academic jobs with "McJobs" to demonstrate that the changes have taken place at both ends of the work hierarchy. (82-3). He proposes revamping social life in the absence of 'regular" work. This is an important book for those who want to understand the broader context of the increasing use of part-time faculty.


This is a brief summary of the report by the Coalition on the Academic Workforce, a consortium of academic associations in the humanities and social sciences. For example, pay for part-time faculty "puts them on a par with porters and fast-food workers." Some brief background is given. Reactions from several prominent people are included. The report itself was published by the American Historical Association.


In addition to one article specifically devoted to contingent academics (see Pereles), this collection has several articles useful for comparison to part-time faculty.


This article is excerpted from a book manuscript with the title "Cheap Thrills." It is an anecdotal account of a group of part-time faculty who organized a union chapter at Northern Illinois University.


The article, excerpted from the author’s manuscript, "Cheap Thrills," describes the experience of one of the organizers of the union at Northern Illinois University.

This article traces the development of management control and the diminishing academic freedom of college faculty. The major cause is the increasing numbers of part-time faculty. The success of union organizing efforts indicates that this may be the best opposition to the trend.


Longmate lists four conditions of part-time employment, three of which are found throughout the literature: unfair compensation, restricted hours, and infrequent transition to full-time status. The fourth, reluctance to complain about working conditions, is not much discussed. Adjuncts are afraid to be seen as malcontents because their contracts can be terminated at the end of the term and not renewed. This insecurity is a serious impingement on academic freedom. [Abstractors’ note: Other than financial this may be the primary reason managers resist job security for part-time faculty. Keeping faculty insecure is a management control technique.]


This is a report about an organizing project in Boston. An initial survey determined that part-time faculty in the area averaged $2,200 per course, "few enjoyed health benefits, and almost none had a role in college or university governance." AAUP then formed an alliance with the Coalition of Contingent Labor (COCAL). The goal is to improve conditions for adjunct faculty. Part-time faculty feel subject to retribution from administrations. A city-wide approach allows activists to be active on other than their own campuses. Moser points out that the corporate model applied to higher education undermines quality. Tenured faculty are undermined by the increasing ranks of part-timers.


The article challenges the conclusion that smaller class sizes in social work lead to better learning. Data show that class sizes increase with the use of contingent faculty. There is no affect on student learning. The reason adjuncts are employed is that they are cheaper and more students can be assigned to their classes.


This report is based on the 1993 survey by the National Center for Educational Statistics. It is limited to two-year colleges. It compares full-time and part-time faculty along several dimensions: demographic, discipline, degree and experience. At the time of the survey, 62 percent of two-year college faculty were part-timers. One interesting finding is that faculty in the humanities are more committed to their discipline than others. They are also more likely to use alternative teaching techniques. Most of the report consists of tables presenting the data.


This study examines the assumption that contingent workers have a lower level of organizational and professional commitment than traditional (full-time, long term employment expectations) workers. Different types of commitment are defined (based on Etzioni’s theoretical construction) and tested using scalar analysis. The usefulness of the study is directed to academic managers when they make hiring decisions. Commitment is only tested as a one-way (worker to organization) relationship.


The article reviews many cases where both part-time faculty and teaching assistants have attempted to organize for collective bargaining both successfully and unsuccessfully. Case law and labor relations board decisions are reviewed. An issue for part-time faculty on combining units with full-time faculty is discussed along with criteria for establishing the parameters of a
bargaining unit. Saltzman concludes that even though there are conflicts of interest between the two groups, part-time faculty would be better off combining with and getting the support of full-timers.


This is a report of some of the data from a survey by the Coalition on the Academic Workforce (CAW). It documents the growing proportion of part-timers teaching undergraduate history courses and shows the second class status of these teachers. This trend is seen primarily in larger universities. One of the effects is to make it more difficult for women to enter college teaching on a full-time basis.


Wood argues that the increasing use of part-time faculty "is one of several connected strategic plans aimed at instituting the 'business model' in higher education . . ." In an earlier paper, "C. P. Snow Revisited: the Two Cultures of Faculty and Administration" (American Sociological Association, 1999), the author isolated "ten differences between faculty and administration . . ." Subsequently he came across the book by Oblinger and Verville, *What Business Wants from Higher Education*. He compares that viewpoint with his findings in this paper. He finds a great deal of similarity between the two. Two additional insights come from this discussion: 1) Using part-time faculty from business to teach about high technology gives corporate executives a chance to place people on university faculties; 2) Administrators gain control of the hiring process when part-timers are used. He concludes that increasing use of part-time faculty is part of administrative strategy to follow a business model in higher education. The increasing use of high technology especially facilitates this development.


2001


There are several interesting and unique aspects to this work. Jewell, a contingent faculty member, points out that the usually accepted solution to the part-time problem, more full time positions, is basically unjust. There are some part-timers who want to teach less than a full time load. They should be compensated fairly for that work at the same rate as full-timers. Those faculty should also earn tenure as part-timers. Anson agrees with this position. This is an argument that is not found in other places in the literature. A feature of the article is the dialog between the authors. In this way both effectively address the issues of power in the academy.


"This publication is addressed to institutional policymakers who plan and manage faculty staffing." (ix) The authors begin with the premise that higher education is an industry imbedded in a competitive market. The old idea of a community of scholars has been replaced by a new model which requires different personnel practices and policies. The major change is the use of increasing numbers of faculty who do not have tenure-track appointments. The book examines this practice as it affects full-time faculty not on the tenure track. There are important implications, however, for part-time faculty. The conditions which led to the increasing use of full-time contingent faculty are discussed. These are similar to those the led to the increasing numbers of part-time faculty. The authors studied several campuses and provide data on the working conditions and composition of the non-tenure track faculty. They seem to accept in all of this the necessity for contingent labor. The book justifies the situation and raises no questions about the changing nature of higher education.


This is a thorough statistical analysis that documents a dual-tier labor market in Alberta' public colleges. That market is dominated by women.

Benko proposes a steering committee model for adjunct organization. She argues that this can work in the absence of unionization. In the English Department at Bowling Green such a committee was formed and was successful. All the adjuncts in the department were members and 25 percent actively participated. The committee stood in place of unionization and was able to challenge management on rules (job eligibility) and working conditions (health benefits).


The chapter discusses creation of full-time contingent positions. These enhance the material conditions of part-time faculty who are hired for them but do not solve other problems. The chapter is based on the local research of the author.


The author, president of the AAUP (when this was published), points out that the continuing use of part-time and other contingent faculty threatens tenure, and therefore, academic freedom. She refers to U.S. Department of Education and Coalition on the Academic Workforce data to document the increased use of contingent teachers. It is upsetting that the president of a powerful faculty organization accepts the corporate market view of higher education and then argues for reform.


This is a description of a full-time faculty member trying to understand what part-time faculty go through by acting like a part-timer for a month. She describes the differences in daily life.
She concludes that since the work (classroom teaching) is the same for both groups they should be treated equally.


This non-annotated bibliography is comprehensive up to 1998 and contains a number of obscure sources.

DeVoss, D., Hayden, D., Selfe, C. L., & Selfe, R. J. (2001). Distance Education: Political and Professional Agency for Adjunct and Part-Time Faculty, and GTAs. In E. Schell & P. L. Stock (Eds.), *Moving a Mountain: Transforming the Role of Contingent Faculty in Composition Studies and Higher Education* (pp. 261-286). Urbana, Ill.: National Council of Teachers of English.

This chapter argues that adjunct teachers of English and graduate students have power in shaping distance education. The authors develop several theoretical arguments about why this should be so. The anecdotal information shows that the experience is confusing and out of control for faculty who teach in this system. Contingents can gain power by asking questions and participating in course development. Conspicuously absent is a discussion of working conditions.


This is a self-published anecdotal collection of writing by present or past part-time faculty. Most are composition or language specialists. These stories talk about the usual litany of exploitative conditions that part-time faculty face in most schools: disrespect in the paycheck, disrespect in benefits, disrespect by full-time colleagues, disrespect in administrative support for their teaching. The writers universally enjoy the classroom and their students but the task of making a living from part-time teaching wears them down. None of the writers choose to work part-time; they want full-time positions. They perceive themselves as the best qualified for those positions at the schools where they teach but they have been passed over for others. Sometimes they are lied to in the selection process and sometimes administrators insidiously use the divide and conquer strategy of appeals to affirmative action. Full-time faculty are seldom if ever supportive and often participate in the disrespect.

This is a management oriented study. Adjuncts in early career stages are less happy than those in later stages of their careers. The conclusion would be that it is management's job to change the attitudes of adjunct faculty.


This report reviews some of the literature about part-time faculty. "This report focused upon documents that resulted from objective research and analysis." (3) The meaning of objective goes unexplained and more appears to be missing from the bibliography than is included. Since the report is management-prepared the areas of focus bring no surprise. For example, lack of equity in pay and benefits is acknowledged but not shown to relate to anything. Conversely integration of part-time faculty is considered a primary issue but integration is defined from a "how to manage" perspective. Effectiveness of part-time faculty is said to be inconclusive but effectiveness goes undefined.


This is a report of the fourth conference of the Coalition of Contingent Academic Labor. It is descriptive and anecdotal. Reactions of people in different parts of the country are included.


The chapter reports on the process of professionalizing part-time writing faculty at Syracuse University. Improving material conditions is part of that process. Proportional compensation, however, has not been achieved. Time and resources are devoted to formal evaluation of faculty teaching. Problems with this system are described.

The chapter is important because it describes some of the California experience. Lovas examines the demographics of Santa Clara County to explain the expansion of the community college system. The most interesting section of the chapter develops the history of the institutionalization of the use of part-time faculty. Lovas seems to blame the victim (part-timers) for aggressively seeking teaching jobs. He shows how the 60 percent rule (limit) produced the famous freeway flyers. Lovas was active in union leadership and contends that unions locals which include both part-time and full-time faculty are most effective as advocates for fairness for part-time faculty.


This chapter describes the evolution of an English department into two departments, one Literature and the other Rhetoric and Writing. The elitism of the Literature faculty (primarily tenured to tenure track) vs. the Writing teachers who start out part-time then go to full-time contingent status splits the department. The chapter is interesting in showing the culture of the academy as it impacts on the teaching function. Maid raises two significant questions for English departments which typically house many part-time composition instructors: Is it unproductive for literature and composition to be in the same department and can different groups of professionals function together in the same department? The status issue becomes paramount in answering these questions.


This is one of the more important and potentially influential analyses of the status on contingent faculty and how their organization can possibly change the trends in higher education. Corporatization is the style of organization in colleges and universities. Moser argues that it is a threat not only to the teaching system but to democracy in and outside of the university. The transformation to a majority of contingent faculty is part of the corporatization process. Moser provides the historical context and theoretical logic behind what has
happened. Just as in industry, a two-tiered labor system has developed. This leads to a two-tiered system of education where about half the students attending community colleges are primarily taught by part-timers. Higher education is part of the search for profits. "In the pursuit of profits, the search for the truth, intellectual creativity, academic standards, scientific invention, the ideals of citizenship, and the practice of community has been discounted in favor of vocational training, material success, applied research and bottom line considerations." The employment of increasing numbers of adjuncts who have no job security (tenure), erodes the ability to criticize management's operation of the corporation. Moser provides a summary of activity (organizing) designed to counter corporatization. Contained within this is the potential for a broader social movement.


Mysyk, an anthropologist, compares migrant labor (farm) with adjunct faculty. He outlines three theoretical approaches (neo-classical, historical structuralist, and neo-Marxist) to migrant labor and applies them. Mysyk develops his argument historically discussing the two-tiered labor system in academia. He is mostly convinced by the neo-Marxist approach. Corporate capital is the major macro causal factor in the continued increase of part-time faculty in Canada.


O'Grady is strongly influenced by the radical pedagogical theory of Freire. Her major point is that part-time composition teaching occurs in a gendered class structure. Schools mission statements promise quality education but do not take into account the working conditions of part-time faculty. These conditions affect the quality of education. Similarly radical pedagogy takes into account the class position of students but does not consider the class and working conditions of part-time faculty.


Schell raises questions about how to get quality education in composition. She contends that the nature of instruction depends on working conditions for the faculty, especially the part-time faculty. Since working conditions for part-time faculty are less than ideal or even adequate, there must be changes. Schell argues that this involves compensation, contracts, conditions (physical) and coalition building.


"In Moving a Mountain: Transforming the Role of Contingent Faculty in Composition Studies and Higher Education, we imagine a university where contingent faculty are recognized and rewarded equitably in our own time." (1). The authors note that we have entered a time of contingent employment in the academic world that mirrors the corporate world. The four reasons for their critical review of the literature are: 1) Introduction of the literature to those who might find new information, 2) Examine the way we talk about (discourse) contingent faculty, 3) Encourage conversations leading to fair and reasonable solutions and 4) To provide a context for the collected essays. Particularly interesting is the discussion of Berlow and Collins 1974 article which presents a view of part-timers from the part-timers' position, different from most of the early researchers. Schell and Stock provide a good review of the significant literature leading to their publication. Most of what they discuss comes from the well-known and extensive research on contingent faculty. Missing is the often self-serving writings of academic managers. The articles in the book are summarized and connected. Their project seems well intentioned but somewhat idealistic as in this introduction we find no discussion of
academic power structures implied by the reference to the similarities to the corporate world. A comprehensive bibliography is included.


This is a story about a part-timer who thinks people who teach part-time should treat the work like a business. They should take as many classes as they can get. Preferably these classes should require minimal preparation and can be repeated in many settings. Part-timers need to change their attitudes and accept their situations and just make the best of them.


This article is about a Modern Language Association survey of part-time faculty earnings. Response rate to the survey was only 42 percent which was much lower than usual for MLA surveys. Many prominent universities were missing. The low response rate may have been caused by the lack of an anonymity assurance. The major interest of the article is the salary differences reported between English departments.


This long book chapter reflects the experience of part-time and contingent faculty in the Syracuse University Writing program. The experience helps such faculty with their teaching scholarship. It seems as if the experience would be the same no matter what the faculty status.


Thompson uses the UPS strike as an analogy for discussing part-time faculty issues. She points to the commonality of interest as well as the divergences between part-time and full-time faculty. She recognizes that managers hire part-time faculty primarily for economic reasons and try to use non-economic methods and justifications to solve the attendant problems.
Thompson calls for action through coalitions between full-time faculty, students, parents and part-time faculty.


"The survey offers anecdotal information about the self-perceptions of those employed in part-time and adjunct capabilities." Many responded with negative reactions such as low underpay and poor treatment by employers. Some positive reactions were reported such as satisfaction about teaching history and some career benefits. Most of the part-time respondents were recent PhDs. One surprising finding was that only 20 percent of the respondents reported working at more than one institution.


2002


The article reports the first decline in job openings in literature and language since 1995. It is noted that since the market for English and foreign languages has been depressed for a long time, it is easier to hire low paid part-time faculty.

As with most newspaper articles, this reports primarily anecdotal material. But the author makes some interesting points along the way. She points out that little research has been done on the educational effects of using part-time teachers but that most research indicates that there is little difference when comparing part-time and full-time faculty. This observation is often used by managers to justify the use of adjuncts. Berger also notes that most part-timers do so voluntarily. She quotes some people who point out that power is more of an issue than pay. The movement to unionize part-time faculty is recognized without comment on its potential outcomes.


The article provides the usual management justification for using part-time faculty and comes almost simultaneously with the hand wringing that it is unfair. Brand's solution is to convert part-time positions to full-time positions without tenure. It is his way of cost containment. He suggests providing academic freedom through contract provisions but continued employment is not considered.

Burnstad, H. M. (2002). Part-Time Faculty Development at Johnson County Community College. New Directions for Community Colleges(120), 17-25.


The article reports the conflict between the union representing full-time faculty and the AFT who believe that the same union should not represent part-timers. It refers to class divisions between full and part-time faculty. The National Education Association affiliate is supporting a program of career training for adjuncts to give them "the teaching skills to move from part-time to full time..." The management of the school supports this program by providing higher pay for adjuncts who complete it. This is counter to the usual argument of management that part-timers are as good in the classroom as full-timers.


The article describes data gathered from the National Center for Education Statistics. The interpretation, however, is biased by emphasizing that most part-time faculty have other professional full-time positions. The authors claim that this is why part-timers are satisfied with their working conditions. They get satisfaction and prestige from their part-time work. The authors ignore the morality of low salary and poor treatment.


The article makes the point that part-time faculty are fully qualified academics who cannot find full time work. This defies the management image that part-timers have other full time professional jobs. Besides low pay the article points out that other working conditions inhibit student education, in particular, the lack of offices. The article concludes with a description of some situations where adjuncts are treated more fairly.


This is an opinion piece by an academic manager. It describes the advantages on using contingent faculty and goes on to blame that group for the situation. All the biased evidence is brought to the fore.


The article describes the procedures for achieving equity for part-time faculty at Bates College.


This article is primarily concerned with the behavior and actions of a "modern" university manager. A careful reading puts the use of part-time faculty in a broader context. That context is the corporatization of the university. There are discussions of sponsored research and development for corporations to the impact of corporate welfare in the community. The use of part-time faculty fits neatly into this model.

2003


Alexander, C. M. (2003, Nov. 10). Take This Job and Shove It. *Community College Week, 4*-5.


This publication makes the point that hiring both full-time contingent faculty and part-timers is part of a "command and control business model" which violates the precepts of the academic world. The report provides data from Department of Education surveys for 1992 and 1998.
Comparisons are made between tenured and non-tenured full time faculty. The data support the conclusions.


Berry provides an anecdotal description of his discoveries at Harold Washington College. He describes the labor history analogies to the situation he finds, particularly the historical casualization of labor and the union movement. Next he describes unionization of community college part-time faculties inspired by the UPS strike of part-time workers. He points out that college teaching has also been casualized.


Carroll, an adjunct in Texas reviews the arguments for the weakness of adjunct faculty and refutes them. She compares adjuncts to graduate teaching assistants pointing out differences. Her major argument is that adjuncts are just as qualified as full-time faculty but are adjuncts primarily because of market conditions.


The author argues against the argument that part-time faculty are not as available to students as full-time faculty. Some are and some aren't whether full or part-time. The article seems to justify the continued use of part-time faculty indicating that faculty status makes no difference to students if the instructor is not available.


DeCew documents the poor working conditions of part-time faculty. These include salaries and benefits. She also reviews union activity indicating that there is some but little progress made in improving working conditions. She points out that the full-time faculty have little interest in supporting part-time faculty unionization efforts or promoting improvement.


This is an important article which explores trends in the early 21st century. While there is no specific mention of part-time faculty, the major trend of exporting intellectual work outside of the United States has already affected higher education employment. Neo-liberalism now defines education (particularly, higher education) as a private rather than a public good. If, as most college students, you get a degree to enhance your lifetime earnings, you should pay for it. Public education, therefore, should cost more or become cheaper or both. The increasing use of part-time faculty fits this model. This has caused schools "to sharply bump up tuition and fees. In effect, students at public colleges and universities will be paying more and getting less (class availability, larger class sizes, more non-tenure track personnel, less infrastructural and service improvements, etc.)" Dennis goes on to predict that this will make higher education less attractive to increasing numbers of high school graduates. This may further reduce the numbers of full-time faculty and increase hiring of part-time tenure track faculty.


Elman, is the Executive Director of the Northwest Commission on Colleges and Universities. She writes this article from a management perspective. Her major point is that management should include contingent faculty in curriculum planning at all levels. She nods at payment for this activity and adds that contingent faculty are well qualified for such duty. The process of selection and control remains with management.


This collection focuses on case studies of different classes of faculty in their attempts to organize. There is work on contingent faculty (part-time and full-time) and graduate students. Most of the chapters concern graduate student union organizing. There is also some work on union organizing in general. The introductory chapter provides historical and intellectual
context for the case studies. Most important is the recognition that higher education has become corporatized so that the model of individual scholars working with academic freedom no longer holds. The relevant chapters about part-time and contingent faculty are abstracted separately in this bibliography.


Klimmer is an organizer for the American Federation of Teachers. The article is a brief guide on organizing in colleges and universities. It also describes common union avoidance techniques used in non-university settings and in universities.


Marshall was a part-time faculty activist who now works for New York State United Teachers. This article appears in an *Academe* issue devoted to the problems of academic freedom. Marshall argues that the traditional definition of academic freedom tied to tenure is not relevant to part-timers. "For adjuncts and other contingent faculty, in particular, labor conditions, and-- economics especially-- significantly restrict academic freedom in its deepest and most practical sense: the freedom to provide and facilitate the best possible education for students." (46) Working conditions including the lack of job security have a negative impact on part-timers’ professional performance. Ultimately students are the victims of this circumstance. Adjuncts are rarely denied conventional academic freedom (they will not be fired for what they say in the classroom but they recognize that they may lose a position for many other reasons which do not have to be justified by management). Certainly the usual
management-based material does not see academic freedom for part-timers as a problem (traditional definition). This article breaks new ground.


The article presents several stories of instances where adjunct faculty were not re-hired. In all the cases, classroom speech was found offensive by managers. Tenured faculty do not receive the same treatment in similar instances. The instructors think they have academic freedom but they don't. The reasons for non-reappointment are never what is said in the classroom but for other reasons. The author notes that the AAUP does very little about these cases.


The article first identifies four interest groups and their interests: Regular faculty members who see the diminishing of tenure and erosions of academic freedom; Administrators (managers) who employ the flexibility of the inevitably continued use of contingent faculty; Students, parents and state legislators who are concerned with the quality of teaching; Contingent faculty looking for justice and equality particularly in working conditions. Schneirov then describes the movement to organize contingent faculty.


Schuster recognizes the increasing numbers of part-time faculty in higher education. He also notes the increase of full-time contingent faculty. He raises interesting questions about this impact. The question in the title is never answered. It would be easy to conclude that contingent faculty have little or no impact on student learning. They are no different than tenure-track faculty.


"We are particularly interested in the development of a dual labor market where a privileged tenured class has access to stable employment, high social status, and prestige, and an
academic underclass is marginalized in temporary and part-time work, part of a developing flexible workforce within the recent transformation in global capitalism." These authors are among the first to recognize the significance of contingent faculty within the corporatizing of higher education. The article describes how the contingent labor force fits the corporate college/university. This set of intellectual workers becomes invisible, infantalized and finally labeled as failures. All this occurs within the context of academic freedom. The dynamics of the process for the actors are described. This is one of the most important things published about the structure which now demands part-time faculty.


2004


This is a report of a new union contract for adjuncts at NYU. It contains some details of the contract.


The article describes some of the provisions of this contract. It also provides some context for the situation at Emerson. It is interesting that it took three years after the part-time faculty unionized to get a contract.

Bradley examines the conditions that have led to 44.5 percent of faculty appointments (2001) becoming part-time. One of the main factors reinforcing the trend is marketplace mentality. Part-time labor is cheaper and adds to managerial control of institutions. Bradley notes the erosion of tenure. The article reviews the AAUP’s statement on contingent faculty. The solution to the problem is to replace contingent faculty appointments as they become vacant with tenured positions.


Carroll is a regular columnist for the *Chronicle of Higher Education*. She often generates controversy for taking an entrepreneurial stance with regard to part-time faculty employment. In this article she contends that union membership is a matter of circumstance. She said she would only join to support other adjuncts. On the whole she does not see the need to form unions of part-timers.


The authors surveyed hiring practices at community colleges. They conclude that these processes are similar to those used in four-year schools and have not changed since 1960. There are some hints in the article that there is more management control at community colleges. The authors state that there is a different and "learning centered environment" at community colleges. They give no specifics on what this may be and how it differs or should differ from other parts of higher education.


Hess uses this article to attack the notion of the entrepreneurial adjunct. "... a kind of merchandising of the needs, concerns, and activities of faculty with short-term, often part-time, appointments that depend on factors like enrollment, budget, and program changes." (37) In this model part-time faculty become commodities. Academic labor is trivialized in contemporary higher education and the entrepreneurial approach reinforces these conditions. To become a part-time entrepreneur is to participate in a set of alienating circumstances. Hess spends most of the article attacking Jill Carroll, a columnist for the *Chronicle of Higher..."
Education. Carroll advocates the entrepreneurial approach (he does give her credit for suggesting a national strike of adjuncts). Part-time faculty are part of McUniversity and Hess shows how the McDonaldization of higher education is promoted via entrepreneurial approaches.


Hoeller describes the situation for part-timers (community college level) in the state of Washington. While the part-time association has made some progress in obtaining better benefits, the contracts negotiated by unions of full-timers look like "sweat shops." Conflicts with full-timers and their unions are related. There is little effort on the part of national unions to enforce their policies on locals. Hoeller thinks part-timers should form their own locals and makes some recommendations on what to do in the meantime.


Indiana State University. Division of Academic Affairs (2004). Division of Academic Affairs Revised Recommendations of the Special Purpose Faculty and Part-Time Temporary Faculty: Indiana State University.

A set of rules, regulations and guidelines for the future at Indiana State University. It includes goals for benefit and salary improvement. This was negotiated with the AAUP.


This is an anecdotal article about the experiences of an adjunct ESL teacher teaching mostly recent immigrants. From close interpersonal relationships with other part-timers Jay separated from them once she had a full-time position.


The article describes the organizing process and the protest after John Kerry (former Democratic Senator from Iowa) led New School used union busting tactics to avoid certifying a union (UAW) for adjunct faculty. This is surprising from this university with its progressive background. "Adolph Reed said 'Kerry's response to this campaign has been more worthy of the CEO of Wal-Mart than the president of a university, but it fits into a broader tapestry--the
casualization of the academic labor force, the increasing prominence of corporate values in university governance.'"


The article examines, on a theoretical level, the impact of using part-time instructors on the quality of undergraduate teaching. Efforts of neo-liberal economic policies have caused developing countries to reduce their support of higher education (it should be left to market forces). This has also impacted Canada. Part of coping with the reduction of support is the increasing use of part-time faculty. Relevant literature is reviewed with emphasis on global restructuring. Part-time faculty are faced with having to keep students happy in order to keep their jobs. This may inhibit the instructor in teaching the critical thinking aspects of a subject. Reforms need to come from full-time faculty and their unions. Protecting the job security of part-timers is most important.


A brief article which implies that the New School has departed from its progressive past. Led by Bob Kerrey, the former Democratic Senator from Iowa, union busting tactics have been employed. After the union (UAW) won the organizing vote the school appealed on grounds that the turnout (65 per cent of those eligible) was too low.


The author, a staff member of the AAUP, elaborates on the Freeman and Rogers term, "open source unionism." Rather than unions bound by location open source unionism uses Internet communication to bring members from different locations together. This may be a useful concept for part-time faculty and Schmid provides examples of how it has been used. She makes proposals for organizing strategies based on this model.


This is a typical management perspective based on cost, efficiency, and market conditions. The low pay is justified by using people with technical skills and retired faculty.


The authors argue that adjunct faculty are needed to run a social work program. The article discusses a training program for adjunct faculty.


Todd describes the negative impact on higher education of the increasing use of part-time faculty. He argues, briefly, that a unionization response will only make this situation permanent.


**2005**


A discussion of the relationship between use of part-time faculty and career prospects of graduate students. Graduate student unionization is seen as a reaction to the overuse of part-timers.


The sub-title of the book, *Organizing Adjuncts to Change Higher Education*, describes its major thrust. But this book is about more than labor organization. Beginning with descriptive and typical anecdotes and moving to structural conditions, Berry paints a picture of the conditions and the "ordinary" lives the majority of intellectual workers face in U.S. colleges and universities. That majority is now composed of contingent workers. Berry's analysis notes the class divisions in the academic world and shows why adjuncts lead exploited lives. He points out that adjuncts are a downwardly mobile class. The issues that concern contingent faculty are discussed. These are primarily pay and job security. What works and by implication what doesn't is described. Berry's observations of organizing in Chicago add real situations to the discussion. Finally a set of tools for organizing is laid out. Of all the enormous literature on this subject, this book deals with the problems (from a contingent worker's point of view) better than anything else. A more detailed analysis can be found in Berry's PhD dissertation.

The article discusses the ironic advertisements posted in Vermont for part-time faculty. They are posted by the American Federation of Teachers local and are designed for Campus Equity week. What is most interesting are the comments made by readers about adjunct faculty.


This is a complex statistical study. The authors' conclusion is that students who take classes with non-tenure-track faculty (part-time or full-time) at four-year schools are less likely to graduate than those who take classes with tenured faculty.


This is a parody letter written by an adjunct faculty member at a research university. If it wasn't very serious it would be funny. Conditions in the lives of adjunct faculty are described.


This article discusses another form of temporary labor in academe, the post doc. There is an implicit comparison with part-time faculty.


The New School and a union of adjunct faculty negotiated a first contract which is considered a "landmark." It provides job security and benefits for the 80 percent of the faculty who are part-time. The president of the school was worried about the cost but said that it could be covered by "making administrative cuts."


The article outlines types of academic careers and provides anecdotal reports of some experiences of part-time faculty. Data on percentages of faculty at various ranks and salaries are included (data are from 2003).

This editorial documents the disparities between full-time and part-time faculty. Hoeller opposes the stance of the AFT and WEA as new legislation is considered.


The article reports on a study of pay differences between tenure-track faculty and non-tenure-track faculty. The difference for part-timers is the greatest. The most surprising finding is that part-time faculty earn one percent less than assistant professors. They hold multiple jobs. The figure is deceiving because it includes salary only and does not account for benefits. Comments from some adjunct activists are included in the article as well as comments from readers.


The article reports managers' presentations at a conference of the American Association of Community Colleges. The emphasis was on how to deal with adjuncts without increasing their pay. The comments made by readers of the article are primarily negative to the ideas expressed.


The University of California is expanding its programs for part-time tenured track faculty. The biggest problem is research expectations. This is simply establishing more privileges for an elite group and does not address the issues of part-time temporary faculty.


A statistical study of business school students which finds that adjunct faculty give higher grades than tenure and tenure track faculty. The study is limited to one small business school.


The authors argue "that the conditions under which nontenure-track faculty typically work are problematic--not only for those who do the work, but for the university and society, , , the best way to respond to these problems is to organize inclusive democratic unions." (72) This is a report of how the Lecturers’ Employee Organization organized at the University of Michigan.
Their contract signed in June 2004 dealt with issues of job security, health care and compensation. Academic freedom is enhanced because of this arrangement.


A news story about George Washington University rejecting a union election even though the NLRB has ruled that the results are valid. Using technicalities managers are trying to break the union.


Part of the union contract negotiated at Palomar College was to provide office space for adjuncts. This is a news story about it suggesting that it makes for better moral in the adjunct faculty.


Lindorff, making reference to the Ward Churchill controversy, questions whether academic freedom exists for temporary faculty. "Clearly, a person who has no job security has no freedom of expression. Such professors and adjuncts are no better off than the worker in a Wal-Mart or a General Electric factory--which means they have no more freedom of speech than a 12th century serf. They speak out at their own risk."


The article reviews contracts for contingent faculty in four states: California, Illinois, Michigan and Oregon. Working conditions specified in contracts are described. The authors conclude that management has excessive discretion which is sometimes limited when there is a union contract. They believe that "strengthening the working conditions of contingent faculty is vital to the profession's future."


Contract or contingent faculty in Canada is over-represented with women. The disadvantages of contingency are described. Those disadvantages are compounded by discrimination against women.
This article reports an extensive qualitative study of the perspective of graduate student union members. Although it primarily concerns graduate students, the analysis of higher education corporatization applies directly to the situation of part-time faculty. One major piece of evidence of the corporatization is the increasing use of contingent faculty.


The article discusses the decline of full-time faculty and their replacement by part-time and temporary faculty in Massachusetts. The implications of a national trend are pointed out. The article takes the perspective of students.


Skinner uses the Ward Churchill case to point out that tenure was protected but not academic freedom. Adjuncts are not protected from objections to either their public statement or what they introduce into the classroom. With the increasing number of adjuncts, "the safeguards of academic freedom apply to an increasingly small percentage of faculty members." The article is accompanied by a large number of reactive comments primarily from an extreme conservative and those opposed to Churchill.


This describes the efforts of some of the groups who have organized around part-time faculty issues.


With the increasing use of technology in higher education, there has been little notice of the effects on part-time faculty and their students. Part-time faculty are responsible for an increasing part of course offerings but in most cases are not provided with the computers (which make up most of the technological needs) to take advantage of online methods of instruction. Management has increasingly invested in administrative software and requires faculty to use it, for example, to turn in grades. Part-time faculty without offices or computers
often have to use student laboratories. Tillyer has opened up an interesting area with this article.


The authors studied one community college to test two approaches to cultural theory. They used qualitative methods. They direct the study to the management problem of integrating part-time faculty into the school. Management must be aware of the four cultures of the organization to accomplish the integration task.


In her regular column Wilson attempts to explain why adjuncts don't get positions at the schools they work for. She ends up finding excuses for the people who do the hiring rather than explaining. There is no direct mention of what is apparent in the literature. There is a bias against hiring part-time faculty for full time positions. The research clearly shows that the longer a faculty member spends as an adjunct the less likely it is they will secure a full-time position.


This study compared all faculty at Kent State University on their library usage. The author found that there was more similarity than difference between contingent faculty and tenure stream faculty. There is no data on degree status.

2006


This chapter, by two academic managers, reviews the evidence of the increasing use of part-time faculty in higher education. The volume, as well as the chapter, concentrates on the North Carolina system where the authors are employed. They assert that their findings are generalizable. There is an insert by David Leslie where he reviews his research. The objective of the chapter is to make managerial policy recommendations regarding part-time faculty. Some of these seem favorable to the interests of that group.


This section begins with a review of data showing the continuing use of part-time and other contingent faculty. Factors leading to this trend are discussed. The first part of the chapter serves as an introduction to Leslie's work. Using data from the 1998-1999 Survey conducted by the National Center for Educational Statistics Leslie describes the make-up of non-tenure-eligible faculty. He concludes, from a management perspective, that most part-time faculty are voluntary part-timers who have other full-time positions. He indicates that most part-timers are women and that there is a heavy concentration at two-year public institutions. In discussing the "consequences of non-tenure-track appointments for faculty work," Leslie points out that the continued extensive use of faculty in this category is changing the nature of work in higher education. Those changes seem to be largely negative. Leslie reviews the evidence on the impact on instructional quality and concludes that there is not enough evidence to say that there is either a negative or positive influence from the use of part-timers. What is surprising from this chapter written from the perspective of an academic manager is the call for improved working conditions for part-time faculty. A University of North Carolina report on non-tenure-track faculty is attached to the chapter.


Berry outlines the issues facing higher education. He suggests that academe has and is undergoing a major transformation. Part of that is the composition of the labor force. He points out that part-time faculty members need to form coalitions with several groups: full-time tenure-track and tenured colleagues, graduate teaching assistants, other campus workers,
students, off-campus groups. He includes a discussion of why these alliances are important and necessary.


This is an earlier version of Bousquet's work published as *How the University Works* (2008). The author emphasizes the possibility of the formation of a militant culture among part-time faculty. This is produced by the corporatization of the university. Higher education has become increasingly embedded in corporate capitalism that has produced ideology of the right, left and center used to justify ongoing behavior. Faculty culture is split between tenure stream faculty and contingents. Contingents have begun to organize around a militant culture and Bousquet documents the movement through film and theater.


The report provides evidence of how graduate students and part-time faculty are being exploited. The documentation is excellent for a short report. One of the more important findings is that "Women and people of color comprise 58% of all full-time temporary instructors, but only 25% of senior professors." The report advocates unionization as a solution to many of the problems encountered.


The article argues that contingent faculty in schools of education are less aware of expectations in the university where they teach. Education faculty and managers must, therefore, clarify these roles.


The Seattle community college district is solving a fiscal crisis by laying off part-time faculty. These faculty have little or no protection. Part-timers are part of unions which are dominated by full-time faculty and nothing is done to achieve equity for part-timers.


This is a short history of some of the disciplinary activity collecting data on contingent and part-time faculty. This serves as an introduction to a table of data from the National Center for Education Statistics Study for 2004. Disciplinary association summary activity is provided separately.


This is a report of a study that accesses effects on students of increasing numbers of using part-time faculty. There is a comprehensive review of literature which points out that impact on students at community colleges has not been analyzed. Using National Center for Educational Statistics data, Jacoby does an extensive and complex statistical analysis of graduation rates as a measure of educational quality at community colleges. He concludes that increasing use of part-time faculty reduces graduation rates. If schools are held accountable by this standard, management may find that it not cost effective to use perma-tims.


A summary of a report from the U. S. Education Department on the composition of faculty and other college employees. Most notable is the growth of lower level management positions and part-time (adjunct) faculty.

The article describes what happened at Western Oregon University when the full-time faculty went on strike. Part-time faculty were told by the union leadership to cross the picket lines because their jobs would be jeopardized. In effect, management could use part-timers as scabs which puts increasing power in the hands of management.


Discussions of higher education which are driven by finance lead to "triage" in colleges and universities in the U. S. The article is a reaction to the Spellings Report which proposes a new model for higher education that limits the autonomy of faculty because it is necessary to the educational process. The arguments are placed in the context of corporatization. The increased hiring of contingent faculty is justified using a financial rationale but is really an attack on traditional faculty power and autonomy. There is a discussion of remedies and responses.


Modarelli discusses and documents the two-tier labor system that has come to dominate higher education. Acceptance of labor market thinking promotes the situation. The author differs from others by applying moral principles. He uses a Kantian framework which emphasizes human dignity to declare the system itself is immoral.


This is an article about an online training course on how to teach for adjuncts. It includes things such as appearance, how to develop a syllabus and course development. Not surprisingly this is being marketed to Community Colleges.


The article by two managers describes creating full time non-tenured positions to teach composition at Appalachian State University. This included bettering conditions for the non-tenure track faculty. The faculty in the newly created positions were more included in departmental activities.

This is a volume heavy on statistical analysis. It differs from most of these kinds of books because of the attention to context. A major summarizing contextual statement found in Chapter 1 is "The greater focus on performance, accountability, value added, and cost containment (or cost reduction) reflects a conception of the enterprise qua enterprise and accepts--indeed embraces--a fundamental trade-off: the reduction of social benefits to achieve short-term satisfaction of economic needs." (p. 10) Change toward the corporatization of higher education is the context leading to avoidance by management of hiring tenurable faculty. Hence the enormous increase in the proportion of part-time and contingent faculty. The authors document this change using National Center for Educational Statistics (NCES). They also note the increasing diversity of the faculty (women and minorities) and it is hard not to conclude that diversity and contingence are not related. Tables and charts are easy to understand and straightforward. Explanations of the statistical material in the text are clear and remain in historical context. A surprise addition is series of "day in the life" sketches of professors in four different eras including the future. Data are continually related to demographic categories and compared. Chapter 7 documents changing faculty careers. The most usual full-time appointment is now off the tenure-track. Part-time appointments are primarily for teaching and are increasing. Community colleges are increasingly populated with non-PhD holders in part-time positions. Mobility between part-time and full time is possible but not very likely for PhD holders. There is a wealth of data in this chapter.


The article is a summary of the authors' thoughts from their research published as *The American Faculty: The Restructuring of Academic Work and Careers* (2006). They point to the restructuring of academic employment. There are four trends: changing in academic staffing patterns away from full-time tenure and tenure-track appointments; the division of academic work to those doing research and those who teach; academic careers which move away from permanent appointment with women coming to dominate in the lower-paying humanities; and the reduction of administrative work for the full-time faculty.


As part of the analysis of the annual faculty salary survey there is a section on part-time faculty. Based on data presented throughout the survey the major point is the inadequacy of part-time faculty compensation. The adverse effects on higher education are pointed out.


While the article describes an adjunct mentoring program, the authors begin with the assumption that adjuncts need special training to perform in higher education. This is training not needed for new tenure-track faculty members. Mentors are selected by upper management and the program appears to place severe and continuing limits on the autonomy of adjunct faculty. Some of the rationale of the program is to meet questions that might be raised by licensing boards (education, nursing) about the use of adjuncts.

2007


The article is by an ex-contingent faculty member who is now the chair of a community college English department. It describes some of the working conditions of part-time faculty. The article is primarily concerned with the lack of shared governance which occurs when so many of the faculty are part-time.


"The growth of contingent appointments over the past few decades constitutes a sneak attack on academic values and on the stability of the faculty as a whole." The labor market explanation ignores the complexity of the issues. There are fewer PhDs than there are positions in higher education if graduate students and contingent faculty were not used. The use of contingent faculty is a management tactic to lower faculty costs, increase the number of managers and institute a consumer mentality by using students as products. Some improvements have been made in terms of contingent faculty organization.

Bradley is a senior program officer at the AAUP. In the article she states the AAUP position on adjunct faculty. In addition to terms of employment other aspects of adjunct faculty life are discussed with improvements recommended.


The article describes conditions for adjuncts at East Tennessee State University. They are similar to those at most schools that use extensive numbers of adjuncts.


Tenure and tenure track positions have been declining. These have been replaced with adjuncts (part and full-time). This article reports on that trend, provides some data and some anecdotal material. Unions are noticing the trend.


This is a book written from the higher education management perspective. The key to the book (in terms of relevance to part-time faculty) is what are called "five essential elements of academic work": equity, academic freedom and autonomy, flexibility, professional growth and collegiality. The authors attempt to "rethink faculty work" in terms of a managerial framework which emphasizes the changes made by managers to control the workforce. The book is notable for what it leaves out. Exploitative salaries of part-time faculty are said to be unfair but necessary. They are justified by pointing out that a large share of part-time faculty teach at another job. What they fail to document is how many of the other jobs are additional part-time faculty jobs. The discussion of workplaces assumes that higher education is at least similar to any other corporation and they forget to discuss the implications of corporatizing in education. In this vein, the solutions to problems they see are primarily cost free. While the authors are women, and they note that men still do much better than women in general, they fail to recognize that women make up more than their fair share of low paid part-time faculty particularly at the community college level. They do advocate part-time tenure which would be a better fit for women with children who work a second shift. Finally, it is surprising that Joe Berry's book is not even included in the bibliography.

Hoeller ties the increasing use of part-time and contingent faculty to "corporate America's" successful efforts to reverse gains of the labor movement. He argues that higher education management has followed the same tactics. This includes a two-tiered compensation system which management accomplished with the cooperation of the tenured faculty. This has led to the decline in the numbers of tenured and tenure track faculty and the sharp increase in contingent faculty. The article describes the history of the contingent faculty movement which opposed management tactics. While unions have supported part-time faculty, the major impetus and gains have come from the contingents themselves.


The article discusses a draft NEA plan for adjuncts. The plan attacks the idea of contingency. It is the temporary status of part-timers that is the problem. There is some discussion of the different union positions taken on contingent faculty. The issue of part-time and full-time faculty in the same local is also mentioned.


This is a story of a full time adjunct who tried to raise money for an emergency fund for adjuncts. The college did not want to be associated with the effort because it was bad public relations for the school. The instructor took steps to make sure that the college was kept out of it. Then she received a notice terminating her appointment. The case raises issues of academic freedom for adjuncts.


This is a report on the AFT’s discussion and policy statement on the necessity of job security for adjuncts in order to insure academic freedom. The differences between AFT and AAUP policy are discussed.

The article reports on discussions at the Modern Language Association meetings about employment problems. Some of the discussion concerned creating a non-tenure system that would provide job security. This discussion was prompted by the recognition of the increasing number of adjuncts now teaching.


Provides data on the distribution of part-time faculty. The conclusions of a study by the Cornell Higher Education Research Center are listed.


Reports on union organization of part-time faculty in Rhode Island. Provides explanations for the use of part-timers by management.


The authors of this article assume that part-time faculty are not good teachers. The data they gather from an unrepresentative group ("opportunity sample") indicate that people learn to teach through personal experiences. Standard working conditions are not included in this discussion. No policy recommendations are forthcoming. The major insight is that formal procedures (meetings etc.) are not effective.


This is a summary description of a new report from the National Center for Educational Statistics. Forty-seven and a half percent of faculty members at colleges receiving federal financial aid were part-time. The report also found that tenured and tenure track faculty numbers are shrinking.

A report on a study of part-time faculty hiring in four-year colleges and universities in the United States. These institutions seem to plan contingent work arrangements to manage their resources. In urban areas, schools that pay full time faculty well hire more part-time faculty. Schools that have large proportions of part-time students hire more part-time faculty. Private four-year only institutions have higher levels of part-time faculty. Schools that are heavily reliant on tuition and fees employ more part-time faculty. There are several strategies used to "attract students and secure their tuition revenues."


The article describes AFT's effort to introduce legislation to create more tenure track positions and limit the current practice of hiring part-time and contingent faculty to replace tenured faculty. Working conditions for part-time faculty should be improved which include pro-rata pay for contingent faculty.


The article discusses the increase in part-time faculty and the pay difference. Managers attribute this to budget problems.


The article describes working conditions for part-time faculty in Washington State. It particularly mentions salary and then benefits. There is a description of efforts to get pay equity through legislation.


After adjunct faculty members voted to organize, management has stalled on a contract for three years. The tactics used include negotiating only on minor issues, (not, for example on
redefining the bargaining unit and a variety of legal dodges. This keeps management from censure by the NLRB.


The study examines three questions: "To what degree do contingent faculty members engage students in good practices less frequently than their tenured and tenure track counterparts?" "What effect does the proportion of contingent faculty on a campus have on the frequency that faculty engage in good practices?" "Does the effect of having a contingent appointment vary between institutions? If so, can these differences be explained with institutional characteristics?" Umbach takes three effective practices from Chickering and Gamson (1987) to define faculty behavior "leading to "good practices." (See “Seven Principles for Good Practice in Undergraduate Education” by Chickering and Gamson [http://www2.honolulu.hawaii.edu/facdev/guidebk/teachtip/7princip.html ] These are "student faculty interaction, active and collaborative learning techniques, and setting high expectations for students." The article spends time exploring the theory leading to these questions. An elaborate mathematical methodology is developed which attempts to operationalize the "best practices." The results show that there is not much difference between contingent faculty and tenured and tenure eligible faculty. There is a consistent small negative difference between part-time and full-time faculty.

2008


The authors argue that the conditions of contingency in most cases prevent contingent faculty from participating in faculty unions in campus governance. Those conditions are clearly spelled out. "...the fact of contingency makes democratic and participatory inclusion extremely problematic. The door to inclusion is labeled 'job security' or the true reduction of contingency." (30) Cases where this condition has been approached are presented. Unions usually dominated by full-time tenure-stream faculty must change to directly confront management.


This is derived from Bousquet's important book (see next entry). Here he examines the corporatization of higher education by looking at organizational culture. "...it has been useful to view the academy as a complex organization hosting multiple, generally competing institutional groups, each with its own evolving culture, and further to see cultural change as
related to struggle between the groups." (26) Management culture has come to dominate faculty and student culture in defining the life of colleges and universities. One way that has come into being has been the transformation of the faculty from tenure-stream to part-time and contingent. Bousquet, however, identifies an emerging oppositional culture among contingent faculty.


"The university is now being subjected to sustained political, cultural, and economic assault. To deny that is to cede the future to corporatization and to a form of higher education with little purchase on cultural critique." (Cary Nelson from the Forward) This analysis is the most insightful, so far, of the changed structure of higher education. Higher education has been lowered to operating on corporate principles. Bousquet goes into the depth of that structure and provides an explanation that makes sense. Structure is the major concept used and Bousquet applies a Marxist theoretical analysis which exposes the nature of that structure. The analysis proceeds from the perspective of the labor force: graduate students, contingent faculty, full and part-time and tenure stream faculty. Corporate managers have managed the general public's and university population's hegemonic acceptance of job market theory. Upon Bousquet's dissection the practice and ideas disintegrate both factually and logically. Graduate students are necessary to teach courses but when they finish their degrees they are waste products since most of them have no traditional academic positions to go to. "We are not 'overproducing PhDs we are under producing jobs.'" (p. 40) The problem is that the traditional academic position has disappeared for most people. If degreed people were hired to fill all of the academic jobs there would be a shortage of qualified people to do them. The work force has been casualized to the advantage of managers. It is pointed out that this impacts class, race and gender in the labor force. Adjuncts are increasingly female and this makes the corporate restructuring of higher education a feminist issue. Bousquet elaborates and documents these points throughout the book.


Faculty unions (AAUP and AFT) are pushing through legislation and other means to get rid of "permatemping." The effort is directed toward creating more full-time tenure track positions and eliminating part-time positions. Part-timers may see this effort as problematic and Bousquet explores the issues from a permatemp point of view. He argues for equalization of pay and benefits.

The article reports on the restructuring of the AFT led by Cary Nelson who is now a contingent faculty member. Bousquet points out that the substantial majority of faculty are now contingent and the traditional tenure and tenure track faculty about one-third. One of the recent major developments is that more and more faculty are unionized. Bousquet, therefore, argues that contingent faculty must assume more leadership positions.


This is a compilation of several short articles about contingent faculty experiences in several locations. The article first provides advice on the lobbying style. Then it describes experiences in New Mexico, Washington, New York and Tennessee. The authors are known contingent faculty activists.


Dobbie and Robinson try to understand the impact of unionization of faculty on the use of contingent faculty (full-time and part-time). In addition to describing the harmful effects on non-tenure stream faculty (including graduate students), they ask, "What will union organization by contingent faculty mean for the other group most harmed by the corporatization of higher education--students and their families."

By asking this and other questions they tie the increasing use of part-time faculty to the corporatization of higher education. The study carefully analyzes the available data on Canadian and U. S. universities. Three hypotheses are proposed to explain the impact of unionization and they all receive some support from the data even when the hypotheses conflict. Overall the general conclusion that can be reached is that unions controlled by full-time tenure-stream faculty lead to increasing numbers of part-time, second tier workers. This is the case unless the interests of the most disadvantaged and given priority,


Although Donoghue focuses his attention on the humanities, his analysis and description of the corporatization of higher education makes a significant contribution. The humanities provide the core of the traditional university. Professors were scholars and contemplators. Their jobs were to teach, research and think. Stanley Aronowitz is used as an exemplar of this professorial model. Donoghue argues that tenure does not defend academic freedom. With the continued
employment of contingent faculty (and graduate students), tenure continues to disappear. A
discussion of for profit universities, digitization and course management software points to the
deskilling of college teachers. The expanded use of part-timers and full-time adjuncts fits this
pattern.

Faculty. *Academeme, 94*(2), 103-110.
The article contends that accrediting agencies should pay attention to the number of part-time
faculty employed by institutions they accredit. The issue has to do with the quality of education
offered (not the quality of teaching). The author examines what the agencies have to say on
this issue and finds that there is very little. It is only by inference that the standards might refer
to part-time faculty. The article contends that accrediting agencies should get involved in the
issue but do little about it. There is a lot of good documentation in the article.

Using a very broad measure of contingent faculty the article reports that it is slightly more
likely that students will drop out after the first year with more contact with contingent faculty.
It is also slightly more likely that they will change majors. This is a summary of research
supported by large grants.

http://insidehighered.com/views/2008/07/17/jack
The article describes the career of a part-time faculty member over 20 years. It describes some
of the problems encountered and at the end compares it to the new full-time position the
author just obtained.

Several studies of community colleges indicate that student performance is not as good as
expected. With the use of more adjuncts more students fail to graduate or transfer to four year
colleges.

The article reports on the efforts of adjuncts in Tennessee to get salary increases. The method
they used was non-confrontational. The adjuncts wanted to raise the maximum a part-timer
could get for a course. The management board rejected the request.

This is an account of a report by the Modern Language Association, "Education in the Balance: A Report on the Academic Workforce in English." There are some data which show that most students who take an English course will never be taught by a tenure-stream faculty member.


The article reports on and summarizes a report for the AFT by JBL Associates Inc. The use of adjuncts, full and part-time, is more widespread than management would have people believe. The most prevalent abuse is the disproportionately low pay of this group. (Please see elsewhere in this bibliography for an abstract of the full report).


The article reports on a presentation made by A. G. Monaco, a human relations academic manager. He deplores the treatment of adjunct faculty and argues that they should be treated better to avoid unionization. He mentions salaries and benefits. The program he proposes is similar to what academic unions are advocating. Union leaders are skeptical about the motivation behind Monaco's approach. Other adjuncts welcome it.


The AAUP has adopted specific guidelines about the treatment of adjuncts. This article reports on some specific cases that the AAUP is dealing with based on these new guidelines.


The issue here is union membership for adjunct faculty. Some unions (NEA) discontinue membership when adjuncts are not technically employed although they may have been working at the college for several continuous years. There are ways around this at the local level used by the AFT and AAUP.


This is the story of Elon University (North Carolina) which has gone against the trend and hired and put more people in tenure stream positions. They did this to develop a program called
"engaged learning." The number of tenure stream faculty has increased from 54 to 229 from 1999 to 2007.


This is a report on the COCAL meeting held in August 2008. Issues discussed are type of union representation, what type of professors benefit students (adjunct or tenure track), and quality of teaching.


The article reports on a court decision that favored unionization efforts for adjunct faculty at Pace University. The decision was made on a technical issue. The importance of this article is that it describes some management tactics used to block unionization.


A report on a resolution sent by the Marquette Theology Department suggesting that adjuncts were being treated immorally. The lack of benefits was the major issue raised. This is one of the few references to the morality of the unequal treatment of adjuncts.


San Antonio College is having part-timers sign forms waiving extra pay and benefits if they get to or over a 12 hour course load. They have people sign an agreement. The faculty involved sign because they fear for their jobs and need the extra money.


Students benefit more from unionized faculty than non-unionized faculty. Full-time faculty have a more beneficial impact because of their extra time spent on campus (compared to part-timers). These are the major results of a study by two Vanderbilt University researchers, Marc Stein and David Stuit. Faculty unions are associated with fewer contingent faculty.

This article reports on an adjunct who worked at Nichols State University who was terminated for failing too many students. The case was reviewed by the AAUP which concluded that due process rights were violated.


This is a report on an innovative faculty development program at Valencia College. It is innovative because schedule flexibility allows adjuncts to attend easily and adjunct pay increases after the completion of 60 hours of the program.


The article reports on Washington State's legislation to convert some part-time positions to tenure eligible full-time positions. The article describes the problems associated with this. Some part-timers are opposed saying that the bill favors full-time interests.


The article reports on adjuncts who dislike the contract recommended by the faculty union leadership at CUNY. Adjunct issues such as job security have not been included. The article highlights the conflict of interests between full-time and part-time faculty.


The article reports the most recent statistics on full-time positions in higher education. The data includes faculty and administrators but not part-timers.


Since 70 percent or more of higher education faculty are part-time or other adjuncts, this report describes where they are used and how they are compensated. The key findings are: "Contingent faculty members and instructors are now teaching a majority of all undergraduate public college courses." "Contingent faculty members are teaching significant percentages of classes across multiple disciplines." "Contingent faculty members are earning
disproportionately lower wages per class than are full-time tenured and tenure-track faculty members." The report goes on to conclude that "it is not reasonable to suggest that contingent faculty members deserve to be paid at the disproportionately low wages they currently earn for the valuable service they provide." A model for change to increase full-time tenure stream faculty and pay equity for contingent faculty is suggested. Charts and statistical analysis are provided to support these conclusions.


This reports the results of a complex statistical study using the National Study of Postsecondary Faculty 2004. Of the comparisons made one was full-time vs. part-time faculty. Predictors of satisfaction with faculty instructional autonomy were benefits and fair treatment of female faculty members regardless of faculty status. One factor which increased satisfaction was time spent on committees by part-time faculty. If they spent three hours per week they were more satisfied. The study concludes that it is difficult to distinguish between part-time and full-time faculty on this variable.


The article describes three cases of abuse of contingent faculty that the AAUP became involved in.


The article is about the University of Phoenix. The school uses 95 percent part-time faculty. One of the efficiencies is that students work in teams without faculty about half the time so faculty only get paid half of the usual per course fee. Because of the use of part-time faculty many accrediting agencies will not accredit University of Phoenix.


The article describes some of the legal issues that might help contingent faculty. These include implied contracts in the faculty handbook, constitutional claims and civil rights law.


This is a study of a sample of faculty some of whom were full-time, some voluntary part-time and some involuntary part-time. Job satisfaction measures indicate the full-time and voluntary part-time faculty have similar job satisfaction scores. Involuntary part-timers are less satisfied with their jobs.

This chapter in the book is directly relevant to contingent faculty. It should be read, however in the context on both the graduate student organizing effort and the context of universities in New York. NYU has been a school which has been trying to reach the top tier of research universities. This chapter describes management tactics to do this on the backs of the contingent labor force. In particular, this chapter describes the extreme stratification among the faculty at NYU which management attempts to use to achieve their objectives. For contingent faculty this involves much workplace exploitation.


A report on the vote of adjuncts in Illinois to join the AFT.


A report on how adjuncts at Henry Ford Community College established a union. Of interest is how the management resisted the effort.


The article introduces the AFT program called FACE, College and Faculty Excellence. The essence of the program is equity for contingent faculty. The article claims to look at cost of the program but only presents a bare and insufficient outline which describes the data needed but not how the program works. One process for the model is "dropping the raw data into the model and manipulating the data based on defined goals." (7)


With almost two-thirds of higher education faculty now part-time or full-time contingent this has implications for the increasing number of women in academia. The field of English Composition has been considerably feminized. The corporate university is not structured for women's lives in general, and women contingent laborers are even more particularly
disadvantaged. The authors point out that gender concerns and labor concerns are often the same.


Nelson, the president of the AAUP, notes the diminishing of tenure and tenure-track positions. Only one third of faculty are now in this category. Some have given up on the value of tenure. Nelson’s argument suggests that tenure is necessary to insure the rights of academic freedom not only to tenured full-time faculty but to contingent faculty as well. The AAUP put out a statement in 2006 which would grant some of the rights of tenure to part-time faculty. This step is necessary to prevent more erosion of faculty power and the complete dominance of management.


Rhoades has been active in the organization of contingent faculty. In this issue of Academe, he explores the increasingly important role of this group. He argues that contingent faculty organization must go beyond working conditions to hold managers responsible for what they are doing to higher education. The prevailing logic of the market must be challenged.


This article is written in the context of the problems of union organizing at NYU. The author relates issues of academic freedom to the corporatization of the university (in general) and the development of the highly stratified two tier faculty. She recognizes that most controversial topics will be avoided by adjunct faculty because of their lack of job security. Schrecker argues that unionization is the only available way to restore academic freedom to the majority of the faculty.


The article describes the best ways to do this.

The issue discussed here is academic freedom. It is assumed that tenure insures academic freedom so part-timers would have difficulty in this area. Street says that part-timers have little difficulty in the classroom in expressing themselves; therefore it is not a problem. Academic freedom takes place beyond the realm of tenure. Tenure instead is a symbol of corporate qualification and conformity. Part-time status has more to do with tiers and class level in the corporation and the ability of management to manage. The academic freedom that is hampered is the lack of ability on contingent faculty to criticize management.

2009


The report notes the destabilization of the faculty due to the increasing numbers of contingents (part- and full-time). Some schools, however, are taking steps to convert these positions to either full-time or more secure employment. There are two appendices which describe some activity in stabilization directions.


The report describes the case of Jessica Bryan. This is good data on the instability of part-time contingent faculty. Ms. Bryan's husband also worked for the college and got into a dispute with the management. Since he had tenure the management could not dismiss him. Instead they stopped offering his wife courses even though she had 13 semesters of continuous appointments. AAUP regulations on contingent faculty were used to judge the case.


This report documents the change in the workforce of higher education. It is a rich statistical analysis. The key findings are: 1) Two-thirds of the growth in faculty was contingent, increasing to three-fourths of teaching staff. 2) Community colleges rely heavily on contingent faculty; 70 per cent teach on a part-time basis. Major increases occurred in all higher education categories. 3) Tenured and tenure-track faculty declined from 54 percent to 43 percent since 1997. Tenure and tenure-track faculty declined by nine per cent at private research universities.
4) Non-instructional staff grew by 24 per cent. 5) Administrative (management) staff grew by 41 percent primarily in full-time positions.


This book is limited to non-tenure track faculty (NTT) working at large research universities. Either deliberately or inadvertently the authors seem to justify the use of NTT faculty at these institutions. They suggest that such faculty are not hired simply to save money but that the process is more complex than that. The process is centered on a corporate model of higher education and its attendant management. What the authors fail to do is show how that system denigrates the best that universities of this caliber have to offer. It is corporate management by ex-professors (for the most part) that ignores learning outside of research. The best part of the book is the description of how university corporate management works and how the faculty fits into it. The authors ignore the perspective of adjunct faculty (particularly part-timers) in their analysis. There is little or no mention of adjunct faculty major grievances: job security, salary and benefits. Part-time faculty who are the vast majority of adjuncts get little consideration. The authors' biases show up when they see part-time faculty as having other jobs and providing a specialty for the school. What about all the part-time English Composition instructors?


Reports data from a 2009 survey.


An adjunct faculty member was fired at Stevens Institute after writing a letter to management about low pay and asking for a discussion


This is an excellent application of Neo-Marxist social theory to the problem of contingent academic labor. It combines Marx's insights with humanism and a human rights moral/ethical perspective. A particular insight is that contingent faculty are "included and excluded at the same time." Gulli's summary best conveys the importance of this paper:

"The aim of this essay was to look at contingent labor in the academy and the production of knowledge from the point of view of a critique of productivity and
sovereignty. This critique shows the way in which labor, which should be understood as human activity (i.e., not simply as productive labor, wage labor, etc.), is dominated by the forces and movements of capital, superexploited, and construed as either productive or unproductive following the needs of capital itself. This mode of domination and superexploitation amounts to a violation of basic human rights, and this violation cannot be remedied by the same system that produces it. In the specific realm of knowledge production and learning, this critique also shows how basic human potentialities, the source of wealth and happiness, are deactivated, disabled, in order to enable and enhance the gears of productivity, profit, and competitiveness. Disabling potentialities has devastating consequences in the life of individuals and in society as a whole, producing pathologies that could instead be avoided from the start. In fact, learning is nothing but the activation of potentialities. But learning to labor in a regime of domination and superexploitation is a path to injustice, poverty, unhappiness, lack of freedom, and illness. Learning itself is already labor, but a form of labor that is free from sovereignty, and whose value is determined by the lack of violation toward that which is to be learned. When the opposite is the case, the labor of teaching and learning appears as unfree and bound. Any appeal to categories such as contingency and flexibility is only a way of masking a more violent and crude reality: that there is no exit and all forms of production (in our instance, of knowledge production) are subsumed under the logic of productivity of capital. The only exit is therefore the total dismantling of this logic itself. The reference I have often made to the principles of human rights and international law should not be understood as a hope that a radical and real change might come from above. Rather, it is a way of problematizing the antinomies constituting those very spaces of institutional thinking and practice; a way of calling attention to the fact that a discourse on human dignity (of absolute urgency today) cannot prevail as long as forms of life activity, forms of labor, continue to be exploited and oppressed.”


The article reports on four cases of sanction by the AAUP. Three of them deal with the non-renewal of long time part-timers by capricious management. This is the result of increasing concern on the part of faculty organizations.


The article points out that numbers of part-timers are under-reported to such sources as U. S. News and World Report for its rankings. The article gives several examples of how the calculations are distorted. This is particularly true at research universities.

The article reports on an attempt to form a more structured organization to advocate for adjuncts.


This article summarizes the AFT report on the state of the faculty 1997-2007. There is a breakdown of the data by type of institution comparing 2007 with 1997. It shows the increase of contingent workers and decline in full-time faculty.


The AAUP proposed a dual system of tenure. The additional tenure track would be based on teaching. Adjuncts would be those primarily eligible for the new positions. The article discusses some of the controversy over the proposal.


The article discussed the problems of part-time faculty in getting health insurance. In Massachusetts part-timers are classified as consultants not employees so they are not eligible for health insurance under state law. The article describes other situations in other states.


This article is about an attempt to outsource adjunct employment. An outside firm becomes the employer of record of the adjunct faculty member. The school (Kirtland College in Michigan) would save money by not having to contribute to some benefits, primarily retirement. This is an example of a management decision that does not consider people.


This is an interesting paper about how to organize contingent faculty. It provides steps and stages under the rubric of testing "institutionalization theory." The latter for these authors seems to mean acceptance of the values of equity. They describe a complex and well thought
out qualitative methodology but fail to report data gained from the research. The paper has a lot of value as an ideal set of steps for (including problems) organizing contingent faculty.


The author ran several comparisons among multiple variables to try to determine differences between full-time and part-time faculty. He used questionable statistical techniques for the study. No significant differences were found.


Maisto discusses the reasons for the founding of the New Faculty Majority: The National Coalition for Adjunct and Contingent Equity. She advocates activism through this organization. The argument is that it is necessary to improve working conditions for adjuncts.


The MLA position regarding faculty. It applies to both full-time and part-time faculty, contingent and tenure track. The report contains 2007 data comparing tenure track and contingent faculty.


The article deals with some of the ethical problems of using part-time and contingent faculty. There is a discussion of race and gender within categories of tenure-stream and contingent faculty.


A description of how an adjunct (English Comp) handled a 10 course load. He was completely organized and most of his courses were managed via computer and online. There was minimal student contact and teaching methods seemed questionable.
The authors have researched many variables relating to contingent faculty working conditions. They discover, however, that data on part-time faculty salaries are difficult to come by and are probably inaccurate. There does not seem to be much interest in correcting this situation.


The article describes the history of the struggle for health insurance in Massachusetts and the lawsuit that has been filed.


This is a good article which documents budget balancing on the backs of adjuncts,


This is part of a series that appeared on this date. The article discusses the fact that part-timers do not feel part of the faculty. There are some minor attempts to include them but they are mostly ignored.


The article provides some explanation of the data gathered in the survey. It references Joe Berry who indicates that the data is probably representative of adjunct faculty. Some of the data is provided.


"...more adjuncts are being hired, exploited and abused at more community colleges and universities around the United States than ever before." Zobel argues that the reports making this public are good because public awareness makes it more possible to do something about the situation. Adjuncts should take the lead, in spite of their weak position, in correcting the situation which is corrupting higher education.

This report, prepared by a subcommittee of the Committee on Contingency and the Profession, briefly reviews the history of the increasing use of adjunct faculty who are not tenure eligible. The committee suggests that this destabilizes the faculty. The recommendation is for a modified tenure status for those who specialize in teaching. Examples are provided of schools that have moved in this direction.


The research investigates the differences in student outcomes based on whether they took an introductory course from an adjunct instructor or a tenure/tenure track instructor. The controversy over impact of adjunct instructors is reviewed along with data. This study on Ohio schools discovers that adjunct instructors have a positive effect on student continuation in the discipline in professional fields such as engineering and education. There is also a positive effect in the sciences. Extremely sophisticated statistical techniques are used to come to these conclusions. The research seems to be an example of statistical overkill.


The article reports reduction in adjunct faculty hours at New Hampshire Community College. Management's reason is to promote academic quality but it might be to prevent unionization.


Brown is known as a supporter of adjunct faculty. He points out that 70 percent of college teachers are adjunct (full and part-time) and graduate students. He discusses the exploitation of this group. He has helped form two organizations to advocate for contingents.


This is a statement that protests the union's new policy of not giving waivers to adjuncts for extra teaching hours.

Eisenberg argues that the AAUP's recent stance on adjunct faculty is too weak. It does little about a two-tier system for the faculty and since managers will lose the ability to be flexible in their hiring they will resist the change.


An article about the possibilities of cooperation between tenure stream faculty and those off the tenure track. Organizing efforts among adjuncts are discussed. The author seems ambivalent about tenured faculty working for even joint interests with adjuncts.


At a meeting of the Coalition of Contingent Academic Labor (COCAL), plans to "normalize" the academic workforce were presented by the AAUP and the New Faculty Majority. The AAUP plan emphasizes a form of tenure based on teaching and longevity. The New Faculty Majority plan emphasizes a long term plan to equalize working conditions including compensation (salary and benefits) and job security.


The article critiques new proposed legislation for attacking the little job security that adjuncts have. Hoeller discusses the problem of adjunct faculty in the same union as full-time faculty who supervise them. Part-time faculty should have the same labor rights as full-time faculty.


Hoeller lays out the case for an adjunct union. He places this in the context of a "class war" between the two unequal groups of faculty in higher education. Each group has different interests.

The article examines one aspect of the increasing use of adjuncts. Using sophisticated statistical techniques the authors conclude that first year students who take more classes with adjunct faculty are more likely to leave school that those who have less exposure to adjuncts.


A report on the North Carolina study which concluded that freshman students who had classes with adjuncts were somewhat less likely to return to school. The article has a detailed summary of the results. A spokesperson for the AFT points out that when adjuncts are given good support the effect on freshmen is reduced.


The article describes the issues in attempting to unionize Manhattan College, a Catholic college. The legal issues are explored.


This is a report on the Professional Staff Congress (the union at CUNY) refusing to grant waivers for extra hours for adjunct faculty. They see management exploiting adjunct faculty by using the extra hours wavier. Contingent faculty have protested the union’s action.


Reports on a survey of adjuncts about working conditions for adjunct faculty.


This is a report on a forum for adjunct faculty held in San Jose. It was primarily an opportunity for part-time faculty to complain about their working conditions.
The article reports on the union avoidance tactics used at East-West University (Illinois). No adjuncts were hired for summer school so a union election could not be held. All adjunct hires had to be interviewed by and approved by the president which seemed an intimidation tactic for those who favored a union.

A report on community colleges hiring part-timers through a temporary agency. The article gives the managerial justification of the practice.

The article deals with academic freedom and adjuncts. The case of Kenneth Howell, an adjunct at University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, is analyzed. Part of the presumption made is that teaching should be "objective." If it is one-sided some make the case that it is not teaching. An adjunct raising such non-objective point of views can be and is terminated. A tenured faculty member would not be.

This report is on a study that found that there was no negative impact on students from being taught by adjunct faculty.

The article describes the dispute between full-timers and their unions and part-timers and their unions. Full-time unions have negotiated rights to overloads which push part-timers out of courses and deprive them of income.

This report primarily reviews some of the major literature on contingent faculty. It is an attempt to clarify a number of issues and sometimes it succeeds. The major problem here is with categorization. The problem shows up as the authors argue that three or four broad
theories inform the studies of non-tenure-track faculty: Economic, Sociological, Political Science (very little discussion of this) and Psychological with the possible addition of Organizational and Labor Relations Theories. At different points it is argued that these theories are both too broad and too narrow. The authors advocate cross disciplinary work but are not clear about what would go beyond what they cite. The problem stems from the conception of theory used here. Simply stated there is no single overarching theory which characterizes these disciplines. Broad perspectives would have been a better and more accurate characterization instead of theory. Categorization of ideological perspectives is also flawed by division into two parts: labor-oriented and gradualist approaches. The volume misses a managerial ideology which dominates most of the literature. The ideology of the authors seems to lean toward the acceptance of the status quo trend toward the use of more and more temporary faculty. They also lean heavily toward the reform of tenure. The value of this work is in its fairly comprehensive review of the literature in this area.


This novel is about a day in the life of a part-time adjunct English professor. It does a disservice to not only adjuncts but to all college faculty. The "hero" is best described as a born loser and this may fit into Kudera's point of showing the distressed lives of many part-time faculty. The caricature is insulting. There is very little here about the teaching lives of adjuncts and "Duffy" could be best described as incompetent. The important relationship between management and adjuncts plays no part in the book. Kudera spends time with not funny caricatures of students which shows some of his biases. He also uses many pages to vent about current events which have little or no relationship to the work.


The New Faculty Majority has been trying to organize contingent faculty. This detailed proposal outlines a platform for eliminating the two-tier system of employment not present in higher education.


The article discusses the processes that led to some improvement in working conditions at the University of Dayton. Salary inequities were left unsolved as were job security issues. Overall it seems that the effort produced inclusion for the part-time faculty at very little cost.

Cary Nelson is the current president of the AAUP. He starts from the proposition that the AAUP has been the leader in defining academic freedom and attempting to enforce it. In the process Nelson provides a detailed history using the policy statements of the organization going back to the original statement of 1915. He relies more often on the policy statement of 1940 and subsequent clarifications to the concept of academic freedom. Many challenges to academic freedom are discussed along with examples. Unlike other publications, however, this book places the increased use of contingent faculty at the heart of diminishing academic freedom. Job security is necessary to insure that college faculty can speak on a variety of issues both inside and outside of the classroom. Faculty with the extensive academic education and experience should be able to make decisions about the content of the classes they teach without managerial interference. Faculty peers, not managers, should have the expertise, raise questions and make judgments. Without job security, faculty are at risk. In the case of contingent faculty we find self-censorship to be the norm (violating the educational process). Nelson describes the organizational dynamics of the AAUP, including its strength and weaknesses. He emphasizes the workings of Committee A on academic freedom.


Nelson, the president of the AAUP argues for the AAUP position that tenure is the only fair solution to the adjunct problem. He makes a strong case that this is the key to maintaining academic freedom. This is a summary of the detailed position that is laid out in his book, No University Is an Island.


This is a report of a part-time faculty group who were unjustly denied classes by management. The NLRB ruled that management was interfering with efforts to unionize the part-time faculty. A settlement was arranged and perhaps a precedent set.


Sweeney points out that even full-time adjuncts don't get health insurance. If they get sick, they cannot afford the care necessary unless another family member works and has health insurance.
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